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## Introduction

A key goal within the IUPUI Mission statement is "a commitment to ensuring diversity". One aspect of this diversity is the assurance of gender equity in opportunities and benefits for our faculty, staff and students. The last review of gender equity on campus occurred in 1994, nearly twenty years ago, when a Task Force on the Status of Women was appointed. At the request of the IUPUI Office for Women Advisory Council, the Executive Vice Chancellor appointed a new Task Force in 2013 to review the progress of women on campus over the last twenty years and make recommendations for future priorities. This process coincides with the campus-wide strategic planning effort and will provide critical information about the current status of women at IUPUI and how gender equity can be addressed as we plan for the future.

To that end, Nasser Paydar, Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, charged the 2013 14 Task Force on the Status of Women at IUPUI (the Task Force) with the following:

## A. Evaluate the progress of women at IUPUI in the following areas:

1. Number of women in leadership positions in every school and division from 2003 2013. Leadership positions include chairs and above for faculty and professional staff members in pay grades 3 through 6 as well as those at the executive level.
2. Number of female undergraduate and graduate students by school from 1996-2012 in five year intervals (\% of change).
3. Female faculty representation on campus per school by rank, tenure, gender, and race from 2007, 2012 (five year intervals in the future)
4. Salary equity improvements (1999-2009) for faculty and staff
5. Equity resources for internal research awards/teaching grants, student EROP and dissertation grants
6. Women's participation in professional development
7. Women's participation in governance structures - engagement in campus level committees and offices held. This includes Faculty Council, Staff Council, and student government (undergraduate and graduate).
8. Receipt of university and campus recognitions, grants, and awards that include sabbaticals
9. General climate of civility and respect for women and perceptions of inclusion and equity by women (faculty, staff, and students).
10. Policies and programs that are supportive of women as it relates to work/life fit. Some examples may include FMLA, telecommute, stop the tenure clock, pregnancy leave, other leaves of absence, SRUF, etc.
11. Compliance data - complaints, Cleary statistics, Title IX statistics, etc.
B. Evaluate the level of institutional commitment to gender equity. This may include visible policy statements, organizational accountability systems, and allocated resources for women's programs.
C. Evaluate the past efforts of the Office for Women (2007-2012). This includes a review of the mission, vision, goals and activities in relation to the charge, provisions of programming, the structure and staffing, effective partnerships across campus, advocacy for the needs of women faculty, staff and students, and on-going monitoring of the status of women and campus climate for women.

After an extensive review and examination of the available data describing the status of women on the IUPUI campus, the Task Force recognized that while challenges still exist, IUPUI has made good progress in many areas as it relates to the status of women that includes:

- There has been a steady increase in the number of tenure-track and tenured female faculty from 2002 to 2012. Female assistant professors increased from $36.5 \%$ to $40.4 \%$; female associate professors increased from $33.2 \%$ to $39.2 \%$; and female full professors from $16.3 \%$ to $23.5 \%$.
- IUPUI is above the national average for female representation in professional staff ranks with $68 \%$ as compared to $59 \%$ nationally.
- Female faculty and staff are recipients of campus awards slightly more than men with 60 women versus 56 men receiving campus recognitions over the last ten years.
- Females are being encouraged to seek internal research funding opportunities through the implementation of two new initiatives (DRIVE and EMPOWER) from the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research.
- Females have participated in faculty and staff governance structures on a par with men.
- Females participate equally in student governance structures overall.
- A faculty salary equity study conducted in 2008-09 indicated that there is a $2.4 \%$ gap between the mean salaries of male and female faculty that is not explained by other characteristics. This is a decline of .6\% compared to the 1998 study which indicated a $3 \%$ gap.
- In addition to the activities of the Office for Women, several schools have initiated female-focused initiatives to address particular issues. The IU School of Medicine has included a program for the Advancement of Women within its Office of Faculty Affairs and Development. The Purdue School of Engineering and Technology and the Purdue School of Science have both initiated programs to increase the number of female students in their disciplines.
- In 2011 all current employees were required to successfully complete an online Sexual Harassment Training module through the Office of Equal Opportunity. During 2011 and 2012 approximately 11,151 faculty, staff, and student employees on the IUPUI and IUPUC campuses completed the training.

However, the Task Force identified eight challenges (the top three areas of concern along with five additional recommendations for future priorities) that are described in the executive summary and include:

1. Faculty representation: $51 \%$ of all full-time female faculty are in what is considered "second tier" positions of instructor, lecturer or clinician compared to $41 \%$ of male
faculty (excluding researchers and librarians). These positions are non-tenured with fewer privileges or governance rights and less security. More improvement is needed of female representation in the tenure/tenure-track ranks. Moreover, IUPUI is $12 \%$ below the national average in female campus executives which includes deans and assistant and associate deans.
2. Professional staff representation: female staff is more heavily concentrated in the PA3 level which may indicate a ceiling beyond which women are less likely to be promoted.
3. Climate for women: results of recent surveys indicate men and women experience the workplace very differently with a significant percentage of women continuing to express perceptions of discrimination, negative or disparaging comments and not being taken seriously.
4. Salary equity reviews: need to conduct a comprehensive professional staff salary equity review post the 2007 market study and institutionalize via monitoring every five years.
5. In addition, the 2008-09 faculty equity review indicated that a $2.4 \%$ wage gap existed between men and women. This should also continue to be addressed through an institutionalized faculty equity review every five years.
6. A review of majors with 20 or more students indicate that where high-female enrollment programs became slightly more diverse between 2007 and 2012, meaning more men, those programs with low-female enrollment became slightly less diverse, meaning fewer women. This would indicate that traditional male-dominated programs are not attracting more women. Also, it is clear that majors are still highly sexsegregated with women enrolling in traditionally female majors such as nursing, education, and liberal arts while men continue to enroll in science, engineering, finance and technology. If our goal is to be on par with the national average per major, then we will need to effectively benchmark and establish goals for reasonable gender diversity in all the majors.
7. Work/life fit programming has declined during 2013 with the separation of the work/life coordinator. The campus is still lacking an adequate numbers of lactation rooms, more childcare options need to be developed for the campus community, and FMLA policies are not uniformly applied across units.
8. The Office for Women continues to be the only campus-wide office positioned to advocate for and support the efforts to improve the climate for women. However, the OFW remains underfunded and understaffed to carry out all of the mandates and services expected on a campus the size of IUPUI. It should be resourced and staffed to an adequate level which would include increasing FTE support and budget if our institution is to fulfill its commitment to gender equity.

What follows within the content of this report is an assessment of the areas identified in accordance with the charge.

## I-Progress of Women

## 1) Professional Staff Representation

Professional staff members fall within six broad pay grades (PA1 - PA6). When we looked at the workforce data, we initially thought we would look at professional staff in the most senior level pay grade of PA5, PA6 and PAXX only. However, upon review of the data, we concluded that the majority (45\%) of the professional staff fell within the PA3 pay grade as reflected in Table I-2013 Professional Staff by Pay Grade. Thus, we decided that PA3 and above would be the baseline for professional staff members. Moreover, the Task Force thought it appropriate to collaborate with Human Resources Administration in order to understand how the old job groups were re-configured into six broad bands when the campus HR system moved from HRIS to HRMS in 2008.

Table I-2013 Professional Staff by Pay Grade


Source: Office of Equal Opportunity (HRMS)
The 2003 - 2007 data was calculated using the old HRIS classification system that had 20 levels. The 2008-20013 data was calculated using the six broad bands currently in HRMS. The old levels were moved from HRIS into the six broad bands in HRMS as follows: levels PA12, PA13 and PA14 was moved into the PA3 band, PA15 and PA16 was moved into the PA4 band, PA17 and PA18 was moved into the PA5 band, PA19, PA20 and PA21 was moved into the PA6 band, and PA24 was moved in the PAXX Executive pay band.

Upon review of the workforce data, we found that less than $1 \%$ of the professional staff employees are in the PA1 pay grade which primarily exists for auxiliary services and campus facilities services. While $32.7 \%$ of the professional staff are in the PA2 pay grade, both PA1 and PA2 pay grades are defined as entry level professionals and thus not the focus of this review.

Table II - 2003 - 2013 Professional Staff Employees by Pay Grade show headcount for females and males in five broad pay grades (PA3, PA4, PA5, PA6, and PAXX). The details which can be found in Appendix A - 2003-2013 Professional Staff Employees by Pay Grade shown by headcount as well as percentages indicate that in the PA3 and PA4 pay grades women are represented at a higher percentage rate than men, unlike in the PA5 and PA6 pay grades where men are represented at a higher percentage rate. However, since 2003 the gap has closed from $22 \%$ to $18 \%$ in the PA5 pay grade and from $42 \%$ to $12 \%$ in the PA6 pay grade. Because of the small numbers at the executive level (PAXX) pay grade one or two people leaving can change the configuration of this group significantly. However, this group is well-balanced at this time.

As you will note, there is a large jump in the numbers in the PA4 pay grade between the 2007 and 2008 transition period from HRIS to HRMS with two possible compounding explanations: 1) nursing dropped out of the traditional classification ranks and went into a health care provider classification (PA HE) with no rank, coaches (PA CH) are all now under contract, and executives (PA XX) were also pulled into a separate classification which all represent $12.4 \%$ or approximately 281 staff members; and 2) we created PA4 individual contributor and PA4 manager roles as a cross-over level which is the cap of the individual contributor role and entry level first-line managerial role across all job families.

## 2) Faculty Representation

Eight broad categories are represented in Table III - 2002-2012 Faculty Representation that show trends for IUPUI full-time academic appointments and compares a percentage change from 2002 to 2012 and 2011 to 2012. Of significant mention is the fact that female faculty in the full professor ranks has steadily increased since 2003. The total number of female faculty in full professor ranks has increased by $50 \%$ from 84 in 2002 to 126 in 2012. In addition, female faculty in associate professor and assistant professor positions have increased from 2002-2012 by $23.7 \%$ and $30.6 \%$ respectively. Also of notable mention is that clinical female faculty has increased at a higher percentage rate than male faculty from 2002 to 2012 - females went from 163 to 369 which is a $126.4 \%$ increase as compared to clinical male faculty that increased from 224 to 470, a 109.8\% increase.

