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AGENDA ITEM 1
Call to Order

Vice President Irwin called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 2
Memorial Resolution - (IUPUI Circular 101/83-84)

A memorial resolution was read for Patricia Cunnea.

The memorial resolution for Mel Garland will be presented at the October meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 3
Approval of Minutes - May 5, 1983

The minutes of the May 5, 1983 meeting were approved as distributed.

AGENDA ITEM 4
Presiding Officer's Business - Vice President Glenn W. Irwin, Jr.

A. Vice President Irwin introduced the new administrators. They are:

Gerald Powers, Acting Dean, School of Social Work
Walter Daly, Dean, School of Medicine
William Plater, Dean, School of Liberal Arts
John Long, Acting Dean, School of Business

B. Vice President Irwin presented the following items:

OPERATING BUDGET: (IUPUI Circular 102/83-84)

a. This is the first time since IUPUI was created that we have not had either cash or bonding authority for new construction.

b. We obtained R & R funds both those that were lost in the previous biennium as well as for this biennium. That will permit us to do the following:

1. Remodel chemistry laboratories, School of Science;

2. Extensive renovation in Cavanaugh Hall for Student Services which
will consolidate Student Services.

3. We are appropriating $50,000 a year for the handicapped students which the Faculty Council recommended several years ago.

4. The Herron School of Art will have some safety factors, that have been a problem, improved and corrected.

5. There will be projects done at the medical, dental, and nursing buildings.

6. We do hope to see some capital improvements.
   a. State Board of Health has approved the Riley Hospital addition which should be under construction by October.
   b. Demolition is occurring in Lockfield Gardens. It is hoped that this will open up private development on the campus of not only housing but a multipurpose University facility.

REGISTRATION:

The new registration system was used this year for the first time. The computer system broke down after 18,000 students had used the system. Problems occurred during the last three days.

ENROLLMENT:

1. We have no official enrollment reports as yet.

2. Headcount is up, it appears, one percent and credit hours are up about two percent.

Vice President Irwin then called upon Executive Dean Schaller. Dean Schaller discussed the following items:

YOUNG SCHOLARS PROGRAM:

The Honors Program is under the direction of Miriam Langsam. There has been a Faculty Advisory Committee reappointed for next year and it is being chaired by Carol Nathan. Anyone who is interested from the standpoint of their department and school for activities of this nature, please contact Carol Nathan. Our first program was completed this past summer with 125 young people participating.

TESTING PROGRAM:

A policy has been established requiring all new students who were admitted to IUPUI to be tested in mathematics and English prior to their enrollment in courses in those two areas. Our first experience went very well.

GRADUATE STUDIES:

William Nevill has resigned his position as Professor of Chemistry and Director of Graduate Studies on this campus. Bill has become professor of Chemistry and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs at Louisiana State University in Shreveport. Vice President Irwin decided that the position of Director of Graduate Studies would not be filled. These activities will be handled through the Graduate Affairs Committee which is chaired by Professor Jan Shipps. Struther Arnott, the Dean of Purdue University's Graduate School and Leo Solt, the Dean of the Indiana University's Graduate School have agreed to serve on this committee. Dean Yovits, School of Science, has agreed to serve as a non-voting member of the Graduate Council in Lafayette. This position had been held by Bill Nevill. Dean Yovits will be primary administrative liaison with the Purdue University Graduate School and Leo Solt will carry out the responsibilities on this campus.

FACULTY ACCESS TO RECORDS

The State Legislature has passed an act on Access to Public Employee Records. It has been determined that, for this purpose, a University faculty member is a public employee so that, in effect, the State Law, which becomes effective January 1, 1984, will take precedence over any University action. An ad hoc committee is presently meeting to develop University policy
AGENDA ITEM 5
Executive Committee Report - Glen Sagraves, Secretary

STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN MEETING:

1. The standing committees of the Faculty Council are charged with developing goals for 1983-84. These goals should include, not only their physical facility needs, but also their needs of quality education. Hopefully, these will be ready for the October meeting.

2. Each Council member is encouraged to become involved in at least one Council standing committee.

VOTING ROSTER:

After development of the voting roster, and the approval of the Executive Committee, it will be sent to the Nominating Committee for preparation of the ballots for all elections this year. All ballots will be sent to the voting faculty only.

MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETINGS:

There will be a copy of the verbatim minutes of the IUPUI Faculty Council meetings kept in the Council Office. Only a summary of those minutes will be mailed to the faculty. The verbatim minutes will be available for any faculty member wishing to look at them.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Dr. Sagraves introduced the members of the 1983-84 Executive Committee of the IUPUI Faculty Council. They are:

- Henry Besch, School of Medicine
- Jean Gnat, University Library
- Jean Hutten, School of Nursing
- Steven Mannheimer, Herron School of Art
- Hitwant Sidhu, School of Physical Education
- Richard Turner, School of Liberal Arts
- Charles Yokomoto, School of Engineering & Technology
- Susan Zunt, School of Dentistry

CHANGES IN MEMBERSHIP ON COUNCIL:

The changes in the membership list for 1983-84 are as follows:

- Jerry Kaminker, School of Science, will be an alternate for Professor Aliprantis for the fall semester 1983-84.

- Jerry Powers will not be the Unit representative. The school will be holding an election to replace Dean Powers. We should have this information by the October meeting.

COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIPS:

There will be an updated list of standing committee memberships available at the October meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 6
Metropolitan Affairs Committee - Workshop Report - Carlyn Johnson & David Metzger

Professors Carlyn Johnson and David Metzger gave a report on the upcoming Metropolitan Affairs Committee workshop.
AGENDA ITEM 7
New Business

IUPUI EXPOSITION:

Dean Carol Nathan reported on the IUPUI Exposition which will be held on October 6, 1983. This will be held under the Business/SPEA, Education/Social Work complex from 11:30 a.m. until 8 p.m. The opening ceremonies will be at 11:30 a.m.

HERRON SCHOOL OF ART:

Professor Steven Mannheimer, Herron School of Art, announced there will be an open house for University faculty at the Herron School of Art on Friday, September 30. This will be from 4 p.m. until 6 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 8
Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 4:25 p.m.

NOTE: This is only a summary of the minutes. A verbatim copy of the minutes for the IUPUI Faculty Council meetings is on file in the Council Office for any faculty member wishing to read them.

Glen Sagraves, Secretary
IUPUI Faculty Council
SUMMARY
IUPUI FACULTY COUNCIL
OCTOBER 6, 1983
3:30 P.M., LAW SCHOOL, ROOM 116


Visitors Present: Robert Lovell.

CALL TO ORDER:
The meeting was called to order by Vice President Irwin at 3:30 p.m.

MEMORIAL RESOLUTIONS:
Mel Garland (IUPUI Circular 104/83-84) read by Bob Lovell.
Rolla N. Harger (IUPUI Circular 105/83-84) read by Henry Besch.

(A moment of silence was observed for each of the two above faculty members.)

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:
The minutes of the September 1, 1983 meeting were approved as distributed.

PRESIDING OFFICER'S BUSINESS:

Vice President Irwin:
(a) William Plater, the new Dean of the School of Liberal Arts was introduced.
(b) The official enrollment at IUPUI increased 1.1%; Bloomington increased by 1.2%. Northwest and Southeast campuses also had a slight increase. The total I.U. system has 81,198 students of which 23,514 are at IUPUI. Total credit hours increased by 1.8% at IUPUI and .5% for the system.
(c) Forty-four new requests have been approved for new degrees by all institutions in the state none of which have been funded. The Commission on Higher Education will recommend to the Budget Committee today which programs to launch with the allotted two million dollars.
(d) All members were encouraged to attend the first IUPUI Exposition. Approximately 100 schools, departments and organizations have exhibits. It is quite an open house with an excellent crowd.

Dean Howard Schaller:
(a) The President will announce the new University policy relative to access to and Maintenance of academic employees' records at the University Faculty Council meeting on October 11, 1983. The policy takes into account not only the law but the interests of the faculty. The policy statement will be made available to all academic employees.
(b) The Trustees and University administration have made it possible for each faculty member to have the option to participate in supplemental retirement funds from not only the TIAA/CREF plan but from any one of five other approved financial institutions. Mr. Thomas Hines who handles insurance and retirement will be able to answer your questions.
(c) A Task Force, chaired by Nell Lantz, is reviewing the whole matter of student services on this campus and will report to the administration on December 1, 1983. The chairmen of last year's Academic Affairs, Student Affairs, and Faculty Affairs Committees serve on this Task Force.
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORTS:

(a) Lists of standing committee memberships were made available.
(b) There have been three changes in Unit representatives to the Faculty Council. They are:
   - School of Law: Paul Galanti will replace Bradley Toben.
   - School of Medicine: Joe DiMicco replaces Shirley Mueller.
(c) The Executive Committee planned and presented a program for the Faculty Relations Committee of the Board of Trustees on September 9, 1983. It was so well received that follow-up reports will be presented at a future meeting on the IUPUI Exposition, the Metropolitan Affairs Workshop, and the Young Scholars Program. Each of the six presentors is to be commended and applauded for complete, concise reports and responding without hesitation on very short notice.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

The options available for participation in supplemental retirement funds were discussed further.

NEW BUSINESS:

John Gallmann, the Director of IU Press and Bob Mandrell, the Assistant Director, told the Council about the kind of books they publish and what they can do for authors. A campus office will soon be open one-half day each week.

GENERAL GOOD AND WELFARE OF THE UNIVERSITY:

The membership was apprised that the School of Journalism has yet to find representation on the Council.

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Glen Sagraves, Secretary
IUPUI Faculty Council
SUMMARY
IUPUI FACULTY COUNCIL
NOVEMBER 3, 1983
LAW SCHOOL, ROOM 116, 3:30 P.M.


Alternates Present: John M. Hunger for Charles F. Bonser; Daniel Benford for Walter J. Daly; Frank Brey for Barbara Fischler; Hugh A. Wolf for Howard Mehlinger; H. Oner Yurtseven for R. Bruce Renda; Jerry Kaminker for C. D. Aliprantis; Dolores J. Hoyt for Jean Gnat, Vania Goodwin for Marie Sparks; J. Setcos for Susan Zunt.


Visitor Present: Dana McDonald

AGENDA ITEM 1 - CALL TO ORDER
The meeting was called to order by Vice President Irwin at 3:35 P.M.

AGENDA ITEM 2 - MEMORIAL RESOLUTION - KAREN LEE BAXTER-GABBARD (IUPUI Circular 106/83-84)
The memorial resolution was read by Dr. Alvin Levine. A moment of silence was observed.

AGENDA ITEM 3 - APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
The minutes of October 6, 1983 were approved as distributed.

AGENDA ITEM 4 - PRESIDING OFFICER'S BUSINESS
DEAN SCHALLER: Arrangements have been made for IUPUI investigators to access the CYBER 205 Super Computer at Purdue, West Lafayette. There will be a cost involved. Wendell McBurney will accept requests for funds for such access. We have been hooked into the Bloomington computer and now into the Purdue computer.

The East Asian Studies Center in Bloomington is joining with the Indianapolis Museum of Art to celebrate the 100th anniversary of the museum. There will be a special exposition of the world renowned Eli Lilly collection of Chinese art from November 23 through January 22.

On Tuesday, November 29 at 8:15 p.m. in the School of Nursing auditorium there will be a lecture by Jonathan D. Spence on China and America cultural context and cultural conflict. In January, IUPUI and the Indianapolis Museum of Art are going to join with the Midwest Regional China Seminar for a two-day program.

