The School of Liberal Arts (SLA) Report for the Program Review and Assessment Committee September 2016

Compiled by Kristina Sheeler, Associate Dean for Academic Programs, with assistance from:

- SLA International Vision Statement sub-committee, chaired by Professors Marta Anton (WLAC) and Bill Blomquist (POLS)
- SLA Undergraduate Curriculum and Standards Committee (15-16), chaired by Professor Beth Goering (COMM)
- COMM R110 Leadership Team: Steve Overbey, Ian Sheeler, Angela Sisson
- Scott Weeden, SLA Faculty Fellow for Assessment

School of Liberal Arts at a Glance

The School of Liberal Arts at IUPUI is a diverse public liberal arts college, with its emphasis on teaching and research in the social sciences and the humanities. Education in the liberal arts is both theoretically-rich and practically-driven, as we seek to create knowledge in our disciplines and programs and with our community partners that will positively effect change on local, national, and global levels. We house 12 academic departments, 26 academic programs, and several research centers and institutes. We have over 20 undergraduate majors, several undergraduate certificates and minors, over 25 MA degrees and certificates, and two PhD programs (with a third just approved) as well as PhD minors.

The School of Liberal Arts does not have a regular assessment cycle (yet). Over the last year, we have begun activities to change that fact, starting with appointing Scott Weeden, Senior Lecturer in English, as an Assessment Fellow. Scott's task in the spring was to facilitate conversations with chairs and program directors to find out what was happening in the school related to continuous review and improvement and suggest how we might build on those activities. Scott discovered an openness, and even a desire (albeit cautious), to learn from others, and this year we hope to facilitate brownbag conversations and other efforts to encourage faculty sharing of best practices related to assessment. This semester, Scott will begin to bring together what he has learned to suggest a first draft review and improvement cycle for the school.

Four items will provide the content of this report:

- As a School, we have engaged in two large efforts to align our curriculum with important national trends and campus initiatives: 1) global learning and the 2) degree qualifications profile mapping. Each will be summarized below.
- Moreover, there are departments and programs that are leaders in terms of continuous review and improvement based on direct evidence of student learning. 3) Efforts in one of our large-enrolling general education core courses, COMM R110 Fundamentals of Speech Communication, will be shared.
- 4) Finally this report will list some opportunities that came through in Scott Weeden's conversations with chairs as we seek to develop a culture of continuous review and improvement in the School of Liberal Arts.

Global Learning

In the process of developing our School of Liberal Arts International Vision Statement, we reviewed the undergraduate student learning outcomes in all Liberal Arts departments. Happily we discovered that all liberal arts departments include some element of global learning as an outcome we hope our students attain upon graduation. Specifically, student learning outcomes in the School of Liberal Arts center around cognitive and affective dimensions of global learning with particular emphasis on depth and breadth of global awareness and understanding, appreciation of cultural perspectives, as well as application of knowledge. In particular, a survey of SLOs for SLA majors address the following International Learning Guidelines aligned with AAC&U's Global Learning Value Rubric:

Global awareness (GA)	Appreciation (APR)	Application (APL)
Have a good working knowledge of the broader world, its natural systems and nations, their characteristics, and their relationships with each	 Recognize the many ways "the global is reflected in the local" within the United States and beyond. Appreciate the 	Are skilled at interacting and collaborating with individuals and organizations from other countries.
 Are able to analyze and evaluate the forces shaping international events, both now and in the past. 	complexity of contemporary cultural systems and know the fundamental principles of intercultural understanding and communication.	Can apply their international learning to diversity in the communities in which they live.
Have detailed knowledge of the cultures, languages, history, and/or current condition of at least one country beyond the United States.		
Have reflected upon the distinctive position of the United States on the international stage, and have a good, working knowledge of American history and cultural systems.		

¹ IUPUI Campus Bulletin 2014-2016 http://bulletins.iu.edu/iupui/2014-2016/schools/liberal-arts/undergraduate/student_learning_outcomes/index.shtml

Evidence of these outcomes can be seen consistently in our Continuing Student Survey results. For example, Liberal Arts students self-reported the following levels of effectiveness on the Understanding Society and Culture PUL (4 pt scale, where 4 is very effective):

PUL	2010 mean	2011 mean	2013 mean	2014 mean
Understanding Society and Culture	3.43	3.52	3.51	3.41

Moreover, Liberal Arts students report the following level of satisfaction (2014), which is slightly higher than the IUPUI mean level of satisfaction:

	SLA mean (5-point scale, 5 = very satisfied)	IUPUI mean (5-point scale)
My experiences at IUPUI	3.38 (5-point scale, 5 =	3.33
have prepared me to live and	strongly agree)	
work in a diverse society.		

