

Doubling Diversity Annual Report 2005

Charge:

The Diversity Cabinet received its charge from Chancellor Bantz December 4, 2003 to identify how we can double our achievements in diversity. We will achieve a doubling of diversity with a campus wide commitment and leadership of best practices and through our nationally and internationally recognized approach to assessment, planning and performance measurement.

Approach:

In January 2004 a Doubling Diversity Committee of the Diversity Cabinet was formed which included members of the Diversity Cabinet and each of the chairs of the other three Doubling Taskforces. The Doubling Diversity Committee met through out the Spring 2004 and engaged members of the other taskforces to ensure that as each taskforce responded to its charge they would advance the campus vision of diversity. As a result, each Doubling Taskforce (Teaching & Learning, Civic Engagement, Research) included objectives and strategies designed to advance the campus vision for diversity.

Results:

A preliminary Doubling Diversity Report was completed September 2004 (see attached report). During the 2004-05 academic year the Diversity Cabinet met with each of the Taskforces in order to further discuss their respective reports and to explore specific goals and actions that would at least double the diversity of Teaching & Learning, Civic Engagement, and Research.

Next Steps:

The Diversity Cabinet will be meeting with the Chancellor May 17, 2005 to discuss the next phase of activities designed to advance the campus toward realizing the campus vision for diversity and to explore the best way to organize the campus leadership and coordinate efforts in order (1) to instill diversity as an educational and social asset reflected in our learning and work objectives; (2) to attain a demographic diversity whereby the persons who comprise our academic community reflect both the current diversity of our service region as well as the evolving demographics of a state and city that aspire to participate fully in a global society; and (3) to increase and improve the social and physical environments which enable all of its members to succeed to the fullest extent of their potential as outlined by our 13 Action Items and 8 Diversity Performance Indicators.

Doubling Diversity Committee

Mark Brenner
Nancy Chism
Scott Evenbeck
James Perry
Kevin Rome
Patricia Treadwell

The Diversity Cabinet

Charles R. Bantz
Lillian L. Charleston
Nancy Chism
Carl Cowan
Scott Evenbeck
Kathleen Grove
John Jones
Louis Lopez
Charlie Nelms
Bart Ng
Paula Parker-Sawyers
William Plater

Ellen Poffenberger
Rebecca Porter
Irene Queiro-Tajalli
Michael Stevenson
Lillian Stokes
Patricia A. Treadwell,
Regina Turner
Richard Turner
Robert White
Karen Whitney
Olgen Williams

Doubling The Numbers:
Diversity Taskforce
Preliminary Report
September 7, 2004

The Vision For Diversity AT IUPUI:

At Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), diversity means three things: (1) diversity is an educational and social asset to be reflected in our learning and work objectives; (2) the persons who comprise our academic community reflect both the current diversity of our service region as well as the evolving demographics of a state and city that aspire to participate fully in a global society; and (3) IUPUI's social and physical environment will enable all of its members to succeed to the fullest extent of their potential.

When IUPUI began in 1969 as a newly constituted, shared campus of Indiana University and Purdue University, it was established in a historically African American neighborhood close to the center of Indianapolis and adjacent to Indiana Avenue, the home of the Madam C. J. Walker Theater. The new Urban League building is now also located there, thus linking the campus with a rich African-American tradition that has been a founding value. As a new kind of urban university committed to local engagement, the campus continues its determination to provide access to all citizens who historically have been underrepresented in Indiana's system of post-secondary education. That vision remains a vital part of the campus' mission and is reaffirmed in this Vision for Diversity at IUPUI.

As Indiana's urban research university, IUPUI has a responsibility to use education to transform the lives of individual citizens for the improvement of the entire statewide community, to develop the human potential of all people in Central Indiana for their personal and social advancement, and to create a civil community of learning where difference can be understood, respected, and practiced with dignity by each of its members. Diversity at IUPUI is an educational asset to be used and replenished, and it is an economic and social necessity. When diversity is understood and embraced, IUPUI can benefit from higher levels of communication, teamwork, and optimism.