AGENDA ITEM 5 - EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT
We have two Council members from the School of Journalism. Dean Richard Gray as an administrative representative. Shirley Quate will be the unit representative with her term expiring in 1985.

The names of six IUPUI faculty members have been submitted to Vice President Orescanin to serve as members of a Task Force to carry the story of the University to every county in the state.

The names of eight IUPUI faculty members have been presented to President Ryan to serve this year on the review committee for four University administrators.

There will be two recommendations for changes in insurance benefits for the faculty at the November 8 University Faculty Council meeting.
The Nominating Committee of IUPUI Faculty Council is preparing ballots at this time for the nominations of the at-large representatives which will be elected in January. Those ballots for the nominations should be out and in your hands by mid November.

AGENDA ITEM 6 - NEW BUSINESS

It was mentioned that many of the faculty would like the IUPUI calendar which was put out by the IU Foundation. The request will be noted and the appropriate people informed that its absence was felt.

AGENDA ITEM 7 - GENERAL GOOD AND WELFARE OF THE UNIVERSITY

The fringe benefits which will be coming to the University Faculty Council were elaborated upon:

Life Insurance: There will be no change in current coverage. You will have the option to take more coverage at extremely favorable rates.

Disability Income: These were reported in the October 11, 1983 University Faculty Council minutes as the developing proposal stood at that time. The details of the final proposal will be presented on November 8, 1983.

The University Faculty Council meeting will be on November 8 in the Union Building in the Harrison/Porter Room at 1:30 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 8 - ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 4:35 p.m.

********************************************

Immediately following the adjournment of the IUPUI Faculty Council meeting, Vice President Irwin gave the State of the Campus Address. (IUPUI Circular 107/83-84).

Alex Sagraves
Glen Sagraves, Secretary
IUPUI Faculty Council
ANNOUNCEMENT OF
INDIANA UNIVERSITY-PURDUE UNIVERSITY AT INDIANAPOLIS
FACULTY COUNCIL MEETING
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 1, 1983
3:30 P.M., LAW SCHOOL, ROOM 116

AGENDA

I. Call to Order

II. Approval of Minutes - November 3, 1983

III. Presiding Officer's Business - Vice President Glenn W. Irwin

IV. Executive Committee Report - Glen Sagraves, Secretary

V. General Good and Welfare of the University

VI. Adjournment
SUMMARY
IUPUI FACULTY COUNCIL
MEETING
DECEMBER 1, 1983
LAW SCHOOL, ROOM 116


Alternates Present: Deans: M. Fuller for Elizabeth Grossman; Michael Curtis for Ralph McDonald; Merle Draper for Howard Mehlinger; Kay Carl for Edward Pierce; James East for William Plater; Doulgas Heerema for Jordan Leibman.

CALL TO ORDER

Vice President Irwin called the meeting to order at 3:30 P.M.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES - November 3, 1983

The minutes of the November 3, 1983 meeting were approved as distributed.

PRESIDING OFFICER'S BUSINESS

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Many are interested in what the University requests will be in the 1984 short session of the Indiana General Assembly. Among the matters that I expect will be presented, which are being discussed now by the presidents of the state universities, would be an increase in personnel compensation above the level of 3.5 percent which is now built into the existing budget established a year ago. A two percent increase or more has been discussed. We also will probably request start-up money for new degree programs. As you will recall, we have eight or nine degrees on this campus that were approved by the Commission on Higher Education as long as three years ago, but which have never been funded. There are also needs on practically every campus for additional funds for equipment and for supplies and expense. Probably limited items will be requested for capital expenditures; that is yet to be determined. In the last short session we were successful in obtaining an increase in personnel compensation over what had been established a year earlier.

Yesterday, I met with Executive Vice President Haas of Purdue, Vice President Gros Louis of IU Bloomington, and members of the Higher Education Commission staff. The Commission has divided the state into regions and is studying needs and the opportunities for higher education in these regions. The next region to be studied includes Purdue at West Lafayette and IUPUI, also with units of IU Bloomington that are related to locally based system schools or merged schools. We have until September 1984 before a draft of this document will be needed. Many of you will be involved in the development of this document once we establish some guidelines as to what the document should contain.

DEAN SCHALLER: The Student Services Task Force was charged with the responsibility of developing a report and recommendations on both the organization of our activities for providing student services and any statements they wanted to make on broad policy concepts in this area. The committee consisted of Neil Lantz, Chairman; Barbara Fischler, who at that time was the Faculty Council's Student Affairs Committee chair; Rebecca Markel, who at that time and still is, the Faculty Council's Faculty Affairs Committee chair; Richard Fredland, who at that time was the Faculty Council's Academic Affairs Committee chair; and Pat Boaz and David Bostwick, who have had long involvements with student affairs activities via their particular academic units. Also, Stuart Keefer, President of the Student Assembly last year.

The group developed a comprehensive report which they transmitted to Vice President Irwin and to me today. The report contains significant recommendations for changes in the organization of our whole apparatus for delivering student services. It also brings to our attention significant policy concerns which we feel need to be dealt with. Student Affairs covers many things including student organizations, academic services such as testing, counseling, and placement, and administrative services such as admissions, registration, and financial aid.
We now have on this campus more than 7,000 students who are not members of a student body of a degree-granting unit. Therefore, the report directly deals with a significant number of our students. Policy concerns include such things as mission policies for our undergraduate programs and responsibilities for remedial instruction. Copies of the report to the academic deans have been sent and they have been asked to attend a meeting next Friday to begin discussion of this report.

Copies of the report will be available in the University Library, the 38th Street Library, the Herron Library, and the libraries of the Medical School, Dental School, and Law School. In addition, I will send copies of it to the Executive Committee of the Faculty Council. We also will put copies into the hands of students and student leaders and will bring it to the attention of staff members who have a strong interest in the welfare of this campus. We are asking for comments on the report by January 10. I ask that you direct your comments to me. I will assemble them and make those available to the Vice President.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT - Dr. Glen Sagraves

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING: The Board of Trustees of the University will be meeting at the Indianapolis campus on Wednesday, December 7. The Faculty Relations Committee meeting will begin at 8:30 a.m. on Wednesday at the School of Business. The scheduled session of the Board will be at 10:45 a.m. in Room 4095 of the Business/SPEA Building.

NOMINATIONS FOR AT-LARGE REPRESENTATIVES: The ballots for the nomination of the candidates for at-large representative positions are due in by December 9. The Nominations Committee will be meeting on December 15 to count those ballots and prepare the list.

STANDING COMMITTEE LISTS: We have prepared for distribution for you today a list of all the standing committees and the items that have either been referred to them by the Executive Committee or items that these committees have informed the Executive Committee that they are considering. I would like each of you to study them and, if there are additional items that you think we should be considering, send them to me as soon as possible. I will guarantee you that they will be brought to the attention of the Executive Committee, reviewed by them, and referred to the appropriate standing committees for their consideration. The Executive Committee will be meeting again on December 8, January 19 and January 26.

NOMINATING COMMITTEE REPORT - Marie Sparks

The following faculty have been slated for Boards of Faculty Review:

- Bennie Keller, Associate Professor, Engineering & Technology
- Robert Nevin, Associate Professor, Social Work
- Maudine Williams, Associate Librarian, University Libraries
- Aristotle Siakotos, Professor, School of Medicine
- Elizabeth Solow, Professor, School of Medicine
- Pao-Lo Yu, Professor School of Medicine
- Pat Blake, Associate Professor, School of Nursing
- Christian Kloesel, Associate Professor, School of Liberal Arts
- Rebecca Markel, Associate Professor, School of Nursing
- Anoop Sondhi, Associate Professor, School of Dentistry
- Rita Novak, Assistant Professor, School of Law
- Jeffery Vessely, Assistant Professor, School of Physical Education
- Neil Matthew, Associate Professor, Herron School of Art
- Shirley Yegerlehner, Associate Librarian, University Libraries
- Robert Dick, Professor, School of Liberal Arts
- Miriam Langsam, Professor, School of Liberal Arts
- Rosanne Perez, Professor, School of Nursing
- Brian Vargus, Professor, School of Liberal Arts
- Zacharie Brahm, Associate Professor, School of Medicine
- Warren Epinette, Associate Professor, School of Medicine
- Linda Kasper, Associate Professor, School of Nursing
- Lawrence Wheeler, Associate Professor, School of Medicine
- Betsy Joyce, Associate Professor, School of Nursing
- Douglas Lees, Associate Professor, School of Science
- Harry Reichelt, Associate Professor, School of Liberal Arts
- Donna Marzouk, Assistant Professor, Allied Health Sciences
- Charles Palenik, Assistant Professor, School of Dentistry
- Mildred Young, Assistant Professor, Allied Health Sciences

There are five members to be elected to each Board.
DETERMINATION OF THE VALUE OF N: N is the number of faculty represented by one unit representative. N also controls the Council's size indirectly. The higher the N, the smaller the Council size. It also guarantees each unit at least one unit representative. The Nominating Committee came up with possible values of N for you to consider.

1. If N were 36, it would increase the Council size by about 10 percent and would take the Council size up to about 110 faculty members;
2. If N were 40, it would maintain Council size to about 110 faculty members;
3. If N were 50, it would decrease the size of the Council by 10 percent. It would take the size of the Council down to about 92.

The Nominating Committee recommends to you that N be 40.

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Since this is a report from a standing committee, it has been recommended and seconded. So we are in the discussion period now. [After considerable discussion, it was moved and seconded to amend the motion so that N equals 50.]

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Do you understand the amendment to the original motion? N would equal 50. We would vote on that first. [Considerable discussion ensued before the question was called.]

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: The questions has been called for the substitute amendment of voting for N equals 50. All in favor of that motion, say "Aye." Opposed? [A division of the Council was called.] All in favor of that motion, raise your right hand. All opposed, raise your right hand. [The count was 32 to 22.] The motion is defeated. We are now back to the original motion of N equalling 40. All in favor of that motion, say "Aye." Opposed? The Ayes have it. Therefore, N will be 40.

GENERAL GOOD AND WELFARE OF THE UNIVERSITY

It was recommended that, since we are doing so much publicity for the community and how we interface with the community and inasmuch as many of the units are involved in Alumni Days and Continuing Education offerings, there should be a calendar that, when planning for activities, the dates could be double-checked to prevent running into someone else's activity. Example: There was a major conference held on the same days that the Exposition was held.

DEAN SCHALLER: We did have a calendar for this campus that was published by the I.U. Foundation. This was not published this fall because the new President of the I.U. Foundation felt that he should balance the budget of the Foundation. Perhaps University Relations, in connection with some other groups ought to try to develop something. The point is well taken.

It was further suggested that, rather than spending money for publishing a list, that there be a clearing-house and have someone maintain it. We could have a chronological listing of events that we know are scheduled as of certain dates and from time to time publish an addendum.

PAYMENT OF FRINGE BENEFITS DURING SUMMER

The Council was informed that Purdue University does permit summer payment of fringe benefits on grants, subject to those funds being included in the grant. Indiana University does not. Some people have suggested that we do this for the faculty of the Purdue mission based on this campus. This would be a little bit divisive to say one group on this campus could receive these benefits and another could not. It would also probably take action of the Indiana University Board of Trustees. The Executive Committee should be on notice that they have had an issue brought to their attention by a member of the Faculty Council.

