

Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis  
**Faculty Council Minutes (“IFC”): March 6, 2007**  
**Wynne Courtroom, Inlow Hall (“IH 100”): 3:00 – 5:00 pm**

*Original agenda follows adjournment as attachment.*

**Agenda Item I: Call to Order: Rosalie Vermette (IUPUI Faculty Vice-President, 4.0064).**

Vermette called the meeting to order at 3:00pm.

**Agenda Item II: Adoption of the Order of Business for the Day.**

The agenda was adopted as the order of business for the day.

**Agenda Item III: [ACTION ITEM] Approval of IFC 2/20/07 Minutes.**

Hearing no objections, the 2/20/07 IFC minutes (<http://www.iupui.edu/~fcouncil/minutes/fc070220html.htm>) were approved as written and entered into record.

**Agenda Item IV: Updates/Remarks from the Chancellor: Charles Bantz (Indiana University Executive Vice President and Chancellor of IUPUI).**

Bantz briefed the faculty on the following:

- On March 5<sup>th</sup>, IUPUI sponsored six different events for President-Elect Michael McRobbie. The turn-out in all venues was impressive, he noted, and the Chancellor thanked Interim Vice Chancellor Amy Conrad Warner and her team for their outstanding work putting together these events so quickly. President-Elect McRobbie will be attending a similar series of events on each of IU’s eight campuses. Additionally, he will return to IUPUI next week and the week after to meet with the Solution Center Conference and IFC Executive Committee, respectively.
- Both the Men’s and Women’s basketball teams lost their respective conference tournament semifinal games [on March 5<sup>th</sup>]. Bantz congratulated both teams on their seasons.
- The search for the Vice Chancellor for External Affairs continues, with the committee currently recommending candidates for interview.
- The administration is consulting faculty and staff governance to establish the search committee for Vice Chancellor for Administration and Finance Bob Martin’s successor. Recently, Bantz explained, IUPUI hosted two visitors who reviewed the portfolio for the Vice Chancellor’s position and will soon issue a report to help inform the search process.
- Chancellor Bantz reminded the IFC that next year will be his fifth and that, per the Chancellor Review Policy adopted by the Board of Trustees, he will be up for review. He reminded the IFC of faculty concerns—especially at the University Faculty Council (“UFC”) level—about the final version of the policy adopted by the Trustees. Bantz explained that he feels it is critical that we remain mindful of these concerns and communicate about them as openly as possible throughout the process.
- The Indiana General Assembly is in session and the university remains watchful of relevant policy and budgetary developments. The Life Sciences Initiative has had a high profile this session and both the Chancellor and President-Elect McRobbie applaud Craig Brater for his outstanding statewide advocacy for the initiative. One of the larger concerns facing IU this session is the issue of state-set tuition. Bantz said that the challenge to the university is two-fold: the state being permitted to set tuition and at what level they would set it. Bantz will continue to update the IFC on this pressing issue.
- The campus budget review process is nearing its conclusion, Bantz reported, with Academic Affairs being the only remaining hearing on the schedule. He thanked the IFC Budgetary Affairs and Campus Planning Committees for their

contributions and participations to the hearings. He believes that these hearings have been the most productive in recent history.

- Thomas Martz (BS Science, IUB '72) was appointed [March 6<sup>th</sup>] as the new President of the IU Alumni Association (succeeding Ken Beckley and John Hobson). Martz will take office on April 1<sup>st</sup>.

**Agenda Item V: Updates/Remarks from the IFC President: Bart Ng (IUPUI Faculty President & UFC Co-Secretary, 4.8185, [bng@math.iupui.edu](mailto:bng@math.iupui.edu)).**

Ng briefed the Council on the following:

- The open letter from the IUPUI faculty to the Board of Trustees and President-Elect (*Circular IFC-2007-02*: <http://www.iupui.edu/~fcouncil/documents/openletter.htm>) continues to generate interest, including two articles appearing in the *Indianapolis Star* and local TV exposure. The letter was even taken into account by President-Elect McRobbie in his recent acceptance speech. By all indications, Ng said, “McRobbie is really trying to listen.”
- The IFC will aim to meet with the President-Elect in April.
- At their March meeting, Ng continued to try to impress upon Trustees that, when IUPUI proposes a new academic program, that proposal deserves an objective hearing and legitimate debate.
- Constitution & Bylaws Chair Henry Karlson recently gave a presentation to the IFC Executive Committee (“IFC-EC”) regarding the issue of non-tenure-track faculty representation [on the IFC], including the impact and implications of offering different types of representation to this group. He also spoke to the complexity of seeking an amendment to the Constitution of the IU Faculty (the document containing the language that nullified the IFC’s initial attempt to codify voting rights for non-tenure-track faculty). Ng explained that, while the IFC-EC appreciates the complexities and challenges Karlson has presented, there is sincere interest in granting some form of representation on the IFC to non-tenure-track faculty. Ng hopes to discuss this issue with the UFC this Spring. Further discussion at the IFC level is forthcoming.
- After Ng concluded his report, Vice President Vermette thanked Amy Conrad Warner for her tireless effort to engage faculty in events and meetings surrounding President-Elect McRobbie’s appointment.

**Agenda Item VI: Question/Answer Period.**

IFC members posed the following questions:

Linda Adele Goodine asked about the impact of President Herbert’s recent announcement regarding system and core schools on the IUPUI campus. Chancellor Bantz replied that it is not completely clear and that he and President Herbert have not spoken since the report was made. The Chancellor is sensitive to the fact that many are very concerned and plans to express those concerns to the President. He further explained that the President has made a number of recommendations recently that will come before the Board of Trustees at the April meeting; among them is a recommendation to eliminate the system school as a concept, except in the cases of Medicine (due to its multiple locations across the state) and Social Work (due to accreditation issues).

The President’s recommendations on core schools focused on Education and Journalism. In fact, the President’s statement—that the Indianapolis Journalism program should remain a part of the Bloomington-based school—was contradictory to the IFC’s December decision supporting a merger of the IU School of Journalism at Indianapolis into the IU School of Liberal Arts. Bantz concluded by noting that the operational details of the President’s vision for core and system schools remain unknown.

In response to a follow-up question from Anne Belcher, the Chancellor explained that the implications for SPEA and Nursing would be as follows: SPEA would no longer be a system school but would be a core school [between IUB and IUPUI] with other campuses having the option of inviting SPEA to join them. The Nursing “corridor” (between IUB, IUPUI, and IUPUC) “would be together.”

Rosalie Vermette asked if the President will continue to pursue initiatives like the one detailed above or will the President-Elect take a primary role? Chancellor Bantz replied that, while the President-Elect will play a role in decision-making as we

move towards a transition, President Herbert remains the primary leader of the university.

Ed Berbari asked that, as tuition increases are discussed, we remain mindful of the rapid growth of fees and their potential impact on those who are grant-funded versus those for whom the fees might generate revenue. In short, are fees growing without bounds and is someone advocating for students and others who might be burdened by them? Chancellor Bantz encouraged Berbari to keep articulating that concern, but explained that there appears to be no easy solution to surrounding fee and tuition issues. The Chancellor also reported that Undergraduate Student President Nathan Kohley gave “a terrific presentation on student fees to Board of Trustees” advocating for a substantial increase in student fees in order to improve student life and services. In his appearance before the Board, Kohley argued that students need to be and, in many cases, are prepared to pay for an investment in improved student life.

Enid Zwirn asked what the Chancellor plans to do to help advance the IUPUI faculty's agenda for IU under the new university administration? Bantz explained that there is a lot to consider in that vein, but, he gave two examples:

- (1) He plans to continue to advocate the Life Sciences Initiative as one of the many ways in which IUPUI will have a unique and critical impact on IU
- (2) He believes that IUPUI must continue to move forward in serving more effectively Central Indiana and Indiana, as a whole, in education and research.
- (3) He believes that retention and graduation remain top areas of concern and that we must pursue serious analysis of the impact of the availability of financial aid (or the lack thereof). Furthermore, we must promote campus employment for undergraduate and graduate students, as student employment can be a key predictor to success and retention.