IUPUI is committed to promoting an environment that respects and celebrates diversity, that appreciates individual differences, and that builds on collective talents and experiences for the benefit of the larger societal good. Accordingly, IUPUI's view of diversity goes well beyond facilitating equality of opportunity. It supports the fullness of diversity—creating systems that encourage creativity and innovation; sensitizing people in the organization to issues of culture; and creating an environment that supports multiple perspectives and initiatives.

By reflecting in its own numbers the diversity of the city, state, and world of which it is a part, IUPUI will create opportunities for access and achievement for all of its citizens. By

engaging diverse learners, teachers, researchers, scholars, clinicians, and staff with each other in reflective and intentional goals, IUPUI can better prepare graduates for citizenship, for work, and for personal fulfillment. Through the continuing education of all its constituents, IUPUI is committed to raising the academic community's awareness of itself and its potential to change and improve.

Within the Indianapolis metropolitan region, IUPUI will seek through education to be the catalyst for creating a quality of life among the best in the United States. Its location at the state's crossroads, amid Indiana's historic African-American cultural center and near new

Hispanic communities, will help assure that Indianapolis is a city of the future in which all citizens have the capacity to succeed to the fullest extent of their potential, independent of any characteristics that might differentiate one from another.

Action Items To Achieve The Vision:

- 1. Recruit, retain, and graduate diverse students proportionate to their representation in Indiana in accord with the service mission of each school; those schools with statewide missions will have goals reflective of the state whereas other schools will have goals reflective of Central Indiana.*
- 2. Recruit, retain, advance and recognize a diverse faculty and staff reflective of each unit's mission while creating a campus-wide community that celebrates its own diversity as one of its strengths and as a means of shaping IUPUI's identity as a university.*
- 3. Recruit, retain, and promote a diverse senior leadership among faculty, administrators, staff and students.*
- 4. Create an internationally diverse community engaged globally through enrolling students from other nations, providing a variety of opportunities to study abroad, and collaborating with other universities.*
- 5. Provide a civil learning and work environment free from discrimination and intolerance so that each member of the IUPUI community can succeed to the highest level of their potential. IUPUI will set high expectations for personal conduct and achievement and maintain high standards for rewarding accomplishment.*
- 6. Offer a physical environment free from barriers that would limit the ability of students, faculty, and visitors to participate fully in the life and work of the IUPUI community.*
- 7. Ensure curriculum content and pedagogical strategies that reflect a commitment to diversity.*
- 8. Develop and maintain library collections that reflect the full diversity of the human experience and commentary on it, and resist censorship or the restriction of access to*

scholarly materials.

9. Engage in research that is mindful of the rich patterning that is characteristic of the human condition.

10. Promote culturally competent practice in the professional schools.

11. Coordinate the diversity efforts of IUPUI to enhance their cumulative initiatives and establish the measures and means to assess institutional progress in meeting these objectives; report publicly on progress annually; revise its objectives, strategies, and goals as necessary to achieve its vision.

12. Develop programs and activities that increase the sense of diversity in the arts and the aesthetic dimensions of the campus.

13. Develop co-curricular programs and interdisciplinary activities that increase the sense of diversity on campus.

The Diversity Indicators:

In order to monitor progress toward achieving our vision for diversity eight indicators were developed and reviewed annually. The eight indicators of diversity include:

- Recruitment & Enrollment of a Diverse Student Body
- Retention & Graduation of a Diverse Student Body
- Engagement of students, through the curriculum and co-curriculum, in learning about their own and other culture and belief systems.
- Diversity in research, scholarship, and creative activity.
- Contributions to the climate for diversity in Indianapolis, central Indiana and the entire state.
- Recruitment, development, and support of diverse faculty and staff
- Engagement of the campus community in global issues and perspectives
- Student, faculty, and staff perceptions of the campus climate for diversity

The Diversity Task Force:

In December 2003, Chancellor Bantz charged a Doubling Diversity Task Force with identifying how we can double our diversity by May 2010. Based upon our vision, actions, and indicators of diversity it was imperative to tightly coordinate the Doubling Diversity report recommendations to the other Task Forces which have been charged with doubling Teaching and Learning, Research, and Civic Engagement. Coordination was accomplished by appointing the chairs of the other Taskforces to the Doubling Diversity Taskforce in addition to the appointment of members of the Chancellor's Diversity Cabinet. Each of the Doubling Taskforces included response to advancing diversity within each of their charges. The Doubling Diversity Taskforce Report summarizes all of the Doubling Taskforces recommendations.