Vice President Irwin suggested that he put it on the agenda of the next University Relations Policy Committee. It is a Trustee matter eventually; therefore, it could come from the University Research Policy Committee to the President and then to the Trustees.

UNITED WAY CAMPAIGN

It was noted that we had a recent United Way Campaign on this campus. We did very well. There was a question raised as to having something published concerning the outcome of the campaign. Vice President Irwin is a member of the United Way Board and stated that he would take it up at the next Board meeting.
Indiana University is a bright star in the eyes of United Way.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Glen Sagraves, Secretary
IUPUI Faculty Council

Alternates Present: Daniel Benford for Walter J. Daly; Michael Curtis for Ralph McDonald; Hugh Wolf for Howard D. Mehlinger; Jim East for William Plater; Randi Stocker for Jean Gnat.


GUESTS PRESENT: Charlotte Wright - IUPUI News Bureau.
CALL TO ORDER
Dr. Irwin welcomed the members to the first 1984 Council meeting.

MEMORIAL RESOLUTION - ELEANOR SHELDON (IUPUI Circular 83/84 - 011)
The memorial resolution for Eleanor Sheldon was read by Dean Grossman. A moment of silence was observed.

PRESIDING OFFICER'S BUSINESS - Vice President Glenn W. Irwin
There are about 1,000 bills before the early session of the General Assembly as of today.

Representatives of the public universities met recently and agreed to assign top priority to improved personnel compensation. As you know, for the second year of the biennium we are to have 3.5 percent increases for personnel and the same amount for supplies and expenses. The universities agreed that they would ask for an additional two percent for personnel compensation in 1984-85. A strong effort will be made to obtain funds for replacing equipment and buying new equipment for the public universities.

The budget bill, past by the last session, contained an item of $2 million for start-up of new programs. These were to be new programs already approved by the Commission on Higher Education. The Governor, in his message to the General Assembly, has recommended adding $2 million in that fund. This, of course, is inadequate in the opinion of all of us because going into this session, we had a start-up request for new degrees of about $13 million for all of the institutions. Indiana University had a request for $1.8 million of which $1.1 million was from IUPUI alone.

The shortfall in the Indiana Higher Education Telecommunications Network is about $1 million due to telephone rate increases, decreases in federal funding, and several other reasons. There will be a request from all the universities to make up that deficit. Hopefully, some capital funding may occur. Indiana University has agreed that we would keep the same priorities that we have had going into the session a year ago which includes: (1) Clinical Research Center for this campus, (2) the purchase of the Cable Building, (3) a new telephone exchange system and network, and (4) an addition at our Columbus Center. Hopefully, one or two of those can be funded.

There is also, again, the bill for the creation of an Indianapolis University.

DEAN SCHALLER: One other bill that was introduced for the second time by Senator Borst would give the authority to set fees for public universities to the legislature. If that were to pass, the General Assembly would, in effect, determine the total income available to universities.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT - Dr. Glen Sagraves, Secretary
At the December meeting we distributed a list of all standing committees and what they were working on. The Executive Committee continues to meet every two weeks to monitor and review the progress. We want to again solicit your recommendations for additional committee business.

MARIE SPARKS - Chairman of the Nominating Committee
There are 19 unit representatives to be elected for a term of 1984-85. Letters have gone out to the respective deans from the Council Secretary.

There are 21 at-large representatives who are to be elected sometime in the next six weeks. Those ballots have gone to Bloomington for printing. On the ballot for at-large representatives, we have slated 45 candidates.

The Nominating Committee is requesting from the Faculty Council permission to destroy the at-large nominee ballots that were used in preparing the slate for at-large representatives election. Since this comes from the committee, I don't believe it requires a second.

MARIE SPARKS: Two computer printouts that back up the ballots are not being destroyed. In your packet that you will be receiving for the election of the at-large representatives, you will also be receiving a ballot for the election of University Faculty Council members.

The next item of business is the election of the two Faculty Boards of Review. The nominees' names were read to you at the December 8th meeting. [Following the election of the Faculty Boards of Review, those elected were:]

**FACULTY BOARD OF REVIEW #1**

Patricia Blake, School of Nursing
Bennie Kaller, School of Engineering & Technology
Rebecca Markel, School of Nursing
Elizabeth Solow, School of Medicine
Jeffery Vessely, School of Physical Education

**FACULTY BOARD OF REVIEW #2**

Linda Kasper, Allied Health Sciences
Miriam Langsam, School of Liberal Arts
Norman Lees, School of Science
Neil Matthew, Herron School of Art
Mildred Young, Allied Health Sciences

**INTRODUCTION OF MIRIAM LANGSAM**

DR. SAGRAVES: Miriam Langsam, chairman of the Fringe Benefits Committee of the University Faculty Council will review for us the recommendations for changes that have been passed by the University Faculty Council in the life insurance and the long-term disability. She will then brief us on the pending 18/20 proposal that will be presented at the February meeting of the University Faculty Council.

MIRIAM LANGSAM: At the present time, each faculty member is covered by a life insurance policy, up to the age of 65, which is two times salary provided that salary is not more than $25,000 with double indemnity for an accident. At the age of 65, the formula changes slightly to 1.3 times salary, the maximum of which could be $32,500, with double indemnity for an accident. At retirement, even if it is at age 65, the total amount of insurance drops to $6,000. If you leave the university, you don't have it anymore. The reason that it is limited to $50,000 is that after $50,000, there are tax implications for both the university and the individual. We recommended that we maintain the present system. The university pays for two times salary up to $50,000 until the age of 65, 1.3 times salary up to $32,500 after 65 until retirement, then $6,000 after retirement. We also recommended that the university allow individual faculty members to purchase life insurance up to two times actual salary at the "imputed value" of the insurance. We still have the coverage provided by the university plus the opportunity to purchase additional coverage up to two times salary, at the lowest price possible. Remember this is a recommendation from the University Faculty Council to the President. The President has accepted the recommendation but must now do a lot of investigative work before he can implement it.

The President will report back to the Faculty Council exactly what the Board of Trustees approves. We then will report to you the final results.

The second recommendation concerns the disability program. The present plan allows faculty members who are disabled to receive 70 percent salary replacement from their own retirement (TIAA/CREF). Indiana University has a retirement floor, there will always be a minimum.

The approved recommendation is:

1. To leave the TIAA/CREF equity of a disabled participant intact during the disability benefit period and to finance that disability from other sources. In addition to that, during this disability, the university should continue to pay TIAA/CREF premiums.

2. To reduce the waiting period for new employees. The present policy requires that you wait five years to seven years, depending on your category, before you can be covered by this disability policy. We are recommending that, in the case of an accident, it occur immediately, and otherwise, that the waiting period be reduced to two years. The two-year waiting period means that you, as a faculty member, would get the maximum coverage even for chronic things.
provided you don't have that problem during the first two years.

3. To set the disability replacement formula at 70 percent where there is Social Security, and 60 percent where that is no Social Security, which is the way it was presently worded; to include both primary and secondary Social Security disability benefits in the formula; and to limit to five years that portion of the definition of total disability that is relative to the regular occupational profession.

4. To limit to age 65 the benefit period for continuing disability.

How do we do all of this? The recommendations were: (1) obtain an actuarial estimate of the funding requirements of this plan from the almost seven years of our experience. (2) Have the university finance the cost except if it exceeds .6 percent of the personnel payroll, at which point it will come back to the Faculty Council.

We would still be funded at the same rate of replacement that we presently are -- 70 percent for people who are also getting Social Security; 60 percent for people who are not getting Social Security. We are reducing from five to seven years to two years the waiting period for new employees to be covered with accident coming on immediately. We are not touching the TIAA/CREF. We are paying TIAA/CREF premiums during the critical point that they are disabled.

This is a proposal that was approved by the University Faculty Council. It has gone to the President and the President has accepted it as a report. He will investigate it and try to find an actuary who will accept these conditions, then he will report back to the University Faculty Council as to what the Board of Trustees approves. We then will report to you the final results.

The 18/20 Proposal will be coming to the University Faculty Council in February. In order to be eligible for early retirement at age 65, you must have been employed by Indiana University for 20 years and under TIAA/CREF for 18 years. It was designed to allow eligible employees who wish to retire at or after age 65 but before age 70 to do so without having to settle for a substantially reduced TIAA/CREF retirement annuity.

One of the problems with this plan, the way it now exists, is that there is a provision that says that, for people who decide they want to do it and go out and take a job, you get an interim benefit; you get Social Security. When your income as a retiree exceeds your final salary, the university begins to reduce your interim benefits. The university feels that it would be very hard to explain to the public why you are earning more money retired than when you were working.

Also, the present system does not address people who might like to retire before 65. What we are going to recommend at the next meeting, that, for a period of two years, we reduce the age in which an individual can opt for the 18/20 rule to age 64. At the end of that second year, and the cost figures have been analyzed, we will talk about lowering it subsequently to age 63 and age 62 in two year intervals. The committee is going to recommend doing it by steps starting at age 64. The two years' interval approach will allow us to analyze cost based upon utilization. Those people who are 64, and opt for early retirement, will receive interim benefits for five years and in the sixth year (age 69) must retire and go into regular retirement which means they go into early retirement. They do not have that extra year credited to them.

What we have recommended is: (1) that there be a change in age from 65 to 64 for two years and then an assessment be made of utilization and costs; (2) that there be five years of interim benefits; (3) that TIAA/CREF benefits be continued during the interim period; (4) that the university pay the difference between what you would pay as an individual for medical health insurance at the point of retirement and prior to Social Security eligibility. When you retire at age 65, you have Medicare kicking in and helping cover the medical costs. If you retire at age 64, you are going to have an added cost. The university will pick up the difference; and (5) that the university will not reduce interim benefits until the interim benefits plus other income exceeds final salary plus 40 percent; for administrators or people on a twelve-month appointment, their final salary plus 20 percent. At that point, if you make one dollar over that figure, nothing will happen. If you make two dollars, the university will take one away from your interim benefits. Instead of being on a one-to-one ration, it will be on a two-to-one exchange.

That is the majority of the proposal and the university would fund it as they are now funding it. The formula for how much you get back is a ratio formula. If you retire at age 64, you would get something like 90 percent of what you would have received if you had retired at age 65. If you retire at age 63, you would get something like 80 percent. By the time you get it down to age 62, it would be only something like 50 percent.

DR. SAGRAVES: We thank you very much for presenting that to us and we will look forward to reading the results of this in the February 14 minutes of the University Faculty Council.

DR. IRWIN: May I have your consent that Agenda Item #5 be deferred until the next meeting? We are
The Circular Numbers are being changed as of this meeting. The new numbers will read as follows:

**EXAMPLE:** IUPUI Circular 83/84-011

The 83/84 will indicate the year involved.
The 01 will indicate the month in which the circular appeared.
The last digit (in this case 1) will indicate the circular number.
IUPUI FACULTY COUNCIL
MEETING
FEBRUARY 9, 1984
3:30 P.M., LAW SCHOOL, ROOM 116

AGENDA

I. Call to Order
II. Memorial Resolutions
   Frances Orgain - School of Nursing [Will be read by Dean Grossman]
   Glenn Brackney - School of Engineering & Technology [Will be read by
   Prof. Richard Beck or Dean Renda]
III. Approval of Minutes - December 1, 1983 and January 12, 1984
IV. Presiding Officer's Business - Vice President Glenn W. Irwin
V. Executive Committee Report - Glen Sagraves, Secretary resigned
   Carol Deets - Computer Literacy Program resigned
VI. General Good and Welfare of the University
VII. Adjournment
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IUPUI FACULTY COUNCIL MEETING
FEBRUARY 9, 1984
LAW SCHOOL, ROOM 116


Guests: Carol Deets

CALL TO ORDER
VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

MEMORIAL RESOLUTIONS
VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: The first memorial resolution is for Frances Orgain, School of Nursing. This will be read by Dean Grossman. [The memorial resolution was read and a moment of silence was observed.] [IUPUI Circular 83/84-013 printed in January, 1984 minutes.]