While the data reflects double digit negative decreases for female faculty (-37.5\%) in research from 2002-2012, there is also a double digit decrease for males (-13.1\%). Overall, it appears the headcount for faculty in research declined by 52 faculty members (24\%) from 218 in 2002 to 166 in 2012. Moreover, the data reflects a double digit decrease for males ( $-11.1 \%$ ) in librarian faculty, there is also a decrease for females (-5.9\%). Librarians are historically female dominated roles, hence, with smaller numbers for male faculty because the overall numbers are small so that the loss of 1 or 2 faculty will reflect a heavy impact. However, representation of women has increased or been consistent. With the exception of librarians and research faculty, full-time female appointments have increased over the past 11 years. However, details by school can be found in Appendix B - $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Census Data - IUPUI Full-Time Academic

## Appointments.

Table II - 2003-2013 Professional Staff Employees by Pay Grade

# 2003-2013 <br> Professional Staff Employees by Pay Grade 

|  | Pay Grade PA3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| Female | 415 | 439 | 439 | 452 | 471 | 466 | 489 | 488 | 501 | 510 | 519 |
| Male | 278 | 296 | 289 | 281 | 290 | 326 | 336 | 328 | 298 | 303 | 330 |
| Grand Total | 693 | 735 | 728 | 733 | 761 | 792 | $\mathbf{8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 3}$ | 849 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 37 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 166 | 166 | 170 | 171 | 180 | 198 |
| Male | 38 | 35 | 36 | 40 | 32 | 123 | 130 | 139 | 140 | 147 | 151 |
| Grand Total | 75 | 75 | 78 | 82 | 75 | 289 | 296 | 309 | 311 | 327 | 349 |


|  | Pay Grade PA5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 2010 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 20 |
| Male | 20 | 26 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 26 | 29 |
| Grand Total | 33 | 39 | 35 | 37 | 37 | 48 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 48 | 49 |


|  | Pay Grade PA6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 |
| Male | 16 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grand Total | 21 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 23 | 24 | 22 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Male | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Grand Total | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 |

Source: Office of Equal Opportunity (HRMS)

Table III - 2002-2012 Faculty Representation
2002-2012 Faculty Representation
IUPUI Full-Time Academic Appointments

| Title |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | as \% of Total in Category, Current Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { \%Change } \\ 2002 \text { to } 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \%Change } \\ 2011 \text { to } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | Totals for 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professor | Male | 430 | 417 | 433 | 412 | 408 | 419 | 415 | 420 | 423 | 404 | 411 | 76.5\% | -4.4\% | 1.7\% | 537 |
|  | Female | 84 | 82 | 96 | 101 | 104 | 104 | 114 | 115 | 115 | 117 | 126 | 23.5\% | 50.0\% | 7.7\% |  |
| Associate Professor | Male | 306 | 293 | 289 | 296 | 285 | 274 | 270 | 271 | 265 | 268 | 291 | 60.8\% | -4.9\% | 8.6\% | 479 |
|  | Female | 152 | 154 | 155 | 150 | 154 | 156 | 160 | 168 | 177 | 185 | 188 | 39.2\% | 23.7\% | 1.6\% |  |
| Assistant Professor | Male | 193 | 204 | 213 | 222 | 224 | 200 | 200 | 221 | 232 | 219 | 214 | 59.6\% | 10.9\% | -2.3\% | 359 |
|  | Female | 111 | 122 | 123 | 140 | 130 | 137 | 141 | 130 | 147 | 139 | 145 | 40.4\% | 30.6\% | 4.3\% |  |
| (Instructor til 2002) Then Academic Specialist | Male | 2 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 13 | 17 | 28.3\% | 750.0\% | 30.8\% | 60 |
|  | Female | 1 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 43 | 31 | 43 | 71.7\% | 4200.0\% | 38.7\% |  |
| Lecturer | Male | 52 | 68 | 76 | 81 | 90 | 86 | 93 | 95 | 100 | 96 | 104 | 49.5\% | 100.0\% | 8.3\% | 210 |
|  | Female | 67 | 74 | 76 | 88 | 88 | 86 | 93 | 94 | 97 | 94 | 106 | 50.5\% | 58.2\% | 12.8\% |  |
| Research | Male | 122 | 119 | 128 | 134 | 139 | 144 | 86 | 83 | 90 | 96 | 106 | 63.9\% | -13.1\% | 10.4\% | 166 |
|  | Female | 96 | 99 | 86 | 99 | 100 | 102 | 55 | 57 | 55 | 55 | 60 | 36.1\% | -37.5\% | 9.1\% |  |
| Clinical | Male | 224 | 254 | 278 | 305 | 300 | 348 | 370 | 387 | 421 | 443 | 470 | 56.0\% | 109.8\% | 6.1\% | 839 |
|  | Female | 163 | 184 | 201 | 214 | 222 | 241 | 261 | 288 | 316 | 333 | 369 | 44.0\% | 126.4\% | 10.8\% |  |
| Total <br> (Excluding Librarians) | Male | 1329 | 1358 | 1424 | 1457 | 1454 | 1483 | 1446 | 1490 | 1550 | 1539 | 1613 | 60.9\% | 21.4\% | 4.8\% | 2650 |
|  | Female | 674 | 717 | 749 | 802 | 820 | 851 | 852 | 882 | 950 | 954 | 1037 | 39.1\% | 53.9\% | 8.7\% |  |
| Librarian | Male | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 33.3\% | -11.1\% | -11.1\% | 48 |
|  | Female | 34 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 30 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 66.7\% | -5.9\% | 6.7\% |  |
| Grand Total | Male | 1347 | 1377 | 1444 | 1477 | 1474 | 1503 | 1466 | 1508 | 1570 | 1557 | 1629 | 60.4\% | 20.9\% | 4.6\% | 2698 |
|  | Female | 708 | 750 | 783 | 834 | 850 | 884 | 884 | 912 | 981 | 984 | 1069 | 39.6\% | 51.0\% | 8.6\% |  |

Source: Frozen File: Information IUIE Census Report (Office of Academic Affairs)

Campus representation compared to the national average for executives, full-time faculty, and professional staff in public 4-year degree-granting institutions, is depicted as follows in Table IV - IUPUI Campus Comparison to the National Average:

- IUPUI is below the national average for female executives 39\% compared to 51\%
- IUPUI is below the national average for female representation in full-time faculty 39\% compared to 42\%
- IUPUI is above the national average for female representation in professional staff $68 \%$ compared to $59 \%$. However, the majority of these women are in the middle of the PA pay grade (PA3)

Executives at the IUPUI campus include deans, assistant deans, and associate deans. While faulty at IUPUI includes all professors, librarians, lecturers, research and clinical, faculty in the national statistics includes all professors, lecturers, instructors, and instructional staff with no rank. However, during the past three years, two females were successful candidates for dean searches (University College and Honors College). In addition, a female is currently the interim Dean in the School of Journalism. And, while the IUPUI campus is $12 \%$ below the national average for female executives, women represent $44 \%$ of the Chancellor's cabinet members ( 4 out of 9 ) which is just $7 \%$ below the national average for female executives.

Table IV - IUPUI Campus Comparison to the National Average
IUPUI Campus Comparison to the National Average

|  | $2011$ <br> 4-Year Public Institutions |  |  | $2012$ <br> IUPUI Employees |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headcount |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Executives | FT Faculty | Professional | Executives | FT Faculty | Professional |
| Female | 41,959 | 158,648 | 231,820 | 50 | 1,042 | 1,531 |
| Male | 40,279 | 223,503 | 158,999 | 78 | 1,633 | 716 |
| Total | 82,238 | 382,151 | 390,819 | 128 | 2,675 | 2,247 |
|  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Executives | FT Faculty | Professional | Executives | FT Faculty | Professional |
| Female | 51\% | 42\% | 59\% | 39\% | 39\% | 68\% |
| Male | 49\% | 58\% | 41\% | 61\% | 61\% | 32\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Source: Fall 2011 Digest of Educational Source: OEO Annual Report, January 2013 Statistics

## 3) Student Representation

A detailed comparison of Student Enrollment by Major - $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ compared to $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ provided in Appendix C shows raw data by school. Unless otherwise noted, this data ONLY includes programs (certificates, majors/degrees) that had more than 20 students enrolled in both 2007 and 2012. Unfortunately, this excludes most Ph.D. programs. Therefore, a total of 130 academic programs with fewer than 20 enrollments were not included which accounted for 966 students in 2007 and 2,343 students in 2012.

High-female enrollment programs are defined as those at more than $78 \%$ female (+20 points over the campus population); and low-female enrollment programs are defined as those with less than $38 \%$ female ( -20 points below the campus population). While overall female enrollment (for the campus/all programs) was $57 \%$, campus female enrollment remained essentially the same when comparing 2007 and 2012. High-female-enrollment programs became slightly more diverse, meaning more men. Male enrollment in these programs was $12 \%$ in 2007 and $15 \%$ in 2012. Low-female-enrollment programs became slightly less diverse, meaning fewer women. Female enrollment in these programs was $25 \%$ in 2007 and $21 \%$ in 2012. More students are in high-female-enrollment programs (4,512 in 2012) such as nursing, liberal arts, social work, and education than low-female-enrollment programs ( 2,905 ). For all included programs (that is, all programs with more than 20 students) females represent 58\% while the overall 2012 campus enrollment is $57 \%$ female. From 2007 to 2012 female enrollment was relatively stable with a $-1 \%$ point change and they continue to dominate enrollment in traditional fields.

For undergraduate programs ( $n=73$ ) enrollment of women dropped from $56 \%$ to $54 \%$ overall, whereas for graduate programs ( $\mathrm{n}=58$ ) enrollment of women remained constant at $62 \%$. What follows are observations from the low and high female enrollment programs:

## Low-Female Enrollment Programs

1. 34 programs had female enrollments lower than $38 \%$ in 2012.

- In 2007 these programs included 3,204 students and 2,905 students in 2012.
- Three programs experienced a decline in overall enrollment larger than 100 students: Mechanical Engineering Tech BS, Organizational Leadership \& Supervision BS, Computer \& Information Technology BS Stand Opt
- One program experienced an increase in overall enrollment larger than 100: Computer Science BS

2. Low-female enrollment programs became even more low-female in 2012: averaging $25 \%$ female in 2007 and 21\% in 2012 (increasing from $75 \%$ to $78 \%$ male).
3. Three programs increased their percentage of women more than $10 \%$ (listed in order of 2012 total enrollment):

- Biomedical Engineering Tech BS, +13\%, from 12\% to 25\% ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ )
- Technology MS, $+16 \%$, from $21 \%$ to $38 \%(n=45)$
- Human Computer Interaction MS, $+17 \%$, from $16 \%$ to $33 \%(n=27)$

4. Twelve programs decreased their percentage of women $10 \%$ or more (listed in order of 2012 total enrollment).

- Finance BS/ BSB, $-12 \%$ from $33 \%$ to $21 \%(n=141)$
- Chemistry ACS Certificate BSCH, $-14 \%$, from $49 \%$ to $36 \%(n=134)$
- Informatics BS, $-14 \%$ from $31 \%$ to $17 \%(n=126)$
- Sports Management BSK BSPE, $-12 \%$ from $33 \%$ to $21 \%(n=102)$
- Social Studies BSED, $-15 \%$ from $44 \%$ to $29 \%(n=93)$
- Electrical Engineering BSEE, $-11 \%$ from $11 \%$ to $0 \%(n=74)$
- Economics BA, $-10 \%$, from $21 \%$ to $11 \%, n=72$ )
- Philosophy BA, $-14 \%$ from $36 \%$ to $22 \%(n=59)$
- Physical Education \& Health Ed BSK $-12 \%$ from $36 \%$ to $24 \%(n=50)$
- Geography BA, $-26 \%$, from $48 \%$ to $23 \%(n=40)$
- Comp Graph Tech BS-Animation, $-10 \%$, from $27 \%$ to $16 \%(n=37)$
- Music Technology MS, $-11 \%$ from $26 \%$ to $15 \%(n=33)$


## High-Female Enrollment Programs

1. 38 programs had female enrollments higher than $78 \%$ in 2012.
2. In 2007 these programs included 4,403 students and 4,532 students in 2012.