In addition to advancing the action items related to advancing IUPUI's vision of diversity, each Task Force has been asked to discuss and include in each of their reports responses to the following questions:

- a. What would the doubling of diversity look like in terms of Teaching/Learning, Civic Engagement, or Research?
- b. How will we achieve the doubling of diversity in terms of Teaching/Learning, Civic Engagement, or Research?

The Doubling Diversity Taskforce and the Chancellor's Diversity Cabinet is a resource and dialogue group that is working in partnership with the other Taskforces in order to infuse our vision and action items for diversity into our Teaching and Learning, Research, and Civic Engagement doubling efforts.

Diversity & Teaching & Learning

IUPUI has strived to form a student culture centered on learning and characterized by diversity. We commend these as guiding principles for our work in diversity. Involvement with diversity is a key factor in engagement. The more attention we pay to diversity, the more reflective and intentional we are in our work and the stronger the educational experiences we will provide for all our students.

Strengthen our work with diversity. The Diversity Cabinet has developed an exemplary set of indicators on our campus efforts to have a diverse student body, served by a diverse faculty and staff, in a supportive culture characterized by multicultural approaches to the curriculum. Despite this, we have made little progress in retaining and graduating a diverse student body. The Task Force underscores the critical nature of continuing attention to these efforts, and recommends increasing attention to work/life issues. Since national data suggest that minority students often have notably high family obligations, addressing "life" needs should support retention of these students.

Hiring faculty and staff who reflect diversity in accord with our diversity indicators is critical. Emphasis should be placed on increasing the number of faculty and staff who reflect the diversity of our anticipated student profile. Reflecting diversity includes who is hired (i.e. an individual's race, gender, sexual orientation etc.) but can also include how the individual contributes to our climate of diversity through their teaching, research and civic engagement. Deans, Department Chairs and Directors should be encouraged, supported and rewarded for advancing diversity in each search conducted including reporting results of their efforts with the Diversity Cabinet.

In terms of achieving a doubling in teaching & learning the following are selected items from the Teaching & Learning Taskforce Report that specifically articulated advancing diversity:

Expand powerful pedagogies and academic and student support programs to increase retention, targeting transfer students as well as first-year students.

Learning Communities, the Thematic Learning Communities, the ePort, continued attention to the Principles of Undergraduate Learning, academic support programs, the Gateway program, and powerful pedagogies (study abroad, internships, service learning, problem-based learning, capstone experiences, and undergraduate research) are critical in moving students to graduation. George Kuh, in his keynote address at the Edward C. Moore Symposium this year, highlighted the critical roles of experience with diversity and learning communities in increasing student engagement. *(This item is further discussed in below in Diversity & Civic Engagement.)*

Strengthen our program of faculty development. How might we redefine faculty roles in a way that will strengthen faculty leadership without adding work? How do we support lecturers? What about the clinical ranks? We encourage school review of faculty work. We should review faculty workload issues in light of efforts to increase the availability, throughout the school year and through traditional and distance modes, of courses that count toward degree completion. As the campus differentiates faculty roles, we should find means to accommodate many more non-tenure track faculty in important teaching/learning activities. This review should build on IUPUI's strong program of faculty development stressing inclusive teaching and multicultural curriculum development.

Attract an increased number of out-of-state students and international students.

The Task Force recommends consideration of tuition discount programs. We need to determine how such a program would equitably be launched in the RCM environment. The campus is immensely attractive to international and other out of state students, and we often enhance our diversity with such students. With the availability of new housing, what other incentives are appropriate and possible to attract more non-resident students?

Expand student financial aid. Increasing the enrollment and graduation of highly talented and diverse students will be impacted by IUPUI's ability to increase financial support for all students but especially for highly talented and diverse students.