The second memorial resolution is for Glenn Brackney, School of Engineering and Technology. This will be read by Richard Beck. [The memorial resolution was read and a moment of silence was observed.] [IUPUI Circular 83/84-012 printed in January, 1984 minutes.]

APPROVAL OF MINUTES - December 1, 1983 and January 12, 1984
VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: The next item is the approval of the minutes of our December 1 and January 12 meeting. Is there a motion to approve? Is there a second? Is there any discussion? Are there any corrections, deletions, or additions? Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, say "Aye." Opposed? Motion carried.

PRESIDING OFFICER'S BUSINESS
VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Last week we obtained the official enrollment data for the spring semester. Indiana University, as a system, had a slight decrease of about 2.5 percent on all campuses. There was a total of 76,257 students in the system which is the third largest enrollment for a spring semester. Enrollment at Bloomington [headcount] was down .8 percent and it was down at IUPUI by 1.2 percent. The credit hours taken; however, on this campus was down only .5 percent. Fortunately, we did have an enrollment which was above what the budget estimate had been.

The House has passed a budget bill which has only a $2 million item for start-up funds for new programs. Of course, they don't designate what new programs will be funded.

An amendment for a two percent increase in personnel compensation and one for equipment replacement were introduced. These two amendments did fail by a vote of 50 to 46. We have tried to emphasize that the State of Indiana ranks 36th in the nation in higher education support for public institutions on per capita basis. Indiana, as a state, also ranks 10th among public institutions so far as fees being paid by students. Right now the efforts made have been of no avail.

IUPUI, Purdue--West Lafayette, and IU Kokomo would be included in an HEC study which is what they refer to as the West Central Study regarding the needs and the opportunities of these three institutions. The first
session that I know of will probably be with the administration and secondly with the Board of Trustees. Following that, I am certain that they will have a variety of open sessions for faculty, staff and students, alumni, and other groups. In addition to the study of the three institutions, there will be a fifth study of this campus and the West Lafayette campus and the Bloomington campus regarding their broader research national and international programmings. These studies will conclude HEC's review of higher education in the state of Indiana.

The important thing is that we do our best to get correct data into their hands because there has been the complaint in the three other studies that often the data are not correct. Thus, they potentially can draw improper conclusions and recommendations. Please cooperate with the Commission on Higher Education if they come to see you or if they call for meetings of the faculty.

DEAN SCHALLER: Each fall when the Vice President releases the committee list for the campus committees the Promotions Committee is not included. The reason for that is that the Promotions Committee had not been constituted. By resolution of the Faculty Council, the Promotions Committee is made up of a representative from each of the academic units. So, it takes a while into the fall semester before each academic unit has selected their representative. The members of this committee are:

- Jack L. Engledow - School of Business
- James R. Wallihan - School of Continuing Studies
- James R. Roche - School of Dentistry
- Billy L. Abel - School of Education
- A. S. C. Sinha - School of Engineering & Technology
- Arthur H. Weber, Jr. - Herron School of Art
- James W. Torke - I. U. School of Law - Indianapolis
- Colin J. Williams - School of Liberal Arts
- Joseph A. Koss - School of Medicine (Allied Health Sciences)
- Robert A. Harris - School of Medicine (Basic Sciences)
- Richard N. French, Jr. - School of Medicine (Clinical Sciences)
- Marilyn M. Green - School of Nursing
- S. Sue Barrett - School of Physical Education
- Carlyn Johnson - School of Public & Environmental Affairs
- Richard C. Sanborn - School of Science
- Thomas B. Jones - School of Social Work
- Howard G. Schaller, Chairman - Executive Dean and Dean of the Faculties

An advisory committee was recently appointed to deal with the issues surrounding the student activity fee. The Student Services Task Force, in their review, recommended that such a committee be appointed. Sue Barrett of the School of Physical Education will be chairing this committee. Its membership has been released to the Sagamore and the Green Sheet. If you have any particular interest in that issue, I would strongly recommend that you contact Sue Barrett in the School of Physical Education.

Finally, last year we appointed a Library Task Force with the idea of asking a group of faculty members to look into our long term needs for the University libraries; to develop a statement of policy that might guide our library development. We appointed a separate Task Force rather than utilizing the existing library committees because we wanted this group to concentrate solely on long-term and strategy issues and how we might get better hookups with West Lafayette, Bloomington, etc., rather than concentrate on day-to-day operations. The report will be forthcoming before long. That Task Force has been chaired by Professor Martel Zeldin of the department of Chemistry. When that report is released it will be put into libraries and certainly be made available to the Executive Committee of the Faculty Council.

Dean Nagy appointed a committee last summer, headed by Professor Roche of the Dental School, to plan an orientation meeting for new faculty members on this campus. They have scheduled a meeting on April 16 which will be a day-long meeting. All faculty members who had a tenure-related appointment during the last two years will be invited. They have planned a fine program.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

GLEN SAGRAVES: There have been two changes in Unit representatives to the Faculty Council. Both of these representatives' term expires in 1984. One is from the Libraries, Kathy Welker is being replaced by Randi Stocker. Donald Nelson, School of Dentistry, is being replaced by George Willis.

The faculty preference sheets for committee activity have been redesigned. A test scoring form will be used to tally all the preferences. A space has been provided on the instruction sheet for comments. If you don't wish to comment, we are going to request that you return the comment sheet, which is part of the instructions, along with the test scoring form. They should be mailed out to all faculty by February 17. We would like to ask that you get them back to the Faculty Council Office no later than March 16 so that the Executive Committee can start their work on completing the committee selection for the 1984-85 year.
At this time, I would like to introduce Carol Deets. She will inform you of our Computer Literacy Program.

CAROL DEETS: I want to thank you for this opportunity to talk with you. I have been asked to be the Coordinator of this three-year project. It is called the Faculty Computer Literacy Project. Our first task was to decide what "Computer Literacy" was. It might well differ within the different schools. Computer literacy is whatever it takes for an individual to know how to use the computer to best help them in their work world. We need to work with you and find out what you want to learn and try to help you attain the skills you feel are appropriate.

There is going to be a rather detailed report in the upcoming Online which is produced out of Bloomington. I want to call it to your attention and ask you to share it with your faculties. It provides specific information on the advisory committee on this campus.

I want to emphasize that it is a three-year project. We have three groups that are in operation or will be in operation this semester. We hope to extend it to at least seven in the fall and then by next spring to at least ten and then ten every semester from then on. Of the three groups that are in operation right now, each is quite different. Faculty feel uncomfortable about admitting that they don't know how to operate it. Please feel free to contact me at Ext. 8872.

Is the program oriented to the micro or does it also include the mini?

Different groups have different needs. We honestly want to look at those needs and then talk about what piece of equipment is available. The advisory committee for the project is composed of computer experts. We do want people to be exposed to both.

Do you have resources to provide some equipment?

There is an equipment fund. We have purchased 12 IBM's and have 12 Zeniths on order. Right now, Ray Russo is trying to see what would happen if the delivery system included computers in the office for a short period of time.

Do you have an inventory of the current micros?

We are in the process of pulling some of this together. We don't want to teach people that one piece of equipment is better than another. We are working with Winston Long and the microcomputer group. I happen to be on the Academic Advisory Committee for Computer Services. That subcommittee is my advisory committee.

Are you providing the software for micros?

No. Whether or not that is something we will move into in the second or third year, I don't know.

Is there any way of trying to have a maintenance contract?

I would suggest that you talk to Winston Long and the users group because you can have more power in numbers. Right now, my understanding is that it does not exist.

Is an academic consortium being considered for the purchase of computers?

Let me say that you certainly have planted the thought in the appropriate place. You might also want to give Kristin Froehlke a call. We are trying to make arrangements along that line.

Bloomington and Indianapolis computing people have underway a plan for forming a computer users' club. That would, in effect, be a club that would provide information support and possibly discounts to people who become members of it. A question of funding was raised previously. President Ryan raised this issue about literacy of the faculty to deal with computers. Our Academic Computing Committee went to work on it as they did in Bloomington and then the two pooled efforts and came up with this plan which President Ryan was very interested in and, true to his word, he came up with some funding.

How do you set your priorities to increase computer literacy?

I think the important thing is to contact us and let us know that you are interested.

RESIGNATION OF COUNCIL SECRETARY - Glen Sagraves

Due to the priorities of the additional faculty responsibilities that I assumed subsequent to my election in April 1983, I must now, reluctantly, request that you accept my resignation as Secretary of the Faculty Council effective May 1984. Never will I be able to thank you adequately for having entrusted me with this distinct honor. I am most appreciative of your having rewarded me with such a valuable experience. By announcing, in February my intention to resign in midterm, the Executive Committee can charge the Nominating
Committee to conduct an election of a new Secretary of the Faculty Council for a two-year term at the April 5, 1984 meeting. The candidates can be announced at the March 8, 1984 meeting.

Mr. Chairman, I request that the Faculty Council accept my resignation as stated.


GENERAL GOOD AND WELFARE OF THE UNIVERSITY

We are down to the 'General Good and Welfare of the University.' Does anyone have anything? [None]

ADJOURNMENT

This meeting is adjourned.

Glen Sagraves, Secretary
IUPUI Faculty Council
IUPUI FACULTY COUNCIL
MEETING
MARCH 8, 1984
LAW SCHOOL, ROOM 116
3:30 P.M.

A G E N D A

I. Call to Order
II. Approval of Minutes - February 9, 1984
III. Presiding Officer's Business - Vice President Irwin
IV. Executive Committee Report - Glen Sagraves, Secretary
   A. Mannheimer Announcement
   B. Candidates for Secretary of Faculty Council
   C. Approval of 1985-86 Calendar
   D. Constitution & Bylaws Amendment
V. General Good and Welfare of the University
VI. Adjournment

NOTICE: IUPUI Faculty Council will be electing a secretary at the April 5 meeting who will be serving a two-year term beginning in May 1984. Persons eligible for nomination must have served on the IUPUI Faculty Council. Interested faculty members should contact Marie Sparks, Chairperson, IUPUI Nominating Committee, at Ext. 7204 by March 2nd.
SUMMARY
IUPUI FACULTY COUNCIL
MARCH 8, 1984
LAW SCHOOL, ROOM 116
3:30 P.M.


Alternates Present: G. Kent Frandsen for Gerald L. Bepko; Sue Barrett for Nicholas Kellum; Merle Draper for Howard Mehlinger; Sue Mammersmith for William Plater. Professors: F. W. Kleinhans for Forrest Meiere; Judy Cline for Rebecca Porter; John Bonner for Roger Roeske.


Visitors: Charlotte Wright, IUPUI News Bureau; Elton Ridley, Allied Health.

CALL TO ORDER

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Good afternoon, everyone.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES - February 9, 1984

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Our first item of business is the approval of the minutes of our February 9, 1984 meeting. Is there a motion to approve?