- Two programs experienced a decline in overall enrollment larger than 100: Elementary Education and Library Science.
- Two programs experienced an increase in overall enrollment larger than 100: Psychology BA and Nursing RN to BS.

3. These high-female enrollment programs are very high-female, averaging $88 \%$ female in 2007 and slightly less, $85 \%$, in 2012, for a decline of $3 \%$.
4. One program became much more female (change of $9 \%$ in 2012 ( $n=67$ ): Adult Geriatric MSN went from $88 \%$ to $97 \%$ female.
5. Six programs decreased their percentage of women by more than $10 \%$ (listed in order of 2012 total enrollment):

- Histotechnology CERT, $-12 \%$ from $82 \%$ to $70 \%(n=76)$
- Health Information Administration BS, -15\% from $90 \%$ to $75 \%(n=51)$
- Paralegal Studies CRT, $-10 \%$ from $84 \%$ to $74 \%(n=50)$
- Student Affairs Administration MSED, $-20 \%$ to from $82 \% 62 \%(n=39)$
- Applied Communication, $-13 \%$ from $83 \%$ to $70 \%(n=37)$
- Art Education BAED, $-10 \%$ from $88 \%$ to $78 \%(n=27)$

However, the ten largest enrollment programs on campus averaged 68\% female in 2012 and 67\% female in 2007 as reflected in Table V - 10 Largest Enrollment Programs. Again, the table reflects relatively stable female enrollment overall while some programs increased and other declined.

## Table V-10 Largest Enrollment Programs

Table V-10 Largest Enrollment Programs

|  | 2007 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largest Programs | Female | Male | Total | \% <br> Female | Female | Male | Total | Female |
| Medicine MD | 501 | 625 | 1,126 | $44 \%$ | 576 | 723 | 1,299 | $44 \%$ |
| General Studies BGS | 573 | 354 | 927 | $62 \%$ | 635 | 308 | 943 | $67 \%$ |
| Law JD | 452 | 497 | 949 | $48 \%$ | 413 | 517 | 930 | $44 \%$ |
| Elementary Education BSED | 711 | 113 | 824 | $86 \%$ | 542 | 77 | 619 | $88 \%$ |
| Nursing BSN | 537 | 52 | 589 | $91 \%$ | 524 | 53 | 577 | $91 \%$ |
| Social Work MSW | 491 | 72 | 563 | $87 \%$ | 457 | 66 | 523 | $87 \%$ |
| Dental Surgery DDS | 166 | 235 | 401 | $41 \%$ | 196 | 212 | 408 | $48 \%$ |
| Psychology BA | 222 | 55 | 277 | $80 \%$ | 325 | 64 | 389 | $83 \%$ |
| Criminal Justice AS / BS | 166 | 127 | 293 | $57 \%$ | 197 | 175 | 372 | $53 \%$ |
| Psychology BS | 189 | 70 | 259 | $73 \%$ | 220 | 90 | 310 | $71 \%$ |

Source: Information Management and Institutional Research (IMIR)

## 4. Salary Equity

## A. Faculty Salary Equity Study

In 2008, the Chancellor and Executive Vice Chancellor charged the Director of the Office of Equal Opportunity with responsibility for conducting a faculty salary study. The data analyses were performed by staff from Information Management and Institutional Research and then presented to the Faculty Salary Equity Study Advisory Committee. Four findings emerged from the study:

- There is a statistically significant gap between the salaries of male and female faculty members (approximately $2.4 \%$ of the mean salary of faculty members) that is not explained by other faculty characteristics.
- There are not statistically significant differences in faculty salaries by race/ethnicity.
- Although there is a significant gap in the salaries of male and female faculty members, more men than women have been identified as having salaries that are substantially lower than predicted.
- The salary gap between female and male faculty members has declined since 1998.

Overall, the results of the 2008 study are remarkably consistent with the findings from 1991 and 1998. Although IUPUI appears to be making some progress in narrowing the wage gap between female and male faculty members, more work is required to eliminate salary disparities. Both the 1998 and 2008 studies failed to find a statistically significant gap in faculty salaries that was related to race/ethnicity. The 1998 study did find that being female had a negative effect on expected salary, other factors being held constant, and the effect
represented approximately $3 \%$ of average faculty salaries. Similarly, the current study found that being female was negatively associated with faculty members' salaries when other factors were held constant. In 2008, the wage gap represented approximately $2.4 \%$ of average faculty salaries. While this is progress compared to 1998, it is not much progress.

IUPUI developed a plan to address salary disparities for individual faculty members. Of the 1,112 full-time faculty members included in the 2008 study, 42 were identified as outliers with standardized residual scores of -1.67 or less than their predicted salaries. These 42 faculty members moved to a remediation phase that required a review of merit (teaching, research or creative activity and/or service) in their school to determine if the current salary could be justified on the basis of legitimate factors that were either not included in the study or were included imperfectly. If remediation was not recommended, a brief rationale was required. However, if remediation was recommended, a detailed financial plan to adjust the faculty member's salary upwards over a 1 to 3 year time frame was required.

Of the 42 salaries identified as outliers, 8 received remediation adjustments, 20 were clinical positions that have different performance standards, 4 were in line with terminal degrees within the unit, 6 were performance related, and the remaining 4 were already above the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile or had left the unit. Of the 42,17 were female and 25 were male. Of the eight that received remediation adjustments, four (50\%) were female.

## B. Staff Salary Market Study

In November 2007 Indiana University including IUPUI completed a comprehensive classification process for all professional (PA) staff to simplify classifications and to anchor the compensation of staff to market data that is salient to the Indianapolis and central Indiana area. The classification process was to ensure that all professional staff was paid within a range that compared reasonably with the "market rate" for their job category. However, we only supported increases to bring employees up to minimum, so how the ranges broke down by gender was not reviewed. While gender equity was not specifically addressed, the assumption was that there was a good distribution of PA staff within the ranges.

As outlined in the Scope section of the Gender Equity Analysis report, detailed analysis was not performed on job families or levels because it was determined that the analysis could not produce valid data to base conclusions upon. An outline of the project overview as well as a summary of the explanations for excluding certain populations in the detailed analysis is provided in Appendix D - Staff Gender Equity Project Overview and Analysis Exclusions.

Given the specific interest in gender equity following this classification process, HRA began gathering data to provide a snapshot of how the distribution of PA salaries compared, based on gender. As a result, there were eight specific cases that required additional information from departments related to work duties, education, experience or special skills that would possibly explain the salary difference. Of the eight cases, there was a potential disparate pay of a male in comparison to a female. However, upon further review, it was determined that only one pay inequity existed. Unfortunately, before remediation occurred the employee resigned from the university. Because we are sensitive to any individual equity concerns that may arise, those are currently being addressed on a case-by-case basis.

## 5. Access to Research Funding

The Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research (OVCR) had three active programs during 2007 and 2012 - International Development Fund (IDF), Research Support Funds Grant (RSFG), and Signature Centers Initiative (SGI). Several additional funding structures have been added in subsequent years. These include Release Time for Research, RTFR; Indiana Arts and Humanities Internal Grant ,IAHI; Developing Diverse Researchers with Investigative Expertise, DRIVE; Enhanced Mentoring Program with Opportunities for Ways to Excel in Research, EMPOWER; and Funding Opportunities for Research , Commercialization and Economic Success., FORCES. Table VI - OVCR Internal Grants Gender \% 2007-2012 charts these programs by gender, proposals, awards, and faculty status eligibility. IDF and DRIVE have fulltime faculty (All FT Fac) eligibility, while all others have tenure/tenure-track eligibility (Ten/TT). Overall, the number of proposals by gender is not far off from the number of eligible faculty. OVCR programs targeted for underrepresented faculty (DRIVE and EMPOWER) are predominately female, while IAHI is evenly split between gender on the proposals even though the gender eligibility is $65 \%$ male $35 \%$ female.

OVCR Internal Grants Gender \% 2007-2012

| IDF | Gender | Proposals | Awards | FT Fac |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | Male | $61 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
|  | Female | $39 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| 2008 | Male | $91 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
|  | Female | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| 2009 | Male | $75 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
|  | Female | $25 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| 2010 | Male | $74 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
|  | Female | $26 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 2011 | Male | $46 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
|  | Female | $54 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 2012 | Male | $68 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
|  | Female | $32 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| RTR | Gender | Proposals | Awards | Ten/TT |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Male | $67 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Female | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 2009 | Male | $60 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
|  | Female | $40 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 2010 | Male | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Female | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 2011 | Male | $60 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
|  | Female | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 2012 | Male | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
|  | Female | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| RSFG | Gender | Proposals | Awards | Ten/TT |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | Male | $69 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
|  | Female | $31 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 2008 | Male | $63 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Female | $37 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 2009 | Male | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
|  | Female | $30 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 2010 | Male | $69 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Female | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 2011 | Male | $73 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
|  | Female | $27 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 2012 | Male | $82 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
|  | Female | $18 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| DRIVE | Gender | Proposals | Awards | FT Fac |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Male | $31 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
|  | Female | $69 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| 2010 | Male | $19 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
|  | Female | $81 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 2011 | Male | $47 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
|  | Female | $53 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 2012 | Male | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
|  | Female | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| IAHI | Gender | Proposals | Awards | Ten/TT |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | Male | $50 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
|  | Female | $50 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 2010 | Male | $54 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Female | $46 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 2011 | Male | $50 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
|  | Female | $50 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 2012 | Male | $50 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
|  | Female | $50 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| SCI* | Gender | Proposals | Awards | Ten/TT |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Male | $71 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
|  | Female | $29 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 2008 | Male | $71 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Female | $29 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 2010 | Male | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Female | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 2011 | Male | $59 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
|  | Female | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 2012 | Male | $58 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
|  | Female | $42 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $35 \%$ |


| FORCES | Gender | Proposals | Awards | Ten/TT |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | Male | $75 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
|  | Female | $25 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 2012 | Male | $67 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
|  | Female | $33 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $35 \%$ |


| EMPOWER | Gender | Proposals | Awards | Ten/TT |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | Male | $26 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
|  | Female | $74 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 2012 | Male | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
|  | Female | $91 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $35 \%$ |

*Data reflects number of directors, not applications
Source: Office of Vice Chancellor for Research

## 6. Participation in Professional Development

A. The School of Medicine's Office for Faculty Affairs and Professional Development (OFAPD) offers a great deal of professional development programming that is available to faculty, staff, and students across the entire campus. Based on data collected by this office over a four year period (2009-2013), more women have accessed the resources of this office than men in almost every category every year.