Attend to the role of staff. Supporting staff as students and encouraging students to become staff will have major impact. We might, for example, offer alternative work options (flextime, etc.) and secure the kind of support from the university administration that makes it clear that supervisors/managers (whether faculty or staff) are expected to give serious consideration to requests for flexibility. We should review the fee courtesy program, particularly given the increase in cost since many fees are not covered. We should explore how campus child care could be more helpful to non-traditional students. We should do a better job of marketing what types of "support" options are available. We should continue to explore innovative ways to address "life" issues of students. The research shows among the larger group of 'stopouts,' over one-half cited work related factors as contributing to their decision not to re-enroll at IUPUI, and "one third cited family related factors [as a contribution to their decision not to reenroll]. As staff are students, they have better understandings of improving life for students. How can we

help every staff member to attend to students, to put them first? How might we provide more cross-training? We want to ensure that students do not get the “run-around.” Might our staff join faculty and committee members in a mentoring program for students, particularly those reflecting diversity?

There is a need to specify the numbers of students within specific populations that should be doubled. It would be helpful to develop a clear statement about “the numbers” of students, faculty, and staff that will be doubled. Some of the diversity indicators lend themselves to a quantitative statement about goals. Not all indicators can or need to be doubled, but we should be clear about what we are proposing.

Diversity & Research

Enhance the diversity of researchers and scholars: To have a robust core of investigators, we must enhance the participation of individuals of diverse backgrounds who engage in research and scholarship on the IUPUI campus. This includes the students, graduate students, postdoctoral researchers, faculty, and support staff. We also need to reach out to engage k-12 students to have them understand the excitement and rewards of being involved in research and scholarship.

Engage in research that is mindful of the rich patterning that is characteristic of the human condition: There are already a number of exemplary research programs on the IUPUI campus that directly address minority health care issues and cultural competence. These range from health sciences to law, social work, philanthropy, and public policy and environmental affairs. It is important we build on these activities of engagement to find further ways that provide greater understandings and solutions in support of our diverse community.

Diversity & Civic Engagement

Civic engagement is conceived along three dimensions. The dimensions involve “who is engaged,” “who is being engaged,” and “the relations between who is engaged and who is being engaged.”

By conceiving diversity in civic engagement along the three dimensions, we can specify, in general terms, what doubling diversity would look like. Simply stated, doubling diversity would entail:

- A population of engaged campus participants who are diverse with respect to income, race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and other ways in which we understand the make-up of who is engaged;
- A portfolio of programs and activities that are richly diverse and serving a variety of constituencies, homogeneous only with respect to their focus on improving the quality of life in communities in a manner that is consistent with the campus mission;
- Relations between those who are engaged and those who are being engaged that create constructive opportunities for realizing the promise of diversity.

We do not presently have good information about the three dimensions of diversity. The Center for Service and Learning has maintained records related to diversity in the Sam Jones Community Service Scholarship Program and the America Reads Tutoring Program, but these data are the exception rather than the rule. Although the task force has the impression that those who serve are generally diverse, we do not know how they are distributed across civic engagement opportunities and how diverse they are by project or activity. We also lack good information about who is being engaged and how these groups match up with the diversity of those providing service.

How will we achieve the doubling of diversity in civic engagement? Several steps come readily to mind:

- Baseline information needs to be developed that gives IUPUI a better understanding of the diversity of who is engaged, the diversity of our engagement portfolio, and the relationships between the engaged and their projects;
- Attention needs to be given to the mix of those who are recruited to serve with the goal of attaining diversity in each major area of civic engagement;
- Attention must also be given to developing a civic engagement portfolio that is as diverse as the campus and the communities we serve; and
- The task force believes that it is important to provide those who engage diverse groups to be prepared for their service. This would entail incorporating diversity training into programs that prepare students, staff, and faculty for civic engagement.

Cross-Cutting Issues Impacting Teaching & Learning, Research And Civic Engagement

The following are issues that impact across the taskforces.