Finally, according to the 2014 Continuing Student Survey, Liberal Arts students participated in study abroad at *nearly double* the IUPUI rate: 9.9% SLA; 5.3% IUPUI

According to our 2014 Graduating Student Survey, students report the following level of satisfaction with international opportunities broadly:

	Mean (5-point scale, 5 = very satisfied)
Opportunities to pursue international studies	3.65

This is lower than we would hope and, in line with our International Vision Statement, we have placed attention on developing global learners in a variety of ways, including:

- Exposing students to international perspectives in disciplines ranging from Anthropology, Applied Linguistics, TESOL, and Africana Studies to International Studies, Religious Studies and Political Science.
- Requiring Liberal Arts BA students to complete at least four semesters of a world language.
- Supporting student participation in any of 15 available Liberal Arts <u>Study Abroad</u> programs which enroll nearly 100 students each year.
- Engaging our students with international local communities through service learning and civic engagement experiences.
- Providing English language instruction for non-native speakers of English and collaborative learning opportunities for international and domestic student partnerships.
- Conducting student-faculty research in global and international contexts.
- And promoting student participation in a variety of co-curricular activities.

With our global learning efforts focused on the above items, our hope is that student reports of international opportunities on future Graduating Student Surveys will increase.

Degree Qualifications Profile

The SLA Undergraduate Curriculum & Standards Committee was charged by Faculty Assembly to review the School of Liberal Arts Baccalaureate Competencies. We determined that such a review is two-pronged and requires answering two questions: 1) Does the curriculum as a whole deliver what it should? 2) Are students learning what they should in individual courses? We focused our attention during 2015-16 on the first question. Specifically, we mapped the curriculum of the Baccalaureate Competencies to models of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs), including the Degree Qualifications Profile (DQP), IUPUI's Principles of Undergraduate Learning, and Indiana's Statewide Competencies. We concluded that SLA's Baccalaureate Competencies map well to these nationally and locally accepted SLOs. In other words, a student completing the Baccalaureate Competencies should know and be able to do what a student who has completed 60 hours at a college/university should be expected to know and be able to do. We also noted a few questions that came through the mapping process, which will guide the next step: to assess whether the courses listed in each Baccalaureate Competencies cluster meet the SLOs associated with that cluster. We plan to embed this level of review in the School's long-term review efforts.

Mapping First 60 Hours of SLA Curriculum to State General Ed Standards, IUPUI's PULs, and Associate Level DOPs

	associate level,	State Gen Ed	IUPUI PULs	Where accomplished	Notes
	nould be able to:	equivalency	equivalency	in SLA curriculum*	
Specialized	[This is related	N/A	PUL 4:	In major	
Knowledge	to the student's		Intellectual		
	major, so we		Depth, Breadth,		
	didn't focus on		& Adaptiveness		
	this for this				
	analysis]				
Broad &	Describe how	4. Scientific	PUL 4:	Core & BC Arts &	
Integrative	existing	Ways of	Intellectual	Humanities, Social	
Knowledge	knowledge or	Knowing	Depth, Breadth,	Sciences, and Life &	
	practice is	5. Social and	& Adaptiveness	Physical Sciences	
	advanced,	Behavioral			
	tested and	Ways of			
	revised in each	Knowing			
	core field	6. Humanistic			
	studied — e.g.,	and Artistic			
	disciplinary and	Ways of			
	interdisciplinary	Knowing			
	courses in the				
	sciences, social				
	sciences,				
	humanities and				
	arts.				
	Describe a key		PUL 4:	Core & BC Arts &	
	debate or		Intellectual	Humanities, Social	

	problem relevant to each core field studied, explains the significance of the debate/ problem to the wider society, shows how concepts from the core field can be used to address the	Depth, Breadth, & Adaptiveness	Sciences, and Life & Physical Sciences	
(debates or problems.			
	Use recognized methods of each core field studied, including the gathering and evaluation of evidence, in the execution of analytical, practical or creative tasks.	PUL 4: Intellectual Depth, Breadth, & Adaptiveness	Core & BC Arts & Humanities, Social Sciences, and Life & Physical Sciences	
	Describe and evaluate the ways in which at least two fields of study define, address and interpret the importance for society of a problem in science, the arts, society, human services, economic life or technology.	PUL 4: Intellectual Depth, Breadth, & Adaptiveness	Core & BC Arts & Humanities, Social Sciences, and Life & Physical Sciences	