Of the total contacts (including faculty, staff, learners, and guests) summarized over all four years ( $N=5,456$ ):

- $54 \%$ were women ( n of women $=2942$ )
- $40 \%$ were men $(\mathrm{n}=2197)$
- $6 \%(n=317)$ gender unknown

This pattern holds when analyzed by group (data below is summarized for all four years).

## Totals Per Group

| Men | Women | Gender <br> Unknown |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Guest | 17 | 49 | 30 |
| All other IUPUl learners | 41 | 119 | 133 |
| IUSM Learners | 287 | 517 | 22 |
| All other IUPUI Staff | 18 | 67 | 3 |
| IUSM Staff | 68 | 275 | 9 |
| All other IUPUI Faculty | 182 | 341 | 29 |
| IUSM Faculty | 1584 | 1574 | 91 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 4 2}$ |

Source: School of Medicine's Office for Faculty Affairs and Professional Development (OFAPD)

## B. The Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO)

The OEO partners with Human Resources Administration in presenting three modules in the Fundamentals of Supervision (legal compliance) training series. These modules include equal employment opportunity, affirmative action, sexual harassment, and Americans with disabilities. In addition to compliance training, this partnership includes "Mediation" training to interested faculty and staff whose role may require assisting others in the resolution of disputes. To-date, we have trained more than 130 faculty and staff as depicted in Table VII OEO Training and Professional Development.

The OEO staff dedicates a significant amount of time to the development and implementation of workshops and presentations that increase and enhance the awareness of the campus community in the areas of equal opportunity, diversity, equity and inclusion. Through these efforts, various training programs were presented to more than 19,000 campus constituents.

# Office of Equal Opportunity Training and Professional Development Programs 

| Course | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Academic Integrity/Ethics | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 40 | 70 |
| ADA | 107 | 45 | 65 | 27 | 95 | 339 |
| Civility in the Workplace | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 256 | 321 |
| Diversity | 256 | 336 | 187 | 574 | 397 | 1750 |
| EEO/AA | 114 | 90 | 65 | 140 | 298 | 707 |
| FAM | 4 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 18 | 51 |
| Gender Equity | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| Leadership | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| Mediation | 0 | 45 | 50 | 19 | 24 | 138 |
| OEO Complaint Procedures | 477 | 125 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 652 |
| Outreach* | 0 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| Preventing Sexual Harassment | 367 | 500 | 634 | 7764 | 3387 | 12652 |
| Professionalism | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 38 |
| Resource Fairs* | 200 | 50 | 150 | 0 | 400 | 800 |
| Search and Screen Protocols | 50 | 253 | 148 | 297 | 459 | 1207 |
| Totals | 1575 | $\mathbf{1 5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 3 0}$ |

Source: Office of Equal Opportunity Annual Report dated January 31, 2014
C. The IUPUI Office of Academic Affairs provides the following annual programming for professional development for all faculty.

- Administration orientation programming for new academic administrators to assist in their transition to the IUPUI campus as well as familiarize them with their roles and responsibilities as campus leaders.
- Leadership series for chairs and associate deans to facilitate continued growth and development of capabilities necessary for effective leadership.
- Faculty advancement programs and workshops to support and enable candidates to successfully advance their careers at IUPUI. Promotion and/or tenure programs are available for all faculty ranks and career stages.
- On-line promotion and/or tenure library of foundational programs to support candidate preparation for promotion and/or tenure. These programs are available $24 / 7$ and discuss various aspects of the promotion and tenure process (curriculum vitae format and excellence in research modules).
- Reading at the table series provides an opportunity for members of the IUPUI community to celebrate published books written by IUPUI faculty or staff.
- Signature events include a range of special events to support, develop and recognize faculty at various stages of their academic career.


## 7. Participation in Governance Structures

## A. Faculty Council Executive Committee

A common goal of the faculty members of Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis is to better human conditions through the process of education. In recognition and appreciation of their rights and responsibilities, they established a Constitution for the purpose of creating a system that would aid in the identification, definition, and accomplishments of major specific objectives of the faculty. Individuals serving full-time who hold instructor (including lecturer) or professorial (including clinical and research) rank, as well as librarians of comparable rank, and who perform their functions primarily in Indianapolis, or who, having their principal functions elsewhere have rank in an academic unit which is primarily situated at IUPUI, shall be considered members of the faculty. The faculty is divided between tenured and tenure-track faculty members, and non-tenure-track faculty members.

Composition of the Executive Committee consists of eight members elected by the Faculty Council. The Executive Committee: a) determines the agenda for its own meetings and for regular meetings of the Council; b) solicits, with the help of the Faculty Council Coordinator, the interest of faculty in serving on IUPUI Faculty Council Standing Committees; c) serves as the Committee on Committees for the Council; d) conducts the elections which are governed by the provisions of the Faculty Council Bylaws, and rule on matters of dispute relating to election procedures; e) recommends to the Council the size of $N$ for the coming year; and, f) performs such other duties as may be assigned to it by the Council or by the Council's Bylaws.

As reflected in Table VIII - 2003-2014 Comparison of Women and Men Serving on Faculty Council Executive Committee, women served in a leadership role as President or Vice President on the Faculty Council Executive Committee from 2003-2008. While women have not held a leadership role since 2008, they participated at a higher rate (56\%) of "members at large" compared to men (44\%) during the past 12 academic years. Moreover, during the past 12 academic years, of the 123 participants serving in any capacity on the Executive Committee, 18 women served an average of 3.3 academic years in comparison to 17 males that served an average of 3.8 academic years. Overall, it appears that women have adequate representation and voice in the faculty governance structure.

## 2003-2014 <br> Comparison of Women and Men Serving on Faculty Council Executive Committee

| Year | Member At Large |  | Officer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men |  |
| 2003 | 6 | 2 | P | VP | 10 |
| 2004 | 4 | 4 | P | VP | 10 |
| 2005 | 3 | 5 | VP | P | 10 |
| 2006 | 4 | 4 | VP | P | 10 |
| 2007 | 5 | 3 | VP | P | 10 |
| 2008 | 4 | 4 | VP | P | 10 |
| 2009 | 6 | 2 |  | $\mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{P}$ | 10 |
| 2010 | 6 | 2 |  | $\mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{P}$ | 10 |
| 2011 | 4 | $6^{*}$ |  | $\mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{P}$ | 12 |
| 2012 | 4 | $5^{*}$ |  | $\mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{P}$ | 11 |
| 2013 | 5 | 3 |  | $\mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{P}$ | 10 |
| 2014 | 4 | 4 |  | $\mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{P}$ | 10 |

Notes:
P = President, VP = Vice President

* Male served while female was on sabbatical

Source: IUPUI Faculty Council Executive Committee

## B. Staff Council Executive Committee

For the purpose of the Staff Council organization, the term staff shall mean all appointed, nonfaculty and non-union employees of IUPUI. As members of the IUPUI Staff Council, the purpose is to act as a voice of the staff in collaborating with the entire campus community by fostering accountability and best practices in supporting and carrying out the vision, mission and goals of the university. More specifically, a) to increase the sense of identity, recognition and worth of each staff member in his/her relationship to the University; b) to identify concerns relating to staff and to seek their solutions; c) to provide a channel of communication for staff with administration and faculty; d) to promote staff development and to recommend policies which aid in retaining highly-qualified personnel; e) to integrate the staff into campus governance and existing University affairs; f) to establish and promote university-wide activities, publications, work-shops, and seminars, and g) to serve as a resource for other schools or units in the development of School or Unit Staff Councils.

As reflected in Table IX - Comparison on Staff Council Executive Committee, women served in a leadership role as President, First Vice President, or Second Vice President on the Staff Council Executive Committee every year during the past 12 years. Moreover, of the 124
participants during the past 12 years, women participated at a higher rate ( $82 \%$ ) compared to men (18\%). Moreover, during the past 12 academic years, of the 124 participants serving in any capacity on the Executive Committee, 40 women served an average of 2.6 years in comparison to eight males that served an average of 2.4 academic years. Overall, it appears that women have adequate representation and voice in the staff governance structure.

Table IX - 2003-2014 Comparison on Staff Council Executive Committee

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 2003-2014 } \\
\text { Comparison of Women and Men } \\
\text { Serving on Staff Council Executive Committee }
\end{gathered}
$$

| Year | Member At Large |  | Officer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Total |
| 2003 | 5 | 0 | P, PE, FVP, CS | SVP | 10 |
| 2004 | 5 | 1 | P, SVP, CS |  | 9 |
| 2005 | 5 | 1 | P, FVP, SVP, CS |  | 10 |
| 2006 | 5 | 1 | P, PE, SVP, CS | FVP | 11 |
| 2007 | 3 | 2 | P, FVP, CS, PA |  | 9 |
| 2008 | 3 | 3 | P, FVP, SVP, PA |  | 10 |
| 2009 | 5 | 1 | P, FVP, CS, PA | SVP | 11 |
| 2010 | 6 | 0 | P, FVP, CS, PA | SVP | 11 |
| 2011 | 5 | 0 | P, FVP, CS, PA | SVP | 10 |
| 2012 | 5 | 1 | P, FVP, SVP, CS, PA | PE | 12 |
| 2013 | 5 | 1 | SVP, PA | P, FVP | 10 |
| 2014 | 5 | 1 | SVP, CS, PA | P, FVP | 11 |

P = President, FVP = First Vice President, SVP = Second Vice
Notes: President, CS = Corresponding Secretary, PA = Parliamentarian
Source: IUPUI Staff Council Executive Committee

## C. Undergraduate Student Government

When considering women's representation at IUPUI over the last decade, it is important to consider student governance. In the years from 2004-2014 as reflected in Table X Comparison of Women and Men Serving in Undergraduate Student Government, three women have held the position of Undergraduate Student Government (USG) President. Two of those women were elected to the position and another woman assumed that role when the president resigned in the middle of the academic year. In comparison, eight men held the top executive position in the USG in that time period. The remaining executive positions in the USG (Vice President, Treasurer, and Secretary) have equal representation over the last ten years, with 15 men and 15 women holding these positions respectively. While representation of women in executive positions in USG is encouraging during this time period, it is clear that more work needs to be done to promote equity in representation in the presidency.

This data was collected through an examination of historical records in the Office of Student Involvement and University Library Archives and through self-reported information provided by Undergraduate Student Government.