In closing, Chancellor Bantz asked that the IFC be aware that President-Elect McRobbie is “very focused on gathering information, making decisions accordingly, and then moving forward swiftly.” He believes the President-Elect’s style will be well-suited for IUPUI.

Marion Wagner asked if IUPUI has any input in the ongoing discussion at the Indiana Commission of Higher Education on the revision of the Commission's *Framework for Higher Education for Indiana*. The Chancellor responded that “the short-answer is ‘yes.’” In his role as the Chancellor of IUPUI, Bantz is committed to continuing this important expression of our viewpoint to the Commission. As Indiana University Executive Vice President, he is obligated to maintain a relationship with the Commission. The Chancellor closed by urging faculty to share their feedback on the *Framework*.

Jim Baldwin asked the Chancellor to clarify who negotiates with the Commission on IUPUI’s behalf, the IU administration or IUPUI administration. Chancellor Bantz replied “yes and yes,” noting that he is the liaison for all academic matters, J.T. Forbes is the liaison for political matters, and Bob Sandy works on course articulation issues. David Malik, Sandy, and Bantz are currently working on this issue.

Subah Packer asked that the administration remain mindful of the concern that tuition hikes might negatively affect retention and graduation. She followed up by asking if tuition hikes might inadvertently result in students’ financial ability superseding their academic aptitude in the admissions process. Bantz appreciated Packer’s points and further noted that IUPUI is challenged by the very modest amount of need-based financial aid available to it.

Martin Spechler expressed concerns about policies on credit transfers from two-year institutions to the School of Continuing Studies (the issue—which centers on agreements made by previous deans—was discovered, reported, and being reviewed by Dean Daniel Callison). The question is whether IU degrees are being granted by the school without the guidance of appropriate standards. Spechler asked that the UFC “Educational Policies Committee examine how the School of Continuing Studies on the IUPUI campus is offering degrees with very minimum residency requirements.” IFC President Ng noted that the issue highlighted by this situation is the fact that approving degree requirements has never been the purview of the IFC; Ng believes the Council should discuss this issue and its impact on faculty awareness. Chancellor Bantz noted the need for a clear policy on the maximum number of credit hours transferable from two-year institutions.

**Agenda Item VII: [ACTION ITEM] From the Constitution & Bylaws Committee - Update of Budgetary Affairs Committee Charge: Henry Karlson (Chair – Constitution & Bylaws Committee, 4.2298, [hkarlson@iupui.edu](mailto:hkarlson@iupui.edu)).**

Karlson reviewed the proposed revision of the charge of the IFC Budgetary Affairs Committee (*Circular IFC-2007-03*):

“Background

Section B of Bylaw Article III, of the Constitution of the IUPUI faculty provides for 16 standing committees of

the Faculty which include the Budgetary Affairs Committee (BAC). The proposed amendment is intended to clarify the charges for the IUPUI BAC and also to better align them with those of its counterpart Budgetary Affairs Committee on the Bloomington Campus.

#### Current Charge per Article III-B.3

[Budgetary Affairs.] This committee shall review the general academic priorities of IUPUI and the reflection of such needs in the creation of budgets, inform the Council on budgeting procedures and points of potential faculty input, and alert the Council to matters of budgetary importance external to IUPUI.

#### Proposed Amendment to Article III-B.3

[Budgetary Affairs.] This committee shall act as a representative of the Council in offering to the IUPUI Chancellor and the Campus Administration its continuing advice and the Faculty perspectives on all aspects of the IUPUI budgetary policy and the allocation of the IUPUI financial resources, especially those proposed allocations and re-allocations of financial resources that have bearing on the economic well-being of the faculty and the academic programs. Among others, the committee's responsibilities shall include:

- A. Assessing the fiscal health of all academic and administrative support units, through its participation in the Campus Planning and Budgetary Hearings, and by other means including direct communication with faculty budgetary committees at the school or unit level.
- B. Considering and reviewing the general academic priorities of IUPUI and the reflection of such needs in capital outlays and in the creation of budgets.
- C. Considering the relative allocations of the Campus financial resources with respect to new programs and the implications to existing programs.
- D. Alerting the Council to all matters of budgetary importance internal or external to IUPUI.
- E. Facilitating coordination and communication among school level budgetary affairs or equivalent committees.”