Campus Leadership & Management: We must increase the racial diversity of the leadership and management of the campus including vice chancellors and deans, department chairs, professional staff and students in leadership roles. It is imperative that we acknowledge and value multiple aspects of human identity (i.e. gender, sexual orientation, ethnicity) in order to bring to life a more comprehensive view of diversity within the leadership of the campus.

Cultural Competence: Currently one of the IUPUI Principles of Undergraduate Learning includes the principle of Understanding Society and Culture which is defined by: The ability of students to recognize their own cultural traditions and to understand and appreciate the diversity of the human experience, both within the United States and internationally. An outcome of this principle is demonstrated by the ability (a) to compare and contrast the range of diversity and universality in human history, societies, and ways of life; (b) to analyze and understand the interconnectedness of global and local concerns; and (c) to operate with civility in a complex social world. It is suggested that this principle be deepened to ensure that a student develops a “Cultural Competency” as it relates to their area of study. It is further suggested that “Cultural Competency” be included in the professional development of all faculty and staff.

Cultural competence describes the ability of systems to fulfill its purpose by acknowledging and incorporating the diverse values, beliefs and behaviors, including delivery of products and services that meets the customers' social, cultural and linguistic needs. Cultural competence is both a vehicle to increase quality for all populations and as a business strategy to attract new customers and market share. (<http://www.cmwf.org/programs/minority/worldsapart020504.asp>)

Employee (Faculty & Staff) Climate: Building a campus climate for diversity includes attracting and retaining a diverse employee base and one of the most obvious ways to do this is to offer work/life programs that meet a variety of employee needs. Parents may have dependent care needs (and single and/or low-income parents may need dependent care options with a sliding cost scale, while student parents may need drop-in care), baby boomers may need eldercare assistance, gays and lesbians may seek domestic partner benefits, those juggling several roles may value part-time/flexible work options and female faculty members of child-bearing age may need reduced hours and extended tenure clocks. How do we make it possible/attractive for diverse people to maximize their potential at IUPUI while also having balance in their lives?"

A second question is, "How do we have a culture/climate that supports and values the USE of work/life options by all employees regardless of rank? There must be support at all levels of both the academic and administrative sectors for taking advantage of work/life options. It is imperative that we develop a campus climate that supports taking advantage of work/life benefits in a way that contributes to employee success and productivity.

Besides benefits to the individual, work/life programs which attract a diverse workforce can be beneficial to the institution. A study by McLeod, Lobel and Cox found that on a brainstorming task, ideas of diverse groups were judged to be more feasible and more effective than ideas generated by homogeneous groups. Jackson, in a review of the literature on diverse work team composition and performance, concluded that diverse teams are more creative and innovative. Therefore, if we want IUPUI to have the best people resources to solve the challenges that face us, a diverse workforce is imperative.

The business case regarding work/life programs and a diverse workforce includes building the reputation of the institution so that it is viewed as a role model for the community and is seen as "a great place to work" or "a good community citizen" which improves recruitment and reduces turnover costs. When you consider that a Merck study says turnover costs 1.5-2.5 times the annual salary of the person who left, these cost savings can be substantial.

Diversity and work/life initiatives are inter-related with both advancing inclusiveness, climate and fostering opportunities for development of human potential. Both initiatives share concerns about stereotyping and stigmatization. Both call for flexibility in workplace approaches. As a result, work/life programming and the building of a more diverse institution go hand-in-hand.

Diversity of Opinion: It is quite likely that not everyone will agree with these recommendations. Transforming the campus into a diversity-centered organization is complex, contentious, and conflicting. As such, the process for transformation must be inclusive and prepared to acknowledge a variety of opinions and perspectives.

References

McLeod, P., Lobel, S. and Cox, T. (1996) "Ethnic diversity and creativity in small groups." *Small Group Research*. (27:2)

Jackson, S. (1991). "Team composition in organizational settings: issues in managing an increasingly diverse work force." In Worchel, S., Wood, W. and Simpson, J. (eds). *Group process and productivity*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications, 138-173.

Solomon, B.A. (February, 1985). "Personnel winners: A company that benefits from child-care benefits." *Personnel*, (62.2). 4-6.