Intellectual					
Skills					
DQP - At the	associate level,	State Gen Ed	IUPUI PULs	Where accomplished	Notes
	nould be able to:	equivalency	equivalency	in SLA curriculum*	
Analytic	Identify and		PUL 2: Critical	Most likely part of	Can we
Inquiry	frame a problem or question in selected areas of study and distinguish among elements of ideas, concepts, theories or practical approaches to the problem or question.		Thinking	Core & BC A&H, Soc Science	guarantee all students get this? We're not sure it's the learning outcome of Core Analytical Reasoning
Use of Info	Identify,		PUL 1: Core	Core Communication	
Resources	categorize, evaluate and cite multiple information resources so as to create projects, papers or performances in either a specialized field of study or with respect to a general theme within the arts and sciences.		Communication & Quantitative Skills		
Engaging Diverse Perspective s	Describe how knowledge from different cultural perspectives might affect interpretations of prominent problems in politics, society,		PUL 5: Understanding Society & Culture	Core Cultural Understanding; BC World Language & Culture	

	DIII 5:	Core Cultural	
	O .		
	Culture	Culture	
	PUL 6: Values	Core Communication	This is
	& Ethics		<mark>undoubtedly</mark>
			part of many
			A&H, Soc
			Science, and
			<mark>Cult</mark>
			Understanding
			classes, too.
			Perhaps we
			<mark>should</mark>
			encourage encourage
			teachers to
			highlight this
			outcome in
			those classes.
State Gen Ed	IUPUI PULs	Where accomplished	Notes
equivalency	equivalency	in SLA curriculum*	
3.	PUL 1: Core	Core & BC Life &	Can we
Quantitative	Communication	Physical Sciences +	<mark>guarantee</mark>
Reasoning	& Quantitative	Lab, Social Sciences,	students get
	Skills	Computer Profic.	this?
	equivalency 3. Quantitative	State Gen Ed equivalency 3. PUL 1: Core Communication & Quantitative Reasoning & Quantitative	Understanding; BC World Language & Culture PUL 6: Values & Ethics Core Communication State Gen Ed equivalency 3.

Communica	Present accurate interpretations of quantitative information on political, economic, health-related or technological topics and explain how both calculations and symbolic operations are used in those offerings.	3. Quantitative Reasoning 1. Written	PUL 1: Core Communication & Quantitative Skills	Core & BC Life & Physical Sciences + Lab, Social Sciences Core Communication	Can we guarantee students get this?
tive Fluency	Develop and present cogent, coherent and substantially error-free writing for communication to general and specialized audiences.	Communicatio n	Communication & Quantitative Skills	- Writing (ENG-W131 or ENG-W140)	
	Demonstrate effective interactive communication through discussion, i.e., by listening actively and responding constructively and through structured oral presentations to general and specialized audiences. Negotiate with	2. Speaking and Listening	PUL 1: Core Communication & Quantitative Skills	Core Communication - Speaking and Listening (COMM- R110) BC Writing Proficiency	
	peers an action		spelled out in our PULs]	Do whiting Frontiericy	

Applied & Collaborativ e Learning	plan for a practical task and communicate the results of the negotiation either orally or in writing. Analyze at least one significant concept or method in the field of study in light of learning outside the classroom.		PUL 3: Integration & Application of Knowledge		This is part of many classes, but do we guarantee all students get this?
	Locate, gather & organize evidence regarding a question in a field-based venue beyond formal academic study and offer alternate approaches to answering it.		PUL 3: Integration & Application of Knowledge		This is part of many classes, but do we guarantee all students get this?
	associate level,	State Gen Ed	IUPUI PULs	Where accomplished	Notes
the student sh	nould be able to:	equivalency	equivalency	in SLA curriculum*	
	Demonstrate the exercise of any practical skills crucial to the application of expertise.			BC Life & Physical Science Lab	
	Describe in writing at least one case in which knowledge and skills acquired in academic settings may be applied to a field-based			BC Life & Physical Science Lab	