Vermette called the question and the amendment—which required the approval of 2/3 of voting IFC members present—passed unanimously. It will become effective immediately.

#### **Agenda Item VIII: [FIRST READING] IFC-2007-04: Request for Endorsement of IUPUI Student Affairs Committee Recommendations Regarding Campus Student Pedestrian Safety: Marsha Ellett (Chair – Student Affairs Committee, 4.0051, [mlellett@iupui.edu](mailto:mlellett@iupui.edu)).**

Ellett gave the first reading of a statement for which she is seeking IFC endorsement (*Circular IFC-2007-04*):

“The Student Affairs Committee recognizes the legal and manpower limitations governing the University Police and Central Administration in regard to student safety issues recognized on the IUPUI campus. The Committee appreciates that laws regarding adjacent roadways were enacted to accommodate the efficient flow of vehicular traffic and, as such, student pedestrians must assume a great deal of responsibility for their own safety when crossing all campus roadways.

Accepting the above factors, the City of Indianapolis must be aware that much has changed on the IUPUI campus. A larger student body is attending classes in ever more campus buildings. University Hospital is expanding, and with that expansion we can expect an ever-increasing stream of well-wishers concerned with the health of loved ones. Traditional on-campus housing opportunities have increased the amount of student pedestrian travel along Michigan and New York Streets. These facts, combined with the fact of increasing numbers of accidents involving vehicles and pedestrians, must be a driving force in the adoption of slower speeds and more stringent traffic enforcement on the IUPUI campus.

Based on a response from University Police Deputy Chief of Police Larry Propst to our inquiry regarding student pedestrian safety, the IUPUI Student Affairs Committee makes the following recommendation to the IUPUI Faculty Senate.

Encourage Chancellor Bantz and University Police to work with the city and the appropriate city departments to:

1. Lower the 35 MPH speed limit on Michigan and New York Streets
2. Install scramble lights at key intersections
3. Repaint existing appropriate pedestrian crosswalks and remove those that are not appropriate or are in

violation of state statutes

4. Change the timing of automatic signals to allow longer pedestrian crossing times”

Ellett explained that her committee has partnered with student government to explore possible approaches to addressing the campus increase in pedestrian-related accidents along New York and Michigan Streets. She noted that the recent growth of hospitals, additional on-campus housing, and the rise in campus population have all led to increased pedestrian traffic on campus. Her committee worked with IUPUI Deputy Chief of Police Larry Propst to discuss ways in which student, staff, and faculty groups can assist in the campus’ negotiations with the city. Propst help the committee develop the suggestions contained in the resolution above.

IFC Representatives made the following comments and inquiries:

Betty Jones asked if the word “manpower” could be replaced with a more neutral term, such as “staffing.”

Enid Zwirn thanked the SAC for their good work.

Terry Baumer stated his support for the resolution and noted that he has served on the Campus Safety Committee for many years, shared his recollections. The speed limit on city streets on campus was 25 MPH at one point, but Baumer seems to recall a state statute or city ordinance being invoked to raise the speed limit to 35 MPH so that the city—not the campus—would receive any revenue from traffic violations along those streets.

Henry Karlson stepped down from his role as Acting Parliamentarian to ask if signage reading “Yield to Pedestrians” could be posted at appropriate intersections. Baumer interjected that that suggestion has been made in the past and that he remembers being told that posting such signage was contrary state law.

Marion Wagner asked if the timing of traffic lights during peak commuting hours could be addressed with the city, as well.

Kathleen Hanna suggested the installation of pedestrian signals that are automatic and, therefore, do not require pedestrians to press a button (there are access issues for those confined to wheelchairs, not to mention the fact that many students are unaware that the signals are not already automatic).

Chancellor Bantz noted that he appreciates the SAC’s work and that pedestrian safety is a concern we all share. He, then, asked Terry Baumer if the Campus Safety Committee has ever discussed narrowing or curving Michigan Street. Baumer’s recollection was that the suggestion has been made but dismissed because Michigan is a major city thoroughfare. The Chancellor asked Vice President Vermette conduct a straw poll of the IFC to gage whether there would be support for narrowing or curving Michigan Street. The poll revealed a 50-50 split of those in support and those in opposition.