Page 2, the fifth line from the bottom, should read "If you do wish to comment, we are going to request that you return the comment sheet...."

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Are there any other corrections? Would someone move to approve the minutes as amended? [Moved and seconded]

PRESIDING OFFICER'S BUSINESS

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: I have a brief report primarily because the Indiana General Assembly was pretty brief with Higher Education again this year. I think I told you at the last meeting that the universities were pressing hard for an additional two percent to be added to the 3.5 percent in budget now for faculty and staff salaries. That did not pass. The equipment budget, which the Commission on Higher Education and the universities have been pressing for several years, did pass. That means that this campus will have an appropriation of $365,000. That is a token insofar as the equipment replacement and new purchases that are needed, but at least the principle is established by the General Assembly that the universities do have a serious equipment issue before them. The budget that they approved does require all fees for students attending public universities to increase by 5.3 percent. The Trustees will determine at their April meeting what the level of those fees will be.

The capital budget was passed. For a long time it didn't look like there would be a capital budget but because the White River Park capital budget request was opened up, it did permit other requests to open. Our Columbus Center was approved for $2.5 million for an addition to that facility. The Law School in Bloomington which is unfinished was approved for $7.2 million. Our friends at Purdue got part of their farm which they have been fighting for.

We have just completed our budget sessions with deans and directors. A little over $8 million was requested for 1984-85 and that is over and above any adjustment in personnel compensation. The best we can determine, at this point, is that we probably have about $500,000 for that $8 million worth of requests. We met this week with Henry Besch and other members of the Budgetary Affairs Committee. They gave us good recommendations as to how this small amount of money should be spread. Their recommendations were similar to the Trustees and the administration's requests; namely, that in a lean year like this, we try to identify as much money as possible for the people that will be continuing on faculty and staff. There are many projects that are very worthwhile that obviously cannot be funded this year.
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

DR. SAGRAVES: After a very short business meeting of the May Faculty Council, we will then have a joint meeting of the staff and faculty. At that meeting the recognition awards will be given to both staff and faculty by Vice President Irwin. Dr. Irwin will introduce the speaker for that meeting. I would like to encourage all of you to be present at that meeting.

The second annual Fitness Day will be held this year on May 18.

Steve Mannheimer, Chairman of the Metropolitan Affairs Committee, has an announcement to make.

PROF. MANNHEIMER: In cooperation with the IUPUI News Bureau (Gretchen Wolfram), you will all shortly be receiving a questionnaire that pertains to faculty expertise which we hope to collate and cross reference. Hopefully, we will come up with what is called an "experts list". It will be disseminated to the media around the city and other interested organizations so that they will know who to contact. Your participation, as well as your colleagues, will be greatly appreciated.

We are in the process of planning our second annual Metropolitan Affairs symposium to be held next fall about the same time it was held last fall - the middle or early part of November. The theme of next year's symposium will be the "Relationship of the Media and the University". Any input that any of you may have would be greatly appreciated.

DR. SAGRAVES: Marie Sparks, Chairman of the Nominating Committee will now announce the results of the two elections -- the at-large representatives for the IUPUI Faculty Council and the University Faculty Council representatives. She will also announce the slate for the Secretary of the IUPUI Faculty Council.

MARIE SPARKS: As you came in you should have picked up the list of the at-large representatives who were just elected for a two-year term. On the reverse side are the ones who were elected for the University Faculty Council. The Nominating Committee would move to destroy the ballots that were submitted for the at-large elections. We do have the computer printout which will not be destroyed.

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: We need a second to put that into a formal motion. [Seconded] Is there any discussion? All in favor of the motion, say "Aye". Opposed? Motion carried.

MARIE SPARKS: For the position of Faculty Council Secretary we did a lot of personal contacts. It appeared in the Green Sheet as well as on the front of the agenda for today's meeting. The slate that the Nominating Committee is presenting to the Faculty Council consists of two candidates: Dr. Ronald Dehnke, School of Education and Dr. Henry Besch, School of Medicine. (See IUPUI Circular 83/84-034 attached) The election for this position will take place at the April 5 Faculty Council meeting.

DR. SAGRAVES: The Calendar Committee and the Academic Affairs Committee submitted to the Executive Committee a calendar which we were intending to bring to you. However, since the time that it was placed on the agenda, there have been major changes that have not yet been finalized. We will, therefore, bring that up at the April 5 meeting. We are talking about the 1985-86 calendar. (See IUPUI Circular 83/84-035 attached)

Our Parliamentarian and Chairman of the Constitution & Bylaws Committee will present an amendment to the Constitution.

PROF. KARLSON: The Constitution and Bylaws Committee moves the following amendment to the Constitution of the IUPUI faculty.

That Article III Section D be amended by adding at the end of the section:

"In order to exercise the rights and responsibilities of Article II, an academic unit must have as its head a dean or director who reports directly to the Chief Executive Officer at IUPUI or the Chief Executive Officer at Bloomington." (See IUPUI Circular 83/84-036 attached)

This would be the first attempt to define what is an academic unit. Although the Constitution and Bylaws, in particular the Constitution, refers to the rights and responsibilities of the faculty -- Article II -- and states that these rights are exercised by academic units; there is no attempt to define what constitutes an academic unit.

Only one group presently represented in the Faculty Council will be effected by this definition and that is Allied Health. Under this definition, Allied Health would not be considered an academic unit. This motion coming from a standing committee; therefore, needs of second.

What does that mean as far as Allied Health representation on the Council?

They would not be entitled to representation on the Council as a separate unit. They would then be
considered, and are in fact, administratively a part of the Medical School.

But, right now they have their own unit representatives.

Yes. The historical background on that is that when the Constitution and representation was being developed in 1976, it was represented to the Council at that time that Allied Health was about to become a separate unit. In fact, there were several groups that were granted representation at that time on the representation that they were going to become separate units. All of the others did; Allied Health did not. In fact, last time there were members of Allied Health who were elected to represent the Medical School. So, the Medical School was electing members of Allied Health because they are under the dean of the Medical School.

Are there enough people present today to vote, according to the Constitution and Bylaws?

Do you mean, do we have a quorum present? A quorum is a majority. Under the Constitution and Bylaws, if there is a call for a quorum, the chair will have to take that.

We can't amend the Constitution within this body.

All we need is a two-thirds vote of those present.

If you will look at Article VI, Section A -- Amendments to the Constitution -- "A constitutional amendment may be brought to the Council by any member thereof, or by a petition signed by 20 voting members of the faculty".

Article VI, Section B states: "If an amendment is approved by two-thirds of those present and voting at any regular meeting of the Council, copies of the amendment shall be distributed by the Secretary of the Faculty Council to the voting faculty within 20 class days of this approval".

There is no requirement of prior notice in the Constitution. It is merely two-thirds of those present and voting. It must be submitted to the faculty and there are provisions for a petition by 50 members of the faculty to have a special meeting on it.

In order to be an academic unit you have to have a dean?

A dean or director. This is not an "inclusive" this is an "exclusive" in the sense that you must have these characteristics. There may be other characteristics but you must at least have these minimal characteristics. We had to have a general definition.

Are the characteristics set down in the Constitution?

No they aren't. That is why we are adding them. There is at least no definition of an academic unit or even an attempt to define the characteristics of an academic unit.

Article III, Section D attempts to speak to the definition of an academic unit. All they describe there is the academic units having faculty organizations with their appropriate documents filed with the Secretary of the Faculty Council.

If this amendment is approved, would that mean then that a unit representative from Allied Health would no longer be there?

That is correct.

Does that mean that the School of Medicine would have an increase in the number of unit representatives?

There is a provision in the Constitution and Bylaws that no academic unit can have more than 50 percent representation on the Faculty Council. The Medical School has already reached the limit.

As far as the School of Medicine is concerned, they could slate Allied Health's teachers as their candidates?

They have done that in the past as a matter of fact.

Why would it not include the stipulation that the academic unit possess a faculty?

Article III, Section D of the Constitution states that: "The rights and responsibilities of Article II are exercised by academic units within the University through faculty organizations established by those units..." I think that clearly enforces departmental faculty.

VICE PRESIDENT: Are you ready for the question?
Could you clarify what we are voting on?

Yes. I will again read the amendment. (See IUPUI Circular 83/84-036 attached)

If this passes this assembly, this merely allows it then to be sent to the faculty for a vote. The vote of this assembly does not put this into affect. The vote of this assembly is merely a procedural step to the submission of this amendment to the entire faculty for a ballot.

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Is there any other discussion? Are you ready for the question? All in favor of the motion, say "Aye". Opposed? Motion carried. [It appeared that the vote was unanimous]

GENERAL GOOD AND WELFARE OF THE UNIVERSITY

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: We have an item the "General Good and Welfare of the University". That might mean for us to go home due to the weather. I will entertain any comments.

I would like to ask a question of Dean Schaller. Last month you gave several of your Task Force committee reports. I remember you appointed a Task Force for the summer session. I would like to know where they are on their deliberations?

DEAN SCHALLER: I understand from the chairman of that Task Force Committee that he will have the report in a couple of weeks. When I receive the report, which is primarily a fact finding report, I will bring that report to the Executive Committee of the Faculty Council with a request that they have it reviewed by the Faculty Council's Budgetary Affairs Committee and the Academic Affairs Committee of the Faculty Council.

ADJOURNMENT

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: We are adjourned. Thank you.

Glen Sagraves, Secretary
AGENDA

I. Call to Order

II. Memorial Resolutions: Edward Shrigley, School of Medicine (Read by: Dr. Zacharie Brahmi)
   Helen Weber, School of Nursing (Read by: Dr. Doris Froebe)
   John Williams, School of Engineering & Technology (Read by: Prof. Cliff Goodwin)

III. Approval of Minutes - March 8, 1984

IV. Presiding Officer's Business - Dr. Glenn Irwin

V. Executive Committee Report - Dr. Glen Sagraves
   Announcements: Fitness Day - May 18, 1984
                   Annual Reports
                   Board of Trustees Meeting - April 7, 1984

   Marie Sparks: Unit Representatives
                Election of Secretary

   Pat Boaz: 1985-86 Calendar
              Graduate School (Ewing) Report
              Final Examinations Policy

VI. General Good and Welfare of the University

VII. Adjournment


Guests Present: Doris Froebe, School of Nursing; Christian Kloesel; Neil Lantz, Administrative Affairs; Neil Rothman; Richard Slocum, Registrar's Office; Charlotte Wright, IUPUI News Bureau.

CALL TO ORDER

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

MEMORIAL RESOLUTIONS:

Dr. Zacharie Brahmi, School of Medicine, read a memorial resolution for Edward Shrigley. (IUPUI Circular 83/84-037.) (A moment of silence was observed.)

Doris Froebe, School of Nursing, read a memorial resolution for Helen Weber (IUPUI Circular 83/84-038). (A moment of silence was observed.)

Cliff Goodwin, School of Engineering & Technology, read a memorial resolution for John Williams. (IUPUI Circular 83/84-039.) (A moment of silence was observed.)

Dean William Plater, School of Liberal Arts, read a memorial resolution for Charles Monnier (IUPUI Circular 83/84-041.)(A moment of silence was observed.)

Dean William Plater, School of Liberal Arts, read a memorial resolution for Robert Campbell. (IUPUI Circular 83/84-042.) (A moment of silence was observed.)

APPROVAL OF MINUTES - MARCH 8, 1984

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: There is a motion and second to approve the minutes of the March 8 meeting. Is there any discussion of the motion? Are there any additions, corrections, or deletions? Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, say "Aye." Opposed? Motion carried.