	challenge, and			
	evaluate the			
	learning gained			
	from the			
	application.			
Civic &	Describe his/her		Core Cultural	
Global	own civic and		Understanding	
Learning	cultural			
	background,			
	including its			
	origins and			
	development,			
	assumptions			
	and			
	predispositions			
	Describe		Core Cultural	
	diverse		Understanding & BC	
	positions,		World Languages and	
	historical and		Culture	
			Culture	
	contemporary,			
	on selected			
	democratic			
	values or			
	practices, and			
	present his or			
	her own position			
	on a specific			
	problem where			
	one or more of			
	these values or			
	practices are			
	involved.			
	Provide			This is part of
	evidence of			many classes,
	participation in a			but do we
	community			<mark>guarantee all</mark>
	project through			students get
	either a spoken			this?
	or written			
	narrative that			
	identifies the			
	civic issues			
	encountered			
	and personal			
	insights gained			

from this experience.		
Identify an economic, environmental		This is part of many classes, but do we
or public health challenge		guarantee all students get
spanning countries,		this?
continents or cultures, present evidence for the		
challenge, and take a position		
on it.		

^{*}We recognize that these learning outcomes are introduced and reinforced in many classes offered in our curriculum. We have listed only the courses/categories that all students are required to take (i.e., where we know that upon completion of their first 60 hours any student will have received education directly related to the stated learning outcome).

This exercise was useful if only to illuminate what we may not know. In other words, while at first glance our Baccalaureate Competencies map well to these nationally and locally accepted SLOs, we need to gather additional evidence to make sure that all the courses listed in the categories accomplish the specific goals related to analytic inquiry, ethical reasoning, quantitative fluency, applied and collaborative learning (highlighted above), and some elements of civic and global learning. This level of assessment will be part of longer-term efforts as we develop and implement a school-level assessment plan.

Direct Measure of Student Learning: COMM R110: Fundamentals of Speech Communication

You may recall from last year's report that COMM R110 has been engaged in long-term efforts to provide direct evidence of student learning in anticipation of our General Education Review. We continued this effort, focusing specifically on the final persuasive speech. In the following table you see select rubric criteria assessed, mapped to the R110 student learning outcomes, PULs, and statewide competencies:

R110 Fundamentals of Speech Communication: Theory and practice of public speaking; training in thought processes necessary to organize speech content for informative and persuasive situations; application of language and delivery skills to specific audiences. A minimum of 5 speaking situations.

minimum of 5 speaking situations. Critoria D110 Learning Outcomes DIU a Statewide Comp						
Criteria	R110 Learning Outcomes	PULs	Statewide Comp			
Content	Understand the importance of	1A, 2	2. Adapt an oral			
development,	audience analysis and to be		message for diverse			
language	able to conduct worthwhile		audiences, contexts,			
	audience analyses and apply		and communication			
	the result.		channels.			
Organization	Master different systems of	1A	1. Use appropriate			
	organization and apply		organization or			
	appropriate organization to		logical sequencing			
	different types of speeches.		to deliver an oral			
			message.			
Argumentation,	Develop and exhibit critical	1A, 2	4. Advance an oral			
Defining the Problem	thinking and logical reasoning		argument using			
	in speech preparation, delivery,		logical reasoning.			
	and evaluation.		5. Provide credible			
			and relevant			
	Learn and use appropriate		evidence to support			
	principles of persuasion in		an oral argument.			
	speaking assignments.					
Language	Improve (achieve) clarity of	1A	7. Summarize or			
	oral and written ideas.		paraphrase an oral			
			message to			
			demonstrate			
			comprehension.			
Delivery	Practice and demonstrate	1A	3. Identify and			
	appropriate delivery skills.		demonstrate			
			appropriate oral and			
			nonverbal			
			communication			
			practices.			
Content	Use credible research tools.	1A, 2	5. Provide credible			
Development,			and relevant			
Support of thesis, use			evidence to support			
of source citations			an oral argument.			
Delivery Content Development,	Learn and use appropriate principles of persuasion in speaking assignments. Improve (achieve) clarity of oral and written ideas. Practice and demonstrate appropriate delivery skills.	1A	and relevant evidence to support an oral argument. 7. Summarize or paraphrase an oral message to demonstrate comprehension. 3. Identify and demonstrate appropriate oral and nonverbal communication practices. 5. Provide credible and relevant			

Starting in **spring 2015**, and using our standard rubric (modeled after the VALUE rubric), faculty evaluated 225 student persuasive speeches in five areas (listed below), using four ratings: exemplary (4), satisfactory (3), needs improvement (2), and deficient (1).