Table X - Comparison of Women and Men Serving in Undergraduate Student Government

> Comparison of Women and Men Serving in Undergraduate Student Government

| Year | Executives |  | Officer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men |  |
| 2004-05 | 3 | 0 |  | $P$ | 4 |
| $2005-06$ | 2 | 1 | $P$ |  | 4 |
| $2006-07$ | 0 | 3 |  | $P$ | 4 |
| $2007-08$ | 2 | 1 | P- Spring | P- Fall | 4 |
| $2008-09$ | 2 | 1 |  | $P$ | 4 |
| $2009-10$ | 2 | 1 |  | $P$ | 4 |
| $2010-11$ | 1 | 2 |  | $P$ | 4 |
| $2011-12$ | 2 | 1 |  | $P$ | 4 |
| $2012-13$ | 1 | 2 |  | $P$ | 4 |
| $2013-14$ | 0 | 3 | $P$ |  | 4 |

Note: P = President
Source: Archives - Undergraduate Student Government

## 8. Work/Life Fit and Family-Friendly Policies and Benefits

A. Human Resources Policies

Alternative Work Schedules policy encourages operating units to accommodate the childcare, family care, and other personal needs of employees by establishing alternative work schedules to the extent possible and consistent with the requirements of the operating unit. Alternative work schedules" refers to all university-approved practices that depart from the regularly scheduled workweek of the operating unit. The following types of alternative work schedules include but are not limited to the following:

1. "Flexible schedule" (often referred to as "flextime") means any supervisory-approved practice of permitting eligible employees to alter the standard hours on a daily basis. In such cases, operating units establish core hours when the employee must be present unless he or she is on an approved leave. Employees approved for a flexible schedule may change their start and end times on a daily basis provided they work the established core hours.
2. "Variable schedule" refers to a fixed work schedule that deviates from the standard work schedule and is mutually agreeable to the employee and the supervisor. This may include an agreement to alter the lunch break from the standard one-hour lunch. Unlike the flexible schedule (described above), this does not include the employee option to change the agreed-upon daily start and end work time.
3. "Alternate workweek schedule" refers to a supervisory-approved practice that enables eligible employees to complete the basic work requirement of forty (40) hours per week in fewer or more than five (5) full workdays. The work schedule is standardized by mutual agreement of the employee and the supervisor.

Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) policy allows eligible employees to receive up to a total of 12 weeks of leave in a 12-month period for a birth of a child; placement with the employee of a child through adoption or foster care of a child; care for any of the following who has a serious health condition: the employee's spouse or same-sex domestic partner, the employee's child under 18, the same-sex domestic partner's child under 18, or the employee's parent; care for the employee's or same-sex domestic partner's child 18 or older who has a serious health condition and is incapable of self-care because of a mental or physical disability; a serious health condition that renders the employee unable to perform the functions of his or her job; and a qualifying exigency which occurs while the employee's spouse, domestic partner, child, child of the domestic partner, or parent is on covered active duty or has been notified of an impending call or order to covered active duty in the Armed Forces.

Employees will be entitled to return to the same or an equivalent position at the conclusion of the leave, if they are able to perform the essential functions of the position. It is also the policy of Indiana University to voluntarily apply the FMLA provisions to same-sex domestic partners as qualified by the university's Affidavit of Domestic Partnership. Moreover, in accordance with the FMLA, medical and dental benefits are maintained during the leave so long as the employee
intends to return and does actually return to work. However, employees on an FMLA leave continue to be responsible for paying their share of premiums for benefit plans.

Discretionary Leave of Absence is absence without pay, authorized in advance, for 30 or more calendar days and for up to one year. The period of leave cannot exceed one year. At that point the employee must either return to work or be terminated with the exception of leaves for military duty. A leave of absence for more than one year must be approved by the campus chancellor, provost, or appropriate vice president. A leave of absence implies that the employee intends to return to the same or similar position, which will be available when the employee returns. For this reason, employees must apply for a leave of absence with the academic dean, department head, or designated authority. If this person recommends the leave, the recommendation is then forwarded to the appropriate administrative authority for approval. The individual who recommends the leave is responsible for the availability of a position when the employee returns to work.

## B. Lactation Rooms on Campus

Provisions for Lactating Mothers supports mothers who want to express breast milk at work. The policy promotes a respectful and healthy work environment for all employees. Departments are to provide a location, to the extent reasonably possible, where an employee can express breast milk in private. The location can be a room designated just for this purpose, the employee's private office, a private office not in use, or any area other than a bathroom where the employee can have privacy from others. Departments are to ensure, to the extent reasonably possible, the availability of cold storage space. The employee may provide her own portable cold storage device or have access to a refrigerator located in the department or building. Moreover, the time needed to express milk is paid time if it occurs during work hours. The expectation is that the employee can express milk during rest periods and lunch breaks. If additional time is needed, supervisors are encouraged to grant reasonable flexible scheduling to accommodate the need.

The labor force participation rate-the percent of the population working or looking for work-for all mothers with children under age 18 was 70.5 percent in 2012 and the participation rate of mothers with infants under a year old was 57.0 percent.

Ind. Code § 5-10-6-2 and § 22-2-14-2 (2008) provide that state and political subdivisions shall provide for reasonable paid breaks for an employee to express breast milk for her infant, make reasonable efforts to provide a room or other location, other than a toilet stall, where the employee can express breast milk in private and make reasonable efforts to provide for a refrigerator to keep breast milk that has been expressed. The code also provides that employers with more than 25 employees must provide a private location, other than a toilet stall, where an employee can express the employee's breast milk in private and if possible to provide a refrigerator for storing breast milk that has been expressed.

Of the 55+ buildings listed on the IUPUI campus, 15 have designated private lactation rooms or spaces (Riley and University Hospitals have their own rooms for their employees and visitors).

Because the designated spaces are scattered across campus, we still have gaps. The current priority is for a dedicated space in the Campus Center. Presently, all we have available for nursing mothers whether a student, staff, faculty member or visitor is a small, remote and dark music room in the basement. While it is good that a space can be made available for breastfeeding women in the Campus Center, it is barely adequate and as the life sciences campus with a state of the art Campus Center, we should provide a "state of the art" lactation room to nursing mothers and send the message that women and their needs are valued at IUPUI.

In addition to the campus center, other priority needs include the following buildings:

- Waterway Boulevard buildings and Indiana Avenue corridor
- Herron School of Art and Design
- IT Building
- The Tower
- LD Building and Science
- Education/Social Work
C. Faculty Leave Programs

Sabbatical Leave Program - IUPUI has a program of sabbatical leave for faculty members and librarians. From the time of appointment to a tenure-track position, faculty members are entitled to apply for a sabbatical leave every seventh year. The purpose of the sabbatical is to provide concentrated time for research/creativity activity which will eventually benefit the university in terms of enhanced teaching and reputation of its faculty. The sabbatical leave program is undertaken to provide time for scholarly research and to allow members of faculty to keep abreast of developments in their fields of service to the University. Acceptable programs for use of time include: 1) research on significant problems; 2) important creative or descriptive work in any means of expression; for example, writing, painting, and so forth; 3) postdoctoral study along a specified line at another institution; and 4) other projects satisfactory to the Committee on Sabbatical Leaves of Absence. As reflected in Table XI-2002 - 2012 Sabbaticals, women took sabbaticals 43\% during this period compared to men (57\%).

Table XI - 2002 - 2012 Sabbaticals

## 2002-2012 Sabbaticals

| Year | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 11 | 10 |
| 2003 | 18 | 9 |
| 2004 | 16 | 16 |
| 2005 | 14 | 10 |
| 2006 | 19 | 9 |
| 2007 | 24 | 13 |
| 2008 | 25 | 13 |
| 2009 | 21 | 12 |
| 2010 | 17 | 22 |
| 2011 | 20 | 19 |
| 2012 | 21 | 22 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 206 | 155 |

Source: Office of Academic Affairs
Extension of the Tenure Clock is not a formal IUPUI policy or part of the Academic Handbook. However, the IUPUI campus has a past practice of granting extension of tenure under strict circumstances to some of its tenure-track faculty for various legitimate reasons. As exhibited in Table XII - 2009 - $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ Tenure Extensions, IUPUI granted and approved 74 requests from faculty to extend the tenure clock (12 granted in 2009, 12 in 2010, 22 in 2011, 4 in 2012, 21 in 2013 and 3 in 2014). Of the 74 requests for extension of tenure, 44 or $59 \%$ were extended to females while 30 or $41 \%$ were extended to males. Moreover, females were equally likely to receive a second extension - 7 each.

Table XII - 2009-2014 Tenure Extensions

2009-2014 Tenure Extensions
By Gender

| Year | Female | Multiple <br> Extensions | Male | Multiple <br> Extensions | Total <br> Extensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 12 |
| 2010 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 |
| 2011 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 22 |
| 2012 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 2013 | 14 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 21 |
| 2014 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Totals | 44 | 7 | 30 | 7 | 74 |

Of the 44 female extensions, $43 \%$ or 19 were medical related in comparison to the 30 male extensions at $20 \%$ or 6 that were medical related as depicted in Table XIII - Tenure
Extensions by Reason. However, males were more heavily impacted by workload and lack of progress by $37 \%$ or 11 compared to females at $9 \%$ or 4 . In addition, of the female extensions $16 \%$ or 7 females were also SRUF hires compared to $7 \%$ or 2 males. Of the 74 extensions todate, more than half were granted between the School of Medicine ( $45 \%$ or 33 ) and the School of Liberal Arts ( $12 \%$ or 9 ) as show in Table XIV - Tenure Extensions by Gender.

Table XIII - Tenure Extensions by Reason

Tenure Extensions by Reason

| Reason | Female | Male | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Administrative | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Change | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Family issues | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Grant related | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Interdisciplinary work | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Lack of progress | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Medical | 19 | 6 | 25 |
| Mentor | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Public scholar/research | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Research related | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Set up new program | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Lab issues/delay | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| US resident status delay | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Workload | 1 | 6 | 7 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |

Source: Office of Academic Affairs

## Table XIV - Tenure Extensions by Gender

## Tenure Extensions by Gender

| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 4 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 2 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| Female | 8 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 14 | 1 | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |
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## D. Center for Young Children

The Center for Young Children (CYC) provides child care and early childhood education for the University's diverse population as well as the general public and is also a supportive unit of IUPUI. The center is licensed through the state of Indiana. As part of the University, CYC also acts as a research and training site where students can put textbook theory to practice and faculty can investigate areas of early childhood development and family issues. CYC also provides a safe and caring environment that promotes learning and child development. Year round programs are offered for children ages 6 weeks to 5 years as well as programs for school age children during the summer months. While the goal is to reach the capacity of 201 children, CYC currently has 177 children enrolled. Full capacity includes 16 infant spaces, 20 toddler spaces, 45 two-year-old spaces, and 120 multi-age spaces ( $3-5$ years old). Approximately $80-90 \%$ of the current enrollment is used by IUPUI affiliates. Moreover, CYC currently has 70+ families on the infant waiting list (50+ are affiliates and 20+ are nonaffiliates).