PRESIDING OFFICER'S BUSINESS

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: I have only a few remarks today. I had thought that we would be a little further along in the budget process, but only yesterday did we get a partial analysis of the first run to the computer. At the last meeting of the Faculty Council I reviewed for you the highlights of what happened in the General Assembly. It has been a very difficult year. In fact, I think the most difficult budget session that I have been through in my many years around here. Beginning next week we will have our first meeting regarding the 1986-87 meeting of the session of the General Assembly. We will be talking with deans, directors and chairmen very soon. It is obvious that universities must muster a new kind of effort for the forthcoming 85-86 session of the General Assembly. We will be calling on many of you to help in that effort which obviously has to be a major effort during the remainder of this year.
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

PROF. SAGRAVES: I would like to introduce first Marie Sparks, Chairman of the Nominating Committee, who will explain to you the handout of today regarding the Unit Representatives. She then will conduct the election for the Secretary of the Faculty Council.

-On the table were handouts showing the unit and at-large representatives for the 1984-85 year as well as the UFC representatives. If you didn't pick it up on your way in, you can pick them up on your way out. There was one change for at-large representative regarding a member of the School of Medicine who could not serve next year. We added Brenda Lyon from the School of Nursing. There are a couple of changes in this list. Michael Cohen is in the School of Education, not the School of Medicine and Jan Shipps is in the School of Liberal Arts, not the School of Medicine. On the back of this sheet, you have the UFC representatives. We will have 13 representatives on the UFC for next year.

We will now distribute the ballots for the election of the Secretary of the Faculty Council. [Following the election, the results were as follows:]

-Dr. Henry Besch was elected the Faculty Council Secretary. He will assume his duties at the end of the May Council meeting. I would like to make a motion to destroy the ballots. [This was seconded]

PROF. SAGRAVES: I have a few brief announcements to make. Our May 3rd meeting will be held in the UNION BUILDING CAFETERIA. The Faculty Council meeting will begin at 3 p.m. The Council meeting will be from 3 p.m. until 4 p.m. We will then adjourn and go directly into the joint meeting of the faculty and staff at 4 p.m. Vice President Irwin will be presiding at that meeting and presenting recognition awards.

The other announcement for May that I want to remind you of is that the second annual Fitness Day will be held on May 18.

The standing committee reports of the Faculty Council will be attached to the May meeting minutes. We announced at the September meeting that we would not have oral reports this year; but that they would be submitted in writing to be a part of the minutes. We also discussed this at a June 9 meeting with the chairmen of all the standing committees.

The Board of Trustees of Indiana University will be meeting in Indianapolis this Friday and Saturday. Friday afternoon there will be seminars on Communications Planning and the Crooks Report on Fringe Benefits. That will be held in the Administration Building. Saturday morning at 8:30 the Student Affairs Committee and the Faculty Relations Committee will be meeting in the Business/SPEA Building. At 9:30 there will be the Fiscal Committee and the Construction Committee meeting in that same building. The Personnel and Real Estate and Legal committees will be in Executive Session.

The scheduled opened session for the Board of Trustees meeting will begin at 10:45 a.m.

At this time, I would like to introduce Pat Boaz, Chairman of the Academic Affairs Committee, who will present three matters from that committee.

-The first item for your approval is the 1985-86 calendar. This should have been sent to you with other Academic Affairs Committee business. If you don't have a copy, I believe there are extras on the table. I will indicate the rationale for this calendar and move its acceptance. If you have any questions, we have present the resident expert on calendars, Neil Lantz.

The Bloomington campus adopted a calendar that differed rather markedly from the IUPUI calendar. Their proposed "Beginning of Classes" is later than ours; there is a very prolonged vacation period over Christmas since their classes do not resume until the 20th of January, and; the Thanksgiving vacation has been extended from three days to one week. Another change in the calendar did conform to the Bloomington calendar and that is the recognition of the Martin Luther King recess. I move the acceptance of the 1985-86 calendar.

-A lot of concern for the calendar and the way it is developed was expressed. It was stated that we should not follow Bloomington's lead to minimum requirements for graduation; that we should continue to keep higher standards.

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Neil, do you have any comments on the calendar?

NEIL LANTZ: The fact that we meet for 15 weeks plus a full week of exams and that Bloomington meets for something less than that, is not a new arrangement. We have for years been consistently longer in our term than Bloomington has been. That was the sentiment of our Calendar Committee again this year that, in spite of the fact that Bloomington still is above the minimum 2000 minutes required in the semester, that it is getting too close to that minimum and it simply was not sufficient reason presented, at least to the Calendar Committee, for us to chop anymore days off. It is not a new comparison that we meet longer than Bloomington.
DEAN SCHALLER: Neil, am I correct that there is a University Calendar Committee?

NEIL LANTZ: Yes, there is.

DEAN SCHALLER: Won't all of this have to go to that University Calendar Committee?

NEIL LANTZ: Yes, but the University Calendar Committee only addressed itself to violations of the University calendar guidelines. Otherwise, it doesn't set campus calendars.

DEAN SCHALLER: I believe this thing is one day shorter than the Bloomington one is.

NEIL LANTZ: The Bloomington calendar is one day short of the guidelines and that will be addressed on Wednesday of this next week.

DEAN SCHALLER: This will have to be addressed by a systemwide committee. Is that correct?

NEIL LANTZ: Yes.

The Martin Luther King holiday coincides with the National Day of Observance.

Martin Luther King's position in American History compared to George Washington and Abraham Lincoln was questioned. The relative position of the Little 500 and its reflection in our calendar was also mentioned.

NEIL LANTZ: We are at 2,250 minutes in the proposed calendar for the fall semester. Bloomington is at 2,000 minutes. It is a week's difference. Again, that is not new. We are at 2,175 weekday minutes in the spring; Bloomington is at 2,050 weekday minutes in the spring. We are consistently and have been consistently meeting for a longer period than Bloomington. Both campuses are above the minimum guidelines of the University Calendar Committee.

Alternatives were suggested and considered as well as their impact upon vacation and registration.

It was pointed out that Dr. King was a great advocate of education. Can we honor a man who believed in education by cancelling education on his day? Dedicating a day to him while continuing education would be more in keeping with his philosophy.

It was moved and seconded that the Martin Luther King recess of January be removed from the 1985-86 calendar. The argument was made that this could cause a substantial public relations problem at the university. Before doing that, we ought to find out about whether that would be true or not.

DEAN SCHALLER: It would be advisable to investigate the public relations aspect of this issue. We do have a problem of minority relations in this community. Vice President Irwin convenes meetings with community leaders. These meetings are held frequently. I have been meeting with the Black Faculty Staff Council this year. I am convinced that we are not making the progress that we should in the field of minority relations. I would urge the Faculty Council to think very carefully about trying to dispose of this issue here today without our having a further chance to investigate what has been referred to as the "public relations aspect" of this.

The Vice President should have time to consult with community leaders, the Black Faculty Staff Council, with the students and to have our Director of University Relations examine this. Since we don't have to make that decision today, I would urge that we go ahead and adopt the calendar but reserve a decision on that.

-It was suggested that, if we want to handle the problem instead of waiting until 1986, why don't we have something honoring Martin Luther King in 1985 and set a tradition here before the federal holiday goes into effect? A celebration could be organized in which the entire community could participate. Instead of merely being a problem, we would be providing something in which not only the students but the community could participate.

The mover withdrew the motion and presented a new motion to table the calendar.

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Is there discussion of that table? The question has been called. All in favor of the motion to table, say "Aye." Opposed? Motion carried.

PAT BOAZ: Because of the lateness of the hour, I ask your indulgence in going to the third item in preference to the second because this would seem to require somewhat less discussion.

It has to do with problems that arise at the end of the semester. This has to do with students who have more examinations in one day or insufficient time to go from one exam to another. This is now printed to say what a student does to resolve these problems. It is printed on a schedule. Our proposal would place another statement there.
The first issue that we addressed was the fact that some faculty do, in fact, give hourly examinations and even final examinations in the last week of their classes. The faculty handbook forbids examinations during the last week of classes. This is interpreted as hourly examinations. We will address the problem of final examinations later. Enforcement of the rule could also discourage attendance during the last week of classes even though the faculty handbook encourages review periods to take place during that week. For these reasons, the recommendation is to rescind this rule, Section IV, on page 2 of the IUPUI Faculty Handbook and permit individual academic units to make their own decisions about the final week of classes. I move the acceptance of that recommendation.

DEAN SCHALLER: Is there a second? [Seconded] Is there any discussion?

PAT BOAZ: The position of Academic Affairs is that no school would be allowed hourly exams during the 15th week.

DEAN SCHALLER: Is there further discussion? The question has been called for. Are you prepared to vote? All of those in favor of the motion, please say "Aye." Opposed? Motion carried. [Be it recorded that Prof. Mannheimer voted "Nay."]

PAT BOAZ: The second concern is for final examinations given during the exam week but other than the assigned time or final examinations being given before exam week. Both of those do occur and this is not certainly a solution to these problems but it might help. What I would like to suggest is that the statement that you find in front of you be added to this page. Since it is unlikely that the majority of the faculty and students will even read that page in the schedule, it will be firmly recommended that, if it passes, it be brought to the attention of faculty via the deans of the academic units. The deans could be informed of this through the Dean's Council. This is not going to solve the problem but at least it would help the student.

DEAN SCHALLER: Since it comes from a standing committee, it is seconded. The chairman of the committee is prepared to accept a friendly amendment which does not require a vote. All it does is clarify the language as follows:

"The student should first consult with the instructor. If the problem is not resolved at that level, he or she should report the change to the instructor's department chairperson. If the conflict is not resolved at that level, the student should contact the dean or director."

Do you accept this as a friendly amendment?

PAT BOAZ: Absolutely. If this is approved, it would go into the spring schedule.

DEAN SCHALLER: The motion is to place this in the class schedule. That is what we are voting on. If it passes, we will place it in the first class schedule that is possible and that would be the one for spring semester of the coming academic year. Is there further discussion? Are you prepared to vote? All of those in favor, please say "Aye." Opposed, like sign. Motion carried.

PROF. SAGRAVES: Because of the lateness of the hour, I would like to defer the report on the Graduate School until our May meeting.

DEAN SCHALLER: I think that is wise because it is a fairly significant report and it deserves more attention than we are going to be able to give to it. I have one brief announcement that I would like to make because I want it as a matter of record in the minutes that all faculty members receive. The January 1984 edition of the IUPUI Faculty Handbook is now available. It has been distributed to the dean's office of each of our academic units. Is there any other business which you wish to bring up?

'It was questioned if the "General Good and Welfare of the University" item be moved up to the "Presiding Officer's Report" so that people can bring up items.

This is a matter of the Executive Committee which serves as our Agenda Committee. I am sure that the chairman of the Executive Committee will take that matter under advisement. I will take it as instructions from the chair to ask the chairman of the Executive Committee to consider your suggestion that it be changed.

The meeting is adjourned.

Glen Sagraves
Secretary
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Guests Present: Christian Kloesel (Liberal Arts), Leo F. Solt (Graduate School)

AGENDA ITEM 1
CALL TO ORDER

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

AGENDA ITEM 2
MEMORIAL RESOLUTION - Margaret Cook (IUPUI Circular 83/84-(51)

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: The first order of business is a memorial resolution for Margaret Cook. This will be read by Dean William Plater. (Dean Plater read the resolution.) (A moment of silence was observed.)