Policy	Average
(Persuasive)	
N=225	
Defining the	2.82
problem	
Use of source	2.8
citations	
Organization	2.8
Language	2.94
Delivery	2.83

The majority of our students can perform at a satisfactory level or better on each criterion, even though the average for each area is slightly below 3. For example, 75% of our students earned at least satisfactory in use of source citations.

In the summer/fall of 2015, we participated in a pilot project that relied on independent raters to evaluate 75 student speeches using the VALUE rubrics.

N=75	Rater 1	Rater 2
Supporting Material	3.027	2.972
Central Message	3.541	3.178
Organization	3.125	3.109
Language	2.888	2.931
Delivery	2.861	3.095

Once again, the majority of our students earned at least satisfactory in each category. Moreover, our faculty ratings are very similar to the independent raters. In this initial effort, we learned that not all faculty members evaluate students on each rubric item. For example, the number of students who received an evaluation on **language** from faculty raters was lower than the rest of the items. As a result, we implemented faculty development and training sessions on using the rubrics, but comfort level seems to be taking some time.

We continued this effort in **spring 2016**, evaluating 555 student artifacts using out standard rubric modeled after the VALUE rubric, with the following results:

N=555 Invention: Topic Choice	3.34
Invention: Monroe's Defining the Problem	3.4
Invention: Use of Source Citations	3.15
Arrangement: Organizational Pattern	3.4
Style: Language	3.22
Delivery: Physical Delivery	3

As this most recent evidence demonstrates, student scores are higher than the previous semester. But did a student's ability to demonstrate the competency improve? In part the improvement could be attributed to increased faculty development, but inflation may also be playing a role. Thus we acknowledge continued need for faculty development, specifically norming sessions, which is already in the works. In addition, some faculty still seem reluctant to provide feedback to students in all rubric categories. Language is still a category where a few faculty seem reluctant to provide continuous feedback. We are aware of these challenges and are continuing to address them, while we also celebrate the positive learning achievements of students in COMM R110.

Recommendations/Opportunities to Develop a Culture of Assessment in the School of Liberal Arts

- Opening channels between those working on assessment in various departments and schools to learn from one another and to share best practices is a priority this year. This effort would respond to the desire across the school for more information and more conversation on student learning and on assessment generally. The first brownbag conversation is scheduled for Friday October 21 and will involve faculty members from Anthropology, Communication Studies, English, Classics, and French sharing the good work going on in each program.
- Looking for formal moments for sharing information about assessment in the school is another possibility. One place this could occur is at Faculty Assembly, especially after the submission of the school's Program Review and Assessment Committee report. This occasion could be the moment to highlight assessment efforts in the school, to give kudos to those programs that are showing interesting or significant gains, and to give faculty a chance to ask questions about assessment and about how assessment efforts affect them directly.
- Hosting events like "School Assessment and Student Learning Day" is another possibility. For such an event, programs and departments could showcase what their students are doing and learning. Such an event would have the practical value of showing what liberal arts students do and what makes the liberal arts degree, even a liberal arts minor and/or certificate, valuable. Showcasing alumni and what they are doing would also prove valuable for connecting present student learning with later career and employment achievement.

• We continuing to look for ways to encourage and support ongoing assessment initiatives, especially those that show how assessment does not have to be an added-on burden. One place where administrative support could do the most for assessment and faculty is to be sure that assessment initiatives and practices take advantage of and enhance what faculty already do. This might entail having departments do the sort of inventory of present practices French, History, and Philosophy have undertaken or are planning to undertake. The Faculty Fellow for Assessment role could be employed to facilitate this effort.

We hope this report demonstrates that the School of Liberal Arts is beginning an effort to make continuous review and improvement a regular part of our activities. Moreover, good efforts to document and improve student learning are already underway, like those in Communication Studies and other departments not included here. We look forward within the school to sharing these success stories with one another in an effort to inspire others to get involved in this work.