## 9. Equity in Campus Awards

When we looked at campus award recognition and recipients, we found that between 2002 and 2012 females or initiatives led by females (53\%) were the biggest recipients of awards for excellence in teaching, civic engagement, diversity, mentoring, and scholarly research compared to males (47\%). More specifically, we found the following:

- Chancellor's Professor Award, males (18) were the most frequent recipients compared to females (13)
- Chancellor's Award for Excellence in Teaching, females (6) were the most frequent recipients compared to males (4)
- Chancellor's Faculty Award for Excellence in Civic Engagement, females (5) were the most frequent recipients compared to males (4)
- Chancellor's Diversity Scholar Award, two females have been the only recipients. However, this award is only in its second year.
- Chancellor's Award for Excellence in Multicultural Teaching, two females have been the only recipients. However, this award is only in its second year.
- Alvin S. Bynum Mentor Award (Faculty), females (9) were the most frequent recipients compared to males (5)
- Alvin S. Bynum Mentor Award (Staff), females (4) were the most frequent recipients compared to males (2)
- Glenn W. Irwin, Jr., M.D., Research Scholar Award, males (10) were the most frequent recipients compared to females (3)
- Dr. Joseph T. Taylor Award for Excellence in Diversity, females (18) including initiatives led by females were the most frequent recipients compared to males (11)


## 10. General Climate of Civility

## Faculty Vitality Survey

In 2006, the School of Medicine Dean's Office for Faculty Affairs and Professional Development developed a survey to measure faculty vitality; not just satisfaction, but also productivity and engagement. The instrument contains demographic variables and subscales measuring perceptions of the following categories:

- Institutional Climate and Leadership
- Career and Life Management
- Faculty Development
- Satisfaction
- Engagement
- Productivity

The most recent administration of the survey in 2011 yielded a $42 \%$ response rate, and illustrated that nearly $80 \%$ of the faculty respondents were very or somewhat satisfied with their careers. Further, the survey revealed that there were no statistically significant differences between men and women respondents on any scale score, indicating that men and women report the same levels of satisfaction, engagement, and productivity, as well as similar abilities to manage their career and life, and similar perceptions of institutional climate and leadership. At the item level, there were statistically significant differences between men and women in response to the item about ability to balance personal and professional demands. On average, men indicated a greater ability to do so than women.

Female Faculty and Staff Climate Survey on gender and diversity issues was funded by a grant from the IUPUI Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and was conducted by the Office for Women and the Multicultural Pedagogy Research Group. Professor Leslie Ashburn-Nardo and Professor Jane Williams of the Department of Psychology supervised the process. The survey looked at climate issues for women faculty and staff at IUPUI based on indications of perceived gender bias in the 2009 Faculty Survey and the 2009 Staff Survey. In these surveys a notable percentage expressed a perception of discrimination, negative or disparaging comments or not being taken seriously based on gender compared to other categories. Upon review of the raw data and further examination, the majority of these responses were from women.

## Sample

The faculty and staff portion of the study was initiated in spring 2013. A survey was disseminated to all faculty and staff women - 300 faculty members and 1200 staff members responded; of which 481 (42\%) were from the School of Medicine. No other department on the IUPUI campus had respondents which reached $10 \%$ of the sample and represented 31 offices/departments on campus. Thus, the non-SOM portion of the sample appears to be diverse and representative of the campus staff. The majority of the staff reported working at IUPUI for either 1-5 (30 \%) or 6-10 (23.6\%) years. Interestingly, 14.5\% reported working at IUPUI for longer than 20 years. Seven hundred twenty three staff (58.7\%) reported holding
professional staff roles, 371 ( $30 \%$ ) reported as clerical staff, 117 (9.5\%) reported as technical staff, and less than $1 \%$ reported as skilled craft, service maintenance or executive management. Two hundred two staff (16\%) identified themselves as being a member of an underrepresented group; 145 identified as black or African American, 31 as Asian, 16 as American Indian or Alaska Native, 2 as Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and 8 others didn't specify or identified as multi-racial. Staff ranged in age from 19-75 with the average age of staff being 43 with a standard deviation of 11.7 years.

## Results for female staff

Table XV below provides the percentages of female staff at IUPUI and the SOM who endorsed experiences that they have had at IUPUI (identified in the rows in the table) and they attributed those experiences to some defining characteristics of themselves (identified in the columns across the top). Some of these experiences and characteristics are identical to those included in the IUPUI Climate survey distributed by IMIR. However we added 4 experiences - paid little attention to me, addressed me unprofessionally, doubted my judgment, and was drawn into a conversation I didn't want to be in that we felt are indicative of micro-aggressions towards women. In addition, we added the characteristic of 'status' because we were interested in whether our staff, who are primarily women, experience these events in part because of their lower status positions on campus. Values in the cells are percentages. The number of participants varies: SOM $=442-480$, IUPUI - 590-775.

Table XV - Percentage of female staff who report experiences based on their characteristics

| Experiences | Gender |  | Race |  | Orientation |  | Age |  | Status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IUPUI | SOM | IUPUI | SOM | IUPUI | SOM | IUPUI | SOM | IUPUI | SOM |
| Negative disparaging | 12.2 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 12 | 8 | 24.1 | 15.6 |
| Harassment | 6.4 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 6.2 | 5.4 |
| Isolated | 6.3 | 5.2 | 5 | 4.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 8 | 7.5 | 22.5 | 17.9 |
| Offensive | 9.9 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 3.3 |
| Not taken seriously | 16.5 | 11.4 | 5 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 32.4 | 26 |
| Discouragement | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 13.5 | 8.7 |
| Encouragement | 6.6 | 5 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 25.4 | 18.7 |
| Paid little attention | 11.2 | 8.3 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 33.1 | 29.3 |
| Addressed unprofessionally | 11.1 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 17.4 | 13.5 |
| Doubted my judgment | 11.7 | 8.7 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 32.4 | 28.5 |
| Draw me in | 8.1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 5.4 |
| Feeling connected | 16.2 | 10.4 | 6.4 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 10.8 | 7.7 | 21.8 | 14.1 |
| Joining a group | 7.6 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 13.1 | 8.1 |

Source: Office for Women and the Multicultural Pedagogy Research Group

## Findings

1) Cells are shaded if they held percentages that neared or were above $10 \%$. In general, the percentages were highest for gender and status, although some female staff perceived age impacted treatment.
2) Interestingly, the percentages for status were much higher than gender.
3) The events we added paid little attention, addressed unprofessionally and doubted my judgment are examples of micro-aggressions that increase the degree of incivility in the workplace. Women reported experiencing these in rather higher numbers based on gender, age and status.
4) The percentages for status are remarkably high and suggest that staff experience quite a bit of incivility in the workplace. This may be an area that should be investigated more closely as these data do not indicate from whom they experience this.
5) It appears the percentages are higher on the IUPUI campus than in the SOM.

Table XVI provides a comparison of the 2013 climate survey to data collected by IMIR in 2009 by gender. As seen in the table, men report negligible amount of the experiences compared to women, both in 2009 and 2013. The shaded rows, in particular, suggest that men and women experience the workplace very differently.

Table XVI

|  | 2013 Data |  | IMIR 2009 Data (N = 356) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Experiences | IUPUI <br> Female Staff | SOM <br> Female Staff | IUPUI <br> Female | IUPUI Men |
| Negative disparaging | 12.2 | 8 | 16 | 5.5 |
| Harassment | 6.4 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 1.1 |
| Isolated | 6.3 | 5.2 | 11.5 | 3.3 |
| Offensive | 9.9 | 7.3 | 8.6 | 0.5 |
| Not taken seriously | 16.5 | 11.4 | 22.4 | 0.5 |
| Discouragement | 3.2 | 2.5 | 9.8 | 2.2 |
| Encouragement | 6.6 | 5 | 4 | 2.2 |
| Paid little Attention | 11.2 | 8.3 | NA | NA |
| Addressed <br> unprofessionally | 11.1 | 6.7 | NA | NA |
| Doubted my judgment | 11.7 | 8.7 | NA | NA |
| Draw me in | 8.1 | 4.2 | NA | NA |
| Feeling connected | 16.2 | 10.4 | 12.6 | 0 |
| Joining a group | 7.6 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 0 |
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## Results for female faculty

Table XVII below provides the percentages of female faculty at IUPUI, including the SOM who endorsed experiences that they have had at IUPUI (identified in the rows in the table) and they attributed those experiences to some defining characteristics of themselves (identified in the columns across the top). Again, we added 4 experiences - paid little attention to me, addressed me unprofessionally, doubted my judgment, and was drawn into a conversation I didn't want to be in that we felt are indicative of micro-aggressions towards women. In addition, we added the characteristic of 'status' because we were interested in whether faculty experience these events in their schools or on campus. Values in the cells are percentages.

Table XVII - Percentage of female faculty who report experiences based on their characteristics

| Experiences | Gender | Race | Sex Or | Age | Disability | Religion | SES | Status |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Negative disparaging | 22.8 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 25.7 |
| Harassment | 11.9 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 |
| Isolated | 22.8 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 24.8 |
| Offensive | 16.8 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 5.0 |
| Not taken seriously | 32.7 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.7 |
| Discouragement | 12.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 |
| Encouragement | 23.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 28.7 |
| Paid little attention | 24.8 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 |
| Addressed unprofessionally | 29.7 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 |
| Doubted my judgment | 24.8 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 17.8 |
| Draw me in | 13.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Feeling connected | 25.7 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 7.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 19.8 |
| Joining a group | 19.8 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 16.8 |

SES = Socio-economic status
Source: Office for Women and the Multicultural Pedagogy Research Group

Overall findings from the faculty data indicate that none of the means were significantly different from one another. However, a couple of patterns are evident in Table XVIII below which provides a comparison of the experiences by rank and the number of participants who indicated the experiences occurred. A clear indication is that Associate Professors tend to report more negative perceptions throughout the report particularly with experiencing not being taken seriously, encouragement, addressed unprofessionally, doubting my judgment, and feeling connected. What is not surprising is that the visiting and part-time faculty did not report very positive perceptions throughout the survey which can be found in Appendix E 2013 Female Faculty and Staff Climate Survey along with the needs assessment scale items.

Table XVIII - Experiences by Faculty Rank

| Experiences | Lecturer | Assistant | Associate | Full |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Negative disparaging | 6 | 5 | 9 | 1 |
| Harassment | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Isolated | 5 | 5 | 8 | 3 |
| Offensive | 4 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| Not taken seriously | 10 | 6 | 12 | 3 |
| Discouragement | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| Encouragement | 1 | 8 | 10 | 2 |
| Paid little attention | 7 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| Addressed unprofessionally | 4 | 9 | 13 | 2 |
| Doubted my judgment | 3 | 3 | 14 | 4 |
| Draw me in | 3 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| Feeling connected | 6 | 6 | 10 | 3 |
| Joining a group | 3 | 4 | 8 | 4 |

Source: Office for Women and the Multicultural Pedagogy Research Group

## Exit Surveys

Professional staff females separate from the university at a much higher rate $67 \%$ than professional staff males 33\% according to the separation data in Table XIX - 3-year Separation Data by Gender. Unlike with faculty, females separate at a lower average percentage rate of $42 \%$ compared to male faculty at $58 \%$. In response to an increase in separation in 2011, an exit survey was developed and launched during the fall of 2012 to improve the quality of IUPUI's work environment that allow employees who have left or are leaving the opportunity to provide feedback about their experiences while working at the University. The survey consists of questions about the employee's position and job functions, the IUPUI environment, and matters that will help improve occupational health and safety, reduce job "burnout", prevent harassment and discrimination, provide service with distinction, and build civil employee relations. The survey takes approximately 20 minutes to complete and allows individuals to volunteer their identity in case follow-up is warranted or desired.