With discussion concluded, Vice President Vermette announced that the IFC will act on this request for endorsement at the April 3<sup>rd</sup> IFC meeting.

**Agenda Item IX: [FIRST READING] IFC-2007-05: Critical Thinking in the Principles of Undergraduate Learning (“PULs”): Betty Jones (Chair – Academic Affairs Committee, 4.2248, [betjones@iupui.edu](mailto:betjones@iupui.edu)).**

Jones gave a first reading of the proposed Critical Thinking principle (*Circular IFC-2007-05*) which is to be integrated as #2 of 6 in the *IUPUI Principles of Undergraduate Learning*. Jones reminded the IFC that Principles 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6 were revised—from the original 1998 document—and approved in January 2006. The Critical Thinking principle was sent back to the AAC for further revision following extensive discussion at the December 2005 IFC meeting. This proposal is the result:

**Definition:**

Critical thinking is the ability of students to engage in a process of disciplined thinking that informs beliefs and actions. A student who demonstrates critical thinking applies the process of disciplined thinking by remaining open-minded, reconsidering previous beliefs and actions, and adjusting his or her thinking, beliefs and actions based on new information.

**Outcomes:**



The process of critical thinking begins with the ability of students to remember and understand, but it is truly realized when the student demonstrates the ability to

- apply,
- analyze,
- evaluate, and
- create

knowledge, procedures, processes, or products to discern bias, challenge assumptions, identify consequences, arrive at reasoned conclusions, generate and explore new questions, solve challenging and complex problems, and make informed decisions.”

Jones opened the discussion by thanking Ingrid Ritchie for her work on this revision. The IFC then offered the following feedback:

Ed Berbari expressed concern that the use of the word “beliefs” may imply religious meaning or have too much “cultural overlay”—may even be confusing to prospective students and their parents—and that, perhaps, clarification is needed. His concerns were echoed by Henry Karlson, Subah Packer, and Richard Meiss. Among the suggestions for substitutions: “thinking and ideas,” “assumptions,” “informed,” and “knowledge.” Jim Baldwin, Richard Ward, and Andre De Tienne were of the opinion that “belief” was an appropriate word in this context, noting that in this case “belief” refers to the fact that students accept certain ideas and act based on their understanding and background. Ward noted that he often asks students to reconsider their beliefs and cultures, although he doesn’t want to deny them. Vermette asked the IFC to vote on whether or not the word “belief” should remain in the definition. A majority of the IFC voted in favor of keeping the word “belief.”

Richard Meiss noted that the steps contained in the principle lack context.

Andre De Tienne noted that this version of the principle is a vast improvement over the original.

Vermette closed discussion by announcing that the IFC will act on this proposed principle at the April 3<sup>rd</sup> IFC meeting.

#### **Agenda Item X: [SECOND READING] IFC-2007-06: Language re: the Implementation & Revision of the PULs: Betty Jones (Chair – Academic Affairs Committee, 4.2248, [betjones@iupui.edu](mailto:betjones@iupui.edu)).**

Jones, then, re-read the language proposed as an addendum (*Circular IFC-2007-06*) to the *Principles of Undergraduate Learning* addressing the implementation, assessment, and revision process. This language, she explained, was originally proposed [in 2005] as a preamble, but became a postscript at the suggestion of the 2005-06 IFC. Jones noted that the original PULs (1998) stated nothing about “ownership” and the revision processes, motivating her committee to clearly and publicly address the issue.

Original Language (from the 1998 PULs): The AAC recommends that the IUPUI Faculty Council adopt the following descriptions of the Principles of Undergraduate Learning. These descriptions include brief definitions and the general ways in which the principles can be demonstrated.

The Principles of Undergraduate Learning are the essential ingredients of the undergraduate educational experience at Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis. These principles form a conceptual framework for all students' general education but necessarily permeate the curriculum in the major field of study as well. More specific expectations for IUPUI's graduates are determined by the faculty in a student's major field of study. Together, these expectations speak to what graduates of IUPUI will know and what they will be able to do upon completion of their degree.