AGENDA ITEM 3
APPROVAL OF MINUTES - APRIL 5, 1984

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: The next item of business is the approval of the minutes of our April 5 meeting. Is there a motion to approve? [So moved] Is there a second? [Seconded] Is there any discussion of the motion? Are there any corrections, additions or deletions? Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, say "Aye". All of those opposed, same sign. Motion carried.

AGENDA ITEM 4
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: The next agenda item is the Executive Committee Report with Prof. Besch.

PROF. BESCH: Thank you, Dr. Irwin. Just for the information of the people who expected to see Glen Sagraves here, I wish to tell you that he is ill with some sort of virus and has been off work for a few days. He has asked me to stand in for him.

We have a full agenda and only an hour to conduct business, therefore, I won't say many things; however, I would like to make a couple of notes for you. One of these is in keeping with the suggestion that was made at the end of last month's meeting and that was that the "Good and Welfare of the University" agenda item be moved up to earlier in the Faculty Council meeting. The Executive Committee has not yet discussed that but since I am just filling in here and nobody has told me what to do yet, I can do what I want.

I wanted to tell you a couple of "General Good and Welfare" items. First of all, President John Ryan has been elected to head the NCAA President's Panel. That is a two-year appointment. A second "Good" item is that IU has been mentioned in three places in the latest issue of the Chronicle of Higher Education. One of those I'll save for later because it is going to get a good laugh. Another one had to do with the Cutters winning the Little 500 Race and a mention of IU's role in the movie, "Breaking Away."

The final item that I want to remind you of is that my colleague, Henry Karlson will co-host a TV show tonight on Channel 20. For the first time you will have the power to turn him off. [laughter]
Next, I would like to call on Marie Sparks for the elections.

MARIE SPARKS: We are going to be passing out the ballots containing nominations for the Executive Committee, the Nominating Committee and the Tenure Committee. I want to instruct you to please read the directions because there are specific ones for each of the committees. I would like to point out, as is noted on the ballot, that the person receiving the most votes for the Nominating Committee will be the chairman of the Nominating Committee in their second year. The incoming chair of the Nominating Committee for the next fiscal year will be Pat Blake. [Following the election, the outcome was as follows:]

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:**
- John Chalian, School of Dentistry
- Lucreda Hutton, School of Science
- Brenda Lyon, School of Nursing
- Jeff Vessely, School of Physical Education

**NOMINATING COMMITTEE:**
- Ken Dunipace, School of Engineering & Technology
  (received the most votes and, therefore, will be chairman in the second year of his term)
- LaForrest Garner, School of Dentistry
- Bill Kulrsrud, School of Business
- Gerald McHugh, SPEA

**TENURE COMMITTEE:**
- Hitwant Sidhu, School of Physical Education
- Edgar Fleenor, School of Engineering & Technology
- Florence Juillerat, School of Science

All of these people will have two year terms on the committee which they were elected to.

PROF. BESCH: A major item of the agenda is the discussion of the Ewing Report. Dean Solt, whom I think most of you know, is sitting in the back of the room and may wish to comment later. Pat Boaz will be presenting the Graduate Affairs Committee Report on the Ewing Report.

PAT BOAZ: The Executive Committee requested me to review some material for you. First, the original Ewing Report and what it proposed. Second, Dean Solt's response to the Ewing Report and what his proposals were. Third, the Academic Affairs Committee's proposal which was a result of these first two. This was a very difficult assignment to accomplish in a short period of time since it encompasses some 60 pages of written material. I'll do my best.

THE EWING REPORT: A twelve-member Graduate Services Review Committee was given a charge by Vice President Kenneth Gros Louis in November 1982 and I quote, "to study any aspects of the structure and delivery of graduate education that appeared to need attention." The committee was chaired by John Ewing of the Department of Mathematics. Hence, the committee report became known as the "Ewing Report". The committee chose to limit its investigation and report on what it termed as "an administrative structure which could provide the best service to both graduate students and graduate programs." The committee felt that the present administrative structure for graduate education present in the sense of the time at which the committee considered it does not function effectively and should be modified. The committee defined what it considered to be the most serious problem. These included coordination and quality control. The committee believed that the function of the Graduate School was limited to Ph.D. programs, that it is primarily a School of Arts and Sciences and that the quality control consisted primarily of detailed analysis of individual course content and degree content rather than broadly overseeing programs.

The second serious problem that it perceived was financial support. It found the system distributing graduate student monies to be awkward. The third was record keeping. The committee felt that duplicate records were being kept and that this was wasting time and money. The fourth and final concern was that of advocacy. The committee did not find that the Graduate School has functioned, and I quote, "as an effective and powerful advocate for graduate studies either inside or outside of the university." The final proposal of the committee was to address two general problems: a) the limited responsibility of the Graduate School for graduate programs and, b) the over-concern of the Graduate School for fine detail. The final recommendation was the elimination of the Graduate School and the establishment of a Dean of Graduate Studies who would report to the President on system matters and to the Bloomington Vice President on Bloomington's specific concerns. This dean would be advised by a graduate coordinating council consisting of representatives of all schools for the graduate programs. The committee also recommended that Arts and Sciences become autonomous in the same sense that the professional schools are.

In summary, what the committee report proposed was a decentralization of administration of graduate programs in the sense of making schools autonomous. Simultaneously, it would create what it termed a strong new office for coordination and advocacy of graduate programs.

DEAN SOLT'S RESPONSE: In his state of the graduate school address on April 27, 1983, Dean Solt presented a very detailed response to the Ewing Report. He characterized the internal state of the graduate school as very sound but thought it to be threatened externally by the Ewing Report. He did applaud a number of
the suggestions made by the Ewing Report, particularly the suggestions for improved administrative structure and he characterized it as focusing attention on very important issues which should not be dealt with hastily but only after prolonged discussion. Dean Solt addressed, in some detail, some factual inaccuracies in the Ewing Report. Amongst these were the fact that the Ewing Report gave the Graduate School credit for administering Ph.D. programs only. This was not correct. Furthermore, he cited inaccuracies in the perception of the work of the Graduate School Curriculum Committee, the allocation of regular fellowship funds, and the record keeping system of the graduate school. He vigorously defended the Graduate School in all of these areas. Dean Solt felt very strongly that the replacement of the Graduate Council with elected representatives by a Graduate Coordinating Council composed of appointed unit representatives would be much less effective in addressing broad issues and would tend to create a situation in which the elected unit representatives would have essentially a "vertical" rather than a broad "horizontal" point of view. Dean Solt expressed very grave concern for the public image of Indiana University's graduate programs and degrees, if the Graduate School were eliminated. In the second part of his State of the Graduate School message which was given in September 1983, he distinguished between the Master of Science and the Ph.D. degrees granted by the Graduate School, on one hand, and the graduate degrees which are awarded by the professional schools. The former, awarded by the Graduate School, provide research training, and the latter are designed for practitioners to meet their needs for professional accreditation. Dean Solt believes that the separation of administration of these two types of degrees is sound and should continue. He has stated ten proposals of his own. The first part of these has to do with the increasing funds and distribution of funds. Other proposals include appointment of a half-time director of graduate student services, a transfer of the location of the graduate school facility, an exploration of the feasibility of a centralized Graduate School admission office, the inclusion of the Graduate School in promotion and tenure decisions at the Dean of Faculties level in Indianapolis as well as Bloomington, and finally, the extension of the use of the Graduate School's data base.

These were the three documents before the Indianapolis campus and the response to the Ewing Report was drafted by a joint subcommittee of the Graduate Affairs and the Academic Affairs Committees. You were given a copy of this. In case you do not have it, I am going to remind you that it points out the useful purpose of the Ewing Report in calling attention to important issues in graduate education and providing an opportunity for Dean Solt to reflect on the state of the Graduate School. However, the joint subcommittee feels that, in adopting a perspective which addresses the concerns of only one campus - Bloomington, the Ewing committee has issued a hastily drawn and flawed report. Other highlights of the response to the Ewing Report which we are asking you to approve includes, given the systemwide mission of the Graduate School an independent IUPUI graduate school seems inappropriate at this time. If there is to be a single system-wide Graduate School, this School must insure equity and balance throughout the system. This is a very important point of this subcommittee response. There are two specific recommendations that the committee feels should be considered. The committee suggests that the process should start with what we have now but add substantially new strength to the Graduate School. This was essentially Dean Solt's proposal. Discussion about the implementation of these ideas should be initiated by the Dean of the Graduate School within the framework of a systemwide graduate program. On a local issue, the subcommittee feels that the Graduate Affairs Committee of IUPUI should be mindful of interacting with the Dean of the Purdue Graduate School on issues of special concerns to that university in regard to its Indianapolis based programs. Finally, the response recommends strongly that efforts be made to obtain more fellowships and fee remission money - for that seems essential to the growth and the quality of the Indiana University Graduate School. I move the adoption of the response to the Ewing Report on behalf of the Academic Affairs Committee.

Vice President Irwin: Such a motion is automatically seconded. Is there discussion concerning the report?

Prof. Wilson: Could you explain why the committee felt that a graduate school at IUPUI that would be autonomous at IUPUI? Is it less appropriate than systemwide schools?

Pat Boaz: In answer to that, I did not sit on that committee. There are two people here, however, who did. Jan, would you like to address that question?

Prof. Shipps: My opinion is that a graduate school at IUPUI would be starting from scratch and especially it would be difficult for the School of Liberal Arts to begin graduate work without an umbrella of an established graduate school. The School of Liberal Arts is just in the process of proposing the first masters program. They have not gone toward doctorates yet.

Vice President Irwin: Is there any other discussion?

Prof. Besch: I am not sure what we are voting on. What is the impact of a 'yea' or 'nay' vote?

Pat Boaz: Essentially, accepting the report.

Prof. Shipps: If the Faculty Council accepts this report, it will be, in effect, rejecting the Ewing Committee's report to dissolve the graduate school. It will be strongly recommending that the Graduate School stay a system school and, in fact, we suggest by invitation, not by actual wording, that the system school become even more active.
VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Dean Solt, do you care to make any comments?

DEAN SOLT: I would be glad to act as a resource person here. I would support the report and hope that you will accept it. It is pretty well as Jan has suggested. It would be a mistake to not stick with the Indiana University and the Purdue University graduate schools, as such. We have just celebrated our 75th anniversary of the IU Graduate School. Long standing tradition is sometimes not always favorable to this campus. I hope that under my leadership and those who come after me that it will be more of a system school which will consider the needs and growth of this campus. I think the way to go is to take the best of what has been of those 75 years and use it to the advantage of this campus. I think the leadership of the Graduate School will support that.

PROF. ALIPRANTIS: What I can't understand is why we don't get rid of the IU and Purdue Graduate School and have our own graduate school.

PAT BOAZ: I think that question might be appropriately directed to the Graduate Affairs Committee rather than taking the time to discuss it here. It is not really an issue in response to the Ewing Report. I am sure that the Graduate Affairs Committee would address that.

PROF. SHIPPS: You are asking why we don't get rid of both Purdue and IU and have our own?

PROF. ALIPRANTIS: Yes.

PROF. SHIPPS: I thought I had answered that previously and Dr. Palmer answered that also. If the School of Science wanted to cut off from the Purdue Graduate School and push for an IUPUI Graduate School, this would be a matter that would have to be seriously weighed.