## Table XIX - Three Year Separation Data by Gender

## Three-Year Separation Data by Gender

| Faculty | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Women | 88 | 45.1\% | 88 | 42.1\% | 66 | 40.0\% |
| Men | 107 | 54.9\% | 121 | 57.9\% | 99 | 60.0\% |
| Total | 195 | 100.0\% | 209 | 100.0\% | 165 | 100.0\% |


| Professional Staff | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| Women | 181 | $66.5 \%$ | 228 | $67.3 \%$ | 149 | $67.1 \%$ |
| Men | 91 | $33.5 \%$ | 111 | $32.7 \%$ | 73 | $32.9 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{y y}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Office of Equal Opportunity 2013 Annual Report
In 2012 the OEO piloted the survey by sending approximately 1,700 postcards via U.S. mail to employees that separated during 2011 and 2012. We initially received 118 responses of which $73.2 \%$ were female and $26.8 \%$ were male. Faculty members made up $20.4 \%$ of the respondents, $43.4 \%$ professional staff members, $17.1 \%$ support and service staff members, $15.8 \%$ clerical, $1.3 \%$ research (lab technicians, statisticians, etc.), and 2\% technology. To-date, we received a total of 272 responses of which $69 \%$ or 187 were women.

Generally categorized the exit interview feedback fell into the categories of work environment, job related issues, personal health and family as well as a broad category labeled "other" which included retirement, involuntary separations and discrimination as depicted in Table XX - Exit Interview Feedback.


Source: Office of Equal Opportunity 2013 Annual Report
More specifically, the most frequently cited reasons for departing were as follows:

- Dissatisfaction with pay
- Dissatisfaction with job
- Retirement
- Conflict with supervisor
- Limited opportunity for advancement

Participants responded positively to the following statements:

- $91.2 \%$ - "My retirement package was competitive"
- $91.1 \%$ - "My medical/dental benefits were competitive"
- $63.2 \%$ - "I would recommend my department or unit as a good place to work"
- $74.7 \%$ - "I would recommend IUPUI as a good place to work"


## Areas of Concern...

## Key Issues



Source: Office for Women and the Multicultural Pedagogy Research Group

It is noteworthy that $9.3 \%$ of the respondents identified marital status as an area of concern. Moreover, the following represents the types of comments that speak to the experience of female employees that left IUPUI:

- Comments from male employees about appearance that made females feel uncomfortable. For example, "does your husband know you went out of the house wearing that dress?"
- Stereotypical insensitive comments about race and gender. For example, being asked if you are of Hispanic origin because someone's perception that you "talk fast".
- During staff or unit meetings, oftentimes men speak first and for long periods of time. When women speak, they are often cut short, interrupted and dismissed.
- The use of the word "girl" versus "woman" is condescending as the majority, if not all, the women that work or attend IUPUI are adults (not girls).
- Students feel tokenized because of their race in a classroom (as the person of color they have been asked to answer a question for their entire group).


## II. Institutional Commitment to Gender Equity

1. Policy Statements - IUPUI's institutional commitment to gender equity is reflected in a number of visible policy statements that include, but is not limited to the following:

Equal Employment Opportunity Reaffirmation - the work of the IUPUI Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO) is guided by federal and state statutes for equal employment opportunity, non-discrimination, and affirmative action. As part of our reaffirmation, we expect deans, directors, and others who have administrative responsibility and authority to carry out the policies of the trustees and to pursue our shared diversity goals effectively. In addition, individual employees are to display an attitude of collaboration and cooperation by performing their duties in a manner that clearly reflects the principle of equal opportunity in every aspect of university life.

Our policy at IUPUI prohibits discrimination against all our campus constituents for reasons of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, age, disability, and covered veterans. Employees are encouraged to report as indicated in Table XXI.

Table XXI - OEO Complaints by Year

Office of Equal Opportunity
Complaints by Year

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty | 11 | 13 | 13 | 27 | 14 |
| Staff | 37 | 39 | 47 | 63 | 69 |
| Students | 22 | 19 | 31 | 24 | 19 |
| Other | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Visitor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| Total | 70 | 73 | 95 | 126 | 106* |
| MALE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty | 3 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 18 |
| Staff | 16 | 17 | 29 | 22 | 21 |
| Students | 14 | 14 | 14 | 18 | 8 |
| Other | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Visitor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 33 | 43 | 54 | 54 | 50* |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 103 | 116 | 149 | 180 | 156 |

Source: Office of Equal Opportunity Annual Reports

While females raised concerns at a much higher report rate than males, we work diligently to uphold the spirit of the letter of this policy. We will continue to promote and provide equal opportunity in education and training programs, employment, admissions, and all other activities for faculty, staff, and students. All personnel actions, such as compensation and fringe benefits, transfer, promotion, training for employees, as well as all university-sponsored social and recreational programs, will be administered in accordance with this policy.

Sexual Harassment - it is unlawful to harass a person (an applicant or employee) because of that person's sex. Harassment can include "sexual harassment" or unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical harassment of a sexual nature. Harassment does not have to be of a sexual nature, however, and can include offensive remarks about a person's sex. For example, it is illegal to harass a woman by making offensive comments about women in general.

Both victim and the harasser can be either a woman or a man, and the victim and harasser can be the same sex. Although the law doesn't prohibit simple teasing, offhand comments, or isolated incidents that are not very serious, harassment is illegal when it is so frequent or severe that it creates a hostile or offensive work environment or when it results in an adverse employment decision (such as the victim being fired or demoted). The harasser can be the victim's supervisor, a supervisor in another area, a co-worker, or someone who is not an employee of the employer, such as a client or customer.

IUPUI's Equal Opportunity Council along with the Women's Advisory Council and the Faculty and Staff Councils endorsed the practice that all IUPUI employees (full and part-time faculty and staff) be required to successfully complete PSH training. Therefore, beginning January 1, 2011 all current employees were required to successfully complete an online PSH training module by June 30, 2011 unless they could document that they had successfully completed it or attended a comparable classroom training program within the past three years. Employees that had completed PSH training more than three years ago were offered the "Refresher" course as an alternative to the "First-Time User" course. In addition, all employees new to the IUPUI campus are required to complete the "First-Time User" course within 90 days of assuming employment. During 2011 and 2012 approximately 11,151 faculty, staff and student employees on the IUPUI and IUPUC campuses completed the training requirement. While employees continue to raise issues of sexual harassment, the spike during 2011 can be attributed to a broader awareness of what constitutes sexual harassment as reflected in Table XXII below. While a complaint may have been raised as sexual harassment, initial inquiry indicated otherwise.

## Table XXII - Five-Year Snap Shot Sexual Harassment Complaints

Five-Year Snap Shot

Sexual Harassment
Complaints

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consult | 11 | 13 | 16 | 24 | 15 |
| Investigate | 9 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |

Source: Office of Equal Opportunity Annual Reports
Moreover, twelve sexual offenses related to the IUPUI campus were reported between 2010 and 2012. Of the twelve, five were on-campus, two on-campus residence, and five were offcampus. Sex-offenses - forcible are considered any sexual act directed against another person, forcibly and/or against that person's will; or not forcibly or against the person's will where the victim is incapable of giving consent. Such situations include forcible rape, forcible sodomy, sexual assault with an object, and forcible fondling.

Sex-Based Discrimination involves treating someone (an applicant or employee) unfavorably because of that person's sex. Sex discrimination also can involve treating someone less favorably because of his or her connection with an organization or group that is generally associated with people of a certain sex. Discrimination against an individual because that person is transgender is discrimination because of sex in violation of Title VII. This is also known as gender identity discrimination. In addition, lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals may bring sex discrimination claims. These may include, for example, allegations of sexual harassment or other kinds of sex discrimination, such as adverse actions taken because of the person's non-conformance with sex-stereotypes. The_law forbids discrimination when it comes to any aspect of employment, including hiring, firing, pay, job assignments, promotions, layoff, training, fringe benefits, and any other term or condition of employment.

Pregnancy Discrimination involves treating a woman (an applicant or employee) unfavorably because of pregnancy, childbirth, or a medical condition related to pregnancy or childbirth. The Pregnancy Discrimination Act (PDA) forbids discrimination based on pregnancy when it comes to any aspect of employment, including hiring, firing, pay, job assignments, promotions, layoff, training, fringe benefits, such as leave and health insurance, and any other term or condition of employment. Under the PDA, an employer that allows temporarily disabled employees to take disability leave or leave without pay must allow an employee who is temporarily disabled due to pregnancy to do the same.

An employer may not single out pregnancy-related conditions for special procedures to determine an employee's ability to work. However, if an employer requires its employees to submit a doctor's statement concerning their ability to work before granting leave or paying
sick benefits, the employer may require employees affected by pregnancy-related conditions to submit such statements.

Equal Pay/Compensation Discrimination - the Equal Pay Act requires that men and women in the same workplace be given equal pay for equal work. The jobs need not be identical, but they must be substantially equal. Job content (not job titles) determines whether jobs are substantially equal. All forms of pay are covered by this law, including salary, overtime pay, bonuses, stock options, profit sharing and bonus plans, life insurance, vacation and holiday pay, cleaning or gasoline allowances, hotel accommodations, reimbursement for travel expenses, and benefits. If there is an inequality in wages between men and women, employers may not reduce the wages of either sex to equalize their pay.

An individual alleging a violation of the EPA may go directly to court and is not required to file an EEOC charge beforehand. The time limit for filing an EPA charge with the EEOC and the time limit for going to court are the same: within two years of the alleged unlawful compensation practice or, in the case of a willful violation, within three years. The filing of an EEOC charge under the EPA does not extend the time frame for going to court.

## 2. Organizational Accountability Systems

## A. Office of Equal Opportunity

The Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO) implemented an on-line Sexual Harassment training module for the campus community in 2004. The online module is designed to educate users about sexual harassment, applicable university policies and procedures, and the law. The module incorporates scenarios relevant to faculty, staff, administrators, students, as well as the medical environment and concludes with an exam and certificate upon successful completion. During the past five years (2008-2013) approximately 12,652 constituents (faculty, staff, students, and guests) participated in the on-line module. Unfortunately, a breakdown by gender is not currently tracked and is unknown.

The on-line Sexual Harassment Training module can be accessed at http://training.newmedialearning.com/psh/iupui/index.htm.

## B. Office for Women Key Indicators for Advancement of Women

The Key Indicators for the Advancement of Women are a series of data points that track the progress of women faculty into administrative or academic leadership at IUPUI. Data is also included on the enrollment and graduation of women students. The Indicators were first developed and used on campus in 1994 by the Task Force on the Status of Women. The Office for Women has requested updates from IMIR in 1999 and subsequently in 2005, 2007, 2010 and 2012. The latest report can be found in Appendix F - IUPUI Key Indicators for the Advancement of Women 1994-2012.