#### Proposed New Language:

**Introduction.** The Principles of Undergraduate Learning are the essential ingredients of the undergraduate educational experience at Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis. These principles form a conceptual framework for all students' general education but necessarily permeate the curriculum in the major field of study as well. These principles describe the fundamental intellectual competence and cultural and ethical awareness that we believe every graduate of an IUPUI baccalaureate degree program should attain.

**Implementation.** Faculty who teach undergraduates will include the principles on syllabi, determine which of the principles will be taught and assessed in each of their courses, and so advise students. Faculty in a student's major field of study will determine the expectations for graduates related to the principles, establish plans for and systematically assess and adjust curricula to insure graduates meet the principles, and will advise students regarding the principles and their centrality to the expectations of every IUPUI graduate. Together, these expectations speak to what graduates of IUPUI will know and what they will be able to do upon completion of their degrees.

Assessment. Faculty in each school will annually plan for, implement and assess progress toward meeting the principles in undergraduate courses and curricula. Schools will provide the Academic Affairs Committee (representing Faculty Council), Dean of Faculties and OPII with annual planning and implementation plans early in the academic year, and with assessment reports at the end of the academic year. OPII will determine the format for the assessment reports, coordinate gathering of annual School assessment reports, and prepare a campus assessment report based on the reports provided by the Schools. OPII will distribute a summary of its campus report and recommendations to Faculty Council, the Dean of the Faculties, and Deans and faculties of each School by August 1.

Revisions. Recommendations for revisions to the principles will be directed to the Academic Affairs Committee (representing Faculty Council). The AAC will work with the Dean of Faculties and OPII to coordinate a campus-wide review of proposed revisions. It will be the responsibility of the AAC to propose revisions to Executive Committee for consideration by Faculty Council.

In response to a question from the floor, Jones and Banta explained the “OPII” stands for the Office of Planning & Institutional Improvement.

Subah Packer asked how we can assess the impact on student beliefs. Banta suggested that we are assessing *Outcomes* as opposed to the *Definition* (the sole passage in which the word “belief” appears).

As this proposal has not been reviewed since January 2006, the IFC will act on this proposed language at the April 3<sup>rd</sup> IFC meeting.

**Agenda Item XI: Call for any FC or UFC Standing Committee reports: Rosalie Vermette.**

No discussion.

**Agenda Item XI: Unfinished Business: Rosalie Vermette.**

When Vice President Vermette called for unfinished business, Giles Hoyt proposed a revised resolution regarding the Integrated Image Initiative. Discussion of Hoyt and Marianne Wokeck’s original resolution was closed due to the loss of quorum at the extended February 20<sup>th</sup> IFC meeting. Hoyt moved the following (which was seconded by Marianne Wokeck):

“Be it so moved that schools operating only on the IUPUI campus adopt, within the general guidelines of IU’s Integrated Image Initiative, a logical and prominently displayed IUPUI signature logo reflective of their common mission.”

Hoyt explained that IUPUI has worked for many years to market this campus and its individual and unique strengths...he feels that certain aspects of the Trustee-approved Integrated Image program undermine this progress.

Chancellor Bantz remarked that the Trustee-approved Integrated Image program was a hard-wrought compromise and one with which a number of deans are still uncomfortable. However, previous proposals—including ones that might have featured a higher profile for IUPUI—were rejected by the Board. While the Trustee-approved program is not an ideal solution, it is one that has inspired progress and has, actually, resulted in more stationery featuring the IUPUI mark.

Martin Spechler made this observation: as mixed as our feelings about the Trustee-approved program may be, the Board has made their decision, which is their right. Our representatives to the Board made [IUPUI’s] views known and “we lost.” Spechler cited the protest as unrealistic.

IFC President Ng responded: “If the faculty cannot express its will and preference” then faculty governance is irrelevant. It is critical, Ng said, that the IFC voice its opinion that this program does not achieve the objective of presenting a unified message to students. He, then, explained that, if approved, this resolution would, first, be directed to Interim Vice Chancellor for External Affairs Amy Conrad Warner (as opposed to being forwarded, directly, to the Trustees).