PROF. WILSON: Does a systemwide school, rather than a Graduate School, mean that different departments and different schools here, depending on what school Purdue or IU are affiliated with, can utilize the graduate programs already in place on these other campuses? For instance, Geology would be allowed then to offer Ph.D.s because they are a part of the IU system. Is that what that means?

PAT BOAZ: That could only be if the particular department were qualified in faculty and resources. I can't see that it would be automatic.

DEAN SCHALLER: The arrangement we currently have with the Purdue graduate school for Purdue degrees is that faculty members based on this campus were qualified for graduate status as determined by the Purdue Graduate School can participate in the Ph.D. programs currently authorized for Purdue University. I think, in Mathematics we have some of our faculty involved in directing research for Ph.D. candidates. We also offer some graduate courses here in mathematics. In fact, you can get all the courses required for the mathematics Ph.D. A student can begin graduate work in mathematics, if his particular field is represented on the faculty and that faculty is qualified, and wants to direct a dissertation, they could do it. They would probably have to take some course work in West Lafayette. That applies to the Purdue graduate school. Geology, of course, is not a Purdue mission. It is part of the School of Science and offers an IU degree. We have not arrived at a position as yet on Geology or the other disciplines, simply because we don't have graduate work in those yet. We are trying, even though we do have approval for the Master's Degree in Geology, we do not have funding for it and therefore we can't start it. I would say that at some point we can hopefully get the funding and get it started. We can then address that question on participation of some students and some faculty members in Ph.D. in Geology but that is down the road at this point.

That is what the situation is. Now, raising the question of a separate graduate school with an IUPUI Ph.D. degree, of course, you are raising a question of the whole campus here, not just graduate programs. The only degrees that can be awarded are either Purdue University degrees or Indiana University degrees. You are raising a question more fundamental than just the Ph.D. program. As of now, the only authorization that we have from the Trustees of the two universities is to offer either Purdue degrees or IU degrees and that is the only authorization we have from the Higher Education Commission. That is the only authorization we have, for that matter, from the State Legislature. I don't think that this question can be resolved in connection with this report. It is really not a question that the committee was asked to address. They were asked to address only the question of how we should respond to the Ewing Report.

PROF. MEIERE: I agreed with all the report except for one item. That is that there be a systemwide graduate faculty. I, and a number of my colleagues, are against the concept of a graduate faculty as separate from the university faculty. Is there anyway I can record opposition to that statement without voting "no"?

DEAN SCHALLER: I guess that it is recorded since you have already spoken. About the only thing I can answer is both Purdue University and Indiana University have graduate faculties and we have members of the IUPUI faculty on the Purdue graduate school faculty. We also have some on the Indiana University faculty. I am sure, of those that have that status, none want to give it up, but you can certainly record your opposition to having separate graduate faculties at both universities.
PROF. MEIERE: Since I am recording, let me just note that I joined the faculty in West Lafayette in 1964 and I don’t think they have a graduate faculty.

DEAN SCHALLER: They have a way of certifying people. It is different than IU. I think it is done annually. There are certain people each year. I get a report where it is designated who are members of the graduate faculty and their function in connection with graduate work. So, they have a system of identifying faculty members associated with graduate work.

PROF. MEIERE: I see in the program something that is listed which I thought said IUPUI dental studies. Is that an Indiana University degree?

DEAN SCHALLER: That is an Indiana University degree in General Studies conferred by the School of Continuing Studies.

PROF. SIDHU: Mr. Chairperson, I don't have a copy of the report. However, it was discussed in the Executive Committee and there was another point where we had apprehension. That was the allocation of funds of the scholarships at IUPUI. There was nothing mentioned about it. That question was raised. May I suggest that, if we accept the report, the committee should come back with specific recommendations keeping IUPUI in mind?

PAT BOAZ: It was among Dean Solt's objectives to seek additional monies for IUPUI.

PROF. SIDHU: There was nothing mentioned as far as the report was concerned specifically about scholarships for IUPUI, if I remember correctly.

DEAN SCHALLER: It wasn't really in the Ewing Report. That is a separate matter.

PAT BOAZ: It didn't specifically say IUPUI, Hitwant. But that definitely was one of Dean Solt's objectives to seek additional monies for this campus.

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: Are you ready to accept or reject this report? All in favor of accepting this report, say "Aye." Opposed? Motion carried unanimously.

AGENDA ITEM 5
ATHLETIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: The next item on the agenda is the Athletic Advisory Committee report with Hugh Wolf reporting.

DEAN WOLF: I am here as part of a University-wide agreement that Athletic Advisory Committees on each of the campuses will make a report to their respective Faculty Council's each year.

The IUPUI Athletic Advisory Committee is an administrative committee responsible to the Vice President - Indianapolis. The committee is charged with exercising institutional control of the intercollegiate athletic program at IUPUI as outlined by the applicable national, regional, and state athletic associations. The committee is composed of 10 faculty members, 10 staff employees and 1 student. All are appointed by the Vice President - Indianapolis. The 1983-84 members and their campus affiliations are shown below:

Hugh A. Wolf, Chairman
Amy S. Ahlersmeyer
Sharon K. Alger
Rick Carman
Dorothy A. Cheesman
Charles M. Coffey
Thomas A. DeCoster
Paul J. Galanti
Claudette Gar-Lands
P. Nicholas Kellum
William N. Kulsrud
Neil E. Lantz
Lincoln V. Lewis
David J. Malik
Robert E. Martin
James L. McDonald
Dale Neuburger
Robert H. Shellhamer
P. A. Mike Wagoner
Charles F. Yokomoto
Gordon Graves

School of Education
Athletic Information
School of Nursing
Staff Council
Admissions
Alumni Relations
SPEA
School of Law - Indianapolis
University Division
School of Physical Education
School of Business
Administrative Affairs
Affirmative Action Office
Faculty Council, Athletic Affairs
Bursar's Office
School of Dentistry
Natatorium
School of Medicine
Student Activities
School of Engineering & Technology
Student Representative
At the varsity level, IUPUI competes in men's basketball, baseball, and tennis. Women athletes compete in basketball, volleyball, and softball. Both programs are conducted under guidelines and criteria established by the National Association Intercollegiate Athletics (NAIA).

As was true in years past, the Athletics Committee has been quite active. Here is a summary of the key items which we've concerned ourselves during 1983-84.

* A subcommittee, headed by Dorothy Cheesman, has been gathering and reviewing data about the admission status and academic performance of our student athletes over time. We expect this study will be helpful in a number of ways. For example, it should provide some insights in regard to the kinds of student athletes who choose to enroll at IUPUI.

* Another subcommittee has examined our internal procedures for monitoring the NAIA's "student in good standing" and "normal progress toward a degree" rules. After considerable study and discussion the group concluded that our current practices were sound.

* Bob Martin, IUPUI's Bursar, has headed a third subcommittee which has been looking at ways in which funding for the intercollegiate program might be enhanced. This group has been gathering data on funding patterns in comparable institutions in the state and around the country.

* The Athletic Advisory Committee decided to establish an award which will be given annually to the IUPUI athletic team which achieves the highest cumulative grade point average. Suitably engraved plaques will be placed in the Library and the Physical Education Building and individual team members will receive appropriately inscribed certificates. The first of these awards were made to the Women's Volleyball Team at the Spring All Sports Program held in the Union Building last Sunday.

* Several AAC members served on the search and screen committee which led to the appointment of Robert Lovell as Head Men's Basketball Coach in July of 1983.

* In August of 1983, Dr. Harry Fritz, Executive Director of the NAIA, visited IUPUI in connection with our bid to host the 1984 women's softball championships and the 1985 swimming and diving championships. The Chairman and other members of the AAC met with Dr. Fritz while he was on campus.

* Just last week the committee approved a recommendation from the Athletic Director that the men's soccer and women's tennis programs be elevated from club to varsity status.

While I have the floor I'd like to share a few of the highlights from this year's sports season with you.

* The Metro Athletic Club continued to grow stronger under the leadership of its President, Mike Carroll. The Club's third Annual Gold Outing at the Country Club of Indianapolis was the most successful one yet raising $2,500 for the athletic scholarship fund.

* Last November, Vice President Irwin sponsored a luncheon for community leaders. There was a good turnout with a variety of businesses and community agencies being represented. Bob Lovell, Mike Carroll, and I all made presentations aimed at orienting the guests about our athletic program.

* IUPUI has been chosen as the site for the 1984 NAIA National Championships in women's softball. The event will be held May 17th through 19th and will mark the first time IUPUI has been host for a national tournament. IUPUI will also host the 1985 NAIA National Swimming and Diving Championships.

* The Alumni Office and the Metro Athletic Club teamed up to sponsor one of the most successful homecoming events in IUPUI history. Some 250 IUPUI and DePauw University alumni and officials gathered for a reception at the Champions Room prior to the first men's basketball game ever between the two schools. The evening was topped off by an exciting one point Metro victory over the #3 ranked NCAA Division III team in the nation.

* The women's volleyball team ended its season with a 500 record. The squad is heavily laced with underclass women and the experience gained this year should pay dividends in 1984-85.
The men's tennis team was runnerup in the NAIA State tournament this year.

One of the highlights of the women's basketball season was a first-ever defeat of crosstown rival Butler University. Kris Nichols was selected to the District 21 all state team.

The men's basketball team completed its first full season under the tutelage of Bob Lovell. The squad won more games than any in Metro history and reached the semi-finals of the NAIA District 21 playoffs. Aldray Gibson was named to the all state team; the only freshman in the district to be so honored.

The men's basketball team has a record of 20 and 16 including double header victories over Butler and Dayton, an NCAA Division I school.

As many in the room know, the IUPUI women's softball team won both the State and Regional Championship in 1983. The team went on to place fifth in the NAIA National Championships held last May in Kearny, Nebraska. The team is enjoying another fine season and is currently ranked third in the nation with a record of 31 and 9. The majority of the losses have been to NCAA Division I schools including one game against Evansville that lasted 21 innings. The team will be competing in the national tournament May 17-19. We all know that a hometown crowd can make the difference between victory and defeat so I hope that as many of you as possible will be there to spur our ladies on.

Thank you.

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: I would like to make a couple of announcements. The Indiana University Trustee meeting is tomorrow in Bloomington. The important subject of the budget is the main item and hopefully deans and directors and others will have copies of their budget early next week.

Leaders here in Indianapolis and at the University have recently become aware of the fact that there is a possibility that the Hudson Institute might move to Indianapolis. There is no certainty about this but there is considerable interest on the part of the Board of Directors of that institute to re-locate from New York. If that does become more of a reality in the next few weeks, we will have to have administrative and faculty input as to how a University such as this could relate to that institute.

AGENDA ITEM 6
PRESENTATION OF PLAQUE

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: At this time I am going to ask Ralph McDonald to come forward. As Henry Besch mentioned earlier, Glen Sagraves is ill today and I would like for his dean to accept this very handsome plaque. It reads "In appreciation of your dedicated service as Secretary of the Faculty Council of Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis for the 1983-84 academic year." If you will present that to Glen when he returns, I would appreciate it.

DEAN MCDONALD: Thank you.

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: As you know, immediately following this meeting we have the annual faculty/staff meeting. We have Dr. Clyde Ingle, the new Commissioner of the Indiana Higher Education Commission as our principle speaker. I hope many of you can remain. We also have members of the faculty and staff who are waiting to come into this room for that 4:00 meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 7
ADJOURNMENT

VICE PRESIDENT IRWIN: This meeting is adjourned.

Henry Besch, Secretary