Vice President Vermette called the question and the motion carried, with some objection. The resolution was thereby approved.

**Agenda Item XII: New Business: Rosalie Vermette.**

No discussion.

**Agenda Item XIII: Adjournment: Rosalie Vermette.**

Vice President Vermette adjourned the meeting at 5:00.

Minutes prepared by Faculty Council Coordinator, Molly Martin  
UN 403 / 274-2215 / Fax: 274-2970 / [fcouncil@iupui.edu](mailto:fcouncil@iupui.edu) / <http://www.iupui.edu/~fcouncil>

[Attachment for IFC 3-6-07nutes]

**ATTACHMENTS NOT CONTAINED IN ELECTRONIC MINUTES**

Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI) <b>Faculty Council (IFC)</b> Meeting <b>Wynne Courtroom (Inlow Hall Room 100)</b> / Tuesday March 6, 2007 - 3:00-5:00 pm <b>A G E N D A (IFC: March 6, 2007)</b>			
I.	(*)	Welcome and Call to Order.	Rosalie Vermette (IUPUI Faculty Vice-President, 4.0064, <a href="mailto:rvermett@iupui.edu">rvermett@iupui.edu</a> ).
II.	(*)	Adoption of the Agenda as the Order of Business for the Day.	Rosalie Vermette
III.	(*)	[ACTION ITEM] Approval of IFC 2/6/07 Minutes ( <i>distributed electronically</i> ).	Rosalie Vermette
IV.	(15 minutes)	Updates/Remarks from the Chancellor.	Charles Bantz (Indiana University Executive Vice President and Chancellor of IUPUI).
V.	(15 minutes)	Updates/Remarks from the IFC President.	Bart Ng (IUPUI Faculty President & UFC Co-Secretary, 4.8185, <a href="mailto:bng@math.iupui.edu">bng@math.iupui.edu</a> ).
VI.	(10 minutes)	Question / Answer Period.	Rosalie Vermette
VII.	(2 minutes)	[ACTION ITEM] IFC-2007-03: <i>Bylaws Amendment</i> : Update of Budgetary Affairs Committee Charge ( <i>see reverse side of agenda</i> ).	Henry Karlson (Chair – Constitution & Bylaws Committee, 4.2298, <a href="mailto:hkarlson@iupui.edu">hkarlson@iupui.edu</a> )
VIII.	(10 minutes)	[FIRST READING] IFC-2007-04: Request for Endorsement of IUPUI Student Affairs Committee Recommendations Regarding Campus Student Pedestrian Safety ( <i>see hand-out</i> ).	Marsha Ellett (Chair – Student Affairs Committee, 4.0051, <a href="mailto:mlellett@iupui.edu">mlellett@iupui.edu</a> )
IX.	(15 minutes)	[FIRST READING] IFC-2007-05: Critical Thinking in the Principles of Undergraduate Learning (“PULs”) ( <i>see hand-out</i> ).	Betty Jones (Chair – Academic Affairs Committee, 4.2248, <a href="mailto:betjones@iupui.edu">betjones@iupui.edu</a> ).
X.	(15 minutes)	[SECOND READING] IFC-2007-06: Language re: the Implementation & Revision of the PULs ( <i>see hand-out</i> ).	Betty Jones
XI.	(*)	Call for any FC or UFC Standing Committee reports.	Rosalie Vermette
XII.	(*)	Unfinished Business?	Rosalie Vermette
XIII.	(5 minutes)	New Business?	Rosalie Vermette
XIV.	(*)	Adjournment.	Rosalie Vermette

**Next Faculty Council Meeting: Tuesday April 3, 2007, 3:00-5:00pm, Wynne Courtroom of Inlow Hall (IH 100)**

*Please visit the Faculty Council website at <http://www.iupui.edu/~fcouncil> for agenda, updates & more.*

Agenda prepared by Faculty Council Coordinator Molly Martin: UN 403 / 274-2215 / Fax: 274-2970 / [fcouncil@iupui.edu](mailto:fcouncil@iupui.edu) / <http://www.iupui.edu/~fcouncil>