## C. Diversity Report

The Chancellor's Diversity Cabinet was formed in 2000 and the Director of the Office for Women has been a member since the beginning. The Cabinet releases an annual report on the status of diversity on campus looking at key indicators regarding students, faculty and staff. These indicators are also ranked based on progress towards goals. The annual report includes data on the percentage of women faculty and staff. The report is released every year at the annual Martin Luther King dinner. See link below for the 2013 Diversity Report. http://diversity.iupui.edu/docs/diversity report 2013.pdf

## D. Salary Equity Studies

Results from faculty gender equity study as well as the staff salary market study are reported in Section I.4.A and I.4.B Salary Equity. However, it is recommended that the IUPUI campus institutionalize a faculty and staff equity review every five years to ensure parity regardless of gender.

## E. Equity in Athletics

Females were 8,472 (60\%) and males were 5,756 (40\%) of the total 14,228 undergraduates in 2007. In 2012 females were 9,076 (57\%) and males were 6,899 ( $43 \%$ ) of the total 15,975 undergraduates. While the overall female enrollment decreased by $3 \%$ from 2007 to 2012, the overall allocation of resources for females in NCAA Division I-AAA sports increased by $1.2 \%$. However, the overall expenses in NCAA Division I-AAA sports show a drastic reduction from 2007 to 2012 by $66 \%$ - from $\$ 3,796,927$ to $\$ 1,277,721$. With the exception of basketball and soccer, as reflected in Table XXIII, IUPUI Campus Equity in Athletics - NCAA Division I-AAA Expenses, the overall allocation of resources for females have increased from 2007 to 2012 in all other sports. Thus, there appears to be overall parity for females in athletics.

Although there is an overall decrease in participation of females in sports by $2.6 \%$ as reflected in Table XXIV - IUPUI Campus Equity in Athletics - NCAA Division I-AAA Participation by Gender, there is an increase in the actual number of females participating in sports. When you look at participation in terms of numbers, there is an actual overall increase by 13 females from 2007 to 2012. There were 132 females that participated in sports in 2007 and 145 females that participated in sports in 2012. Of the eight NCAA Division I-AAA sports, female participation increased in three, remained constant in one, and decreased in four. However, overall females are participating in NCAA Division I-AAA sports at a higher rate than males particularly because males do not currently participate in volleyball and softball.

Table XXIII - IUPUI Campus Equity in Athletics - NCAA Division I-AAA (Expenses)

## IUPUI Campus Equity in Athletics NCAA Division I-AAA (Expenses)

| Sport | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
|  | Women | Men | Total | Women | Men | Total |
| Basketball | 918,252 | 1,019,447 | 1,937,699 | 234,610 | 306,820 | 541,430 |
| Soccer | 241,969 | 283,875 | 525,844 | 74,047 | 109,044 | 183,091 |
| Volleyball | 273,899 | 0 | 273,899 | 119,865 | 0 | 119,865 |
| Softball | 236,493 | 0 | 236,493 | 107,227 | 0 | 107,227 |
| Tennis | 69,722 | 117,312 | 187,034 | 36,715 | 48,659 | 85,374 |
| Golf | 80,178 | 100,874 | 181,052 | 47,426 | 33,771 | 81,197 |
| Track and Field Cross Country | 61,552 | 81,958 | 143,510 | 36,218 | 44,116 | 80,334 |
| Swimming and Diving | 148,922 | 162,474 | 311,396 | 42,431 | 36,772 | 79,203 |
| Totals | \$2,030,987 | \$1,765,940 | \$3,796,927 | \$698,539 | \$579,182 | 1,277,721 |

Source: IUPUI Athletics Department

| Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sport | 2007 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Basketball | $47.4 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ |
| Soccer | $46.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ |
| Volleyball | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Softball | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Tennis | $37.3 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ |
| Golf | $44.3 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ |
| Track and Field Cross Country | $42.9 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $45.1 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ |
| Swimming and Diving | $47.8 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  | $53.5 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ |

[^2]Table XXIV - IUPUI Campus Equity in Athletics - NCAA Division I-AAA (Participation by Gender)

## IUPUI Campus Equity in Athletics <br> NCAA Division I-AAA <br> (Participation by Gender)

|  | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sport | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |  |
|  | Women | Men | Total | Women | Men | Total |
| Basketball | 12 | 15 | 27 | 16 | 16 | 32 |
| Soccer | 27 | 31 | 58 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Volleyball | 15 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| Softball | 20 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| Tennis | 7 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 12 | 19 |
| Golf | 17 | 16 | 33 | 10 | 12 | 22 |
| Track and Field Cross Country | 11 | 11 | 22 | 35 | 41 | 76 |
| Swimming and Diving | 23 | 22 | 45 | 19 | 22 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Totals | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: IUPUI Athletics Department

|  | Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sport | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Basketball | $44.4 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Soccer | $46.6 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Volleyball | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Softball | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Tennis | $43.8 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ |
| Golf | $51.5 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ |
| Track and Field Cross Country | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ |
| Swimming and Diving | $51.1 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $55.9 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |

Source: IUPUI Athletics Department

## 3. Recruitment Strategies

A. Diverse Workforce Recruitment and Retention - Office of Equal Opportunity

One of the IUPUI campus diversity goals is to recruit, retain, advance, recognize, and promote a diverse faculty, staff, and administration by 1) increasing the diversity within the senior leadership; 2) increasing the diversity within the faculty and staff to become more reflective of the desired student population; and 3) enhancing faculty and staff programs and activities that increase the sense of diversity. The Assistant Director, Diverse Workforce Recruitment \& Retention position was created to help support excellence in teaching and learning through the recruitment, development and retention of a diverse workforce. This position is responsible for assisting units with the development of career exploration programs designed to recruit diverse faculty and staff, specifically women and those from historically underrepresented groups such as African-American, Latino, Asian, Native American, as well as members from the LGBT community within a discipline or within the university.

During the 2012-2013 academic year, the Assistant Director or a representative chaired or served on eleven professional staff and/or faculty searches which included:
$\quad \frac{\text { Unit }}{}$
School of Social Work
School of Law
School of Science
Diversity Equity \& Inclusion
Academic Affairs
Academic Affairs
Diversity Equity \& Inclusion
School of Medicine
Equal Opportunity
Student Affairs
School of Science

Position<br>Director of Development<br>Assistant Recorder<br>Director of Development<br>Vice Chancellor<br>Executive Vice Chancellor's Chief of Staff<br>Senior Vice Chancellor<br>Director of the Multicultural Success Center<br>Executive Search Specialist<br>Senior Investigator<br>IUPUI Student Advocate<br>Math Department Chair

Of the eleven searches, nine were successfully filled by females and one is not yet concluded. During September 2013, twenty university Chairs participated in an innovative recruitment training presentation hosted by Faculty and Academic Affairs. In addition, twenty-three faculty members from the School of Science and ten from the School of Philanthropy participated in group trainings regarding IUPUI's Search and Screen Protocols.

## B. Diversity Recruitment - Faculty

Support for Talent Attraction, Retention, and Transition (START) became effective for new hires after July 1, 2013. As depicted in Table XXV - START is designed to encourage and assist schools and departments at IUPUI in the hiring of outstanding underrepresented tenured/tenure-track faculty. The aim is to build a more diverse faculty, enrich the intellectual environment, and provide role models for our students. START is for
recruitment purposes only and is NOT intended for faculty members who are currently employed at IUPUI.

START is available whenever the current percentage of any under-represented female or minority in a department is less than $50 \%$ of the expected percentage based on the availability of women and/or minorities in the nationally available pool of candidates in a particular discipline. If eligibility is determined, first-year salary support comes in two parts:

1) $\$ 10,000$ is placed in a research fund for each tenure-track START hire, to be managed by the dean of the recruiting school. This fund is intended to support the START recruit's promotion and tenure success by providing funds for research preparation, conference travel for presentations or research development, statistical support, consultation for grant applications, etc.
2) Direct salary is placed in a research fund for each tenure-track START hire, to be managed by the dean of the recruiting school. This fund is intended to support the START recruit's promotion and tenure success by providing funds for research preparation, conference travel for presentations or research development, statistical support, consultation for grant applications, etc.

In year two of the hire, additional support of 20\% of the base salary, not to exceed \$20,000 will be transferred to the school's budget assuming that the underrepresented faculty member remains on tenure-track at IUPUI. If a START-supported faculty member leaves IUPUI during the support period, funds will be returned to the central START pool.

Table XXV - START

## START

| Component | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| Support | Defined campus-level funding for two-year partial salary support or to <br> assist in start-up funding |
| Talent | Goals for increasing under-represented faculty talent in all schools |
| Attraction | Activities that help to source, select, and secure faculty, including <br> recruitment, onboarding, start-up funds, professional development, <br> etc. |
| Retention | Interventions aimed at motivating and keeping faculty at IUPUI, <br> including mentoring, networking, rewards, recognition, etc. |
| Transition | Systems and processes to aid faculty in preparing for and succeeding in <br> tenure/promotion and advancement/leadership opportunities |

Source: Office of Academic Affairs
In an effort to make the support for START hires to be more equitable across schools, each hiring school (not to include, Graduate School, Honors College, or University College) will be
eligible to receive one START Base hire over a three year period. These START Base hires must meet all eligibility requirements of the program. Base hires must be requested before for the third year. If more than 8 schools request START Base hires each year, they may receive approval but the funding for that hire may be delayed until the next year.

In addition, at least four slots (assuming around 12 hires per year) will be reserved annually for Targeted hires. These Targeted hires have to receive special approval by the EVC/CAO. These hires will meet both the standards for an outstanding hire and meet special overall campus target needs.

Support for the Recruitment of Under-represented Faculty (SRUF) was designed in 2008 to encourage and assist schools and departments at IUPUI in the hiring of new underrepresented tenure/tenure-track faculty. The aim was to build a more diverse faculty, enrich the intellectual environment, and provide role models for our students. It provided financial support to units to hire tenure track faculty with funding that continued until such time as the faculty member left the tenure-track. The former Support for the Recruitment of Under-represented Faculty (SRUF) program was started in fall, 2006 as part of the IUPUI Academic Plan. It ran very successfully for three years and resulted in 47 faculty hires as depicted in Table XXVI - SRUF Outcomes. Unfortunately, the structural design quickly depleted the availability of funds, thus putting the program into a hold pattern for long periods of time.

Table XXVI - SRUF Outcome Data

## SRUF Outcome Data By Gender

| Year | Male | Female | Total | Lost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 2 |
| 2008 | 13 | 13 | 26 | 7 |
| 2009 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 2 |
| 2012 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 46 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

SRUF Outcome Data
By Race

| Year | Hispanic | AA/Black | Asian | Nat Am. | White | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 2008 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 26 |
| 2009 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 2012 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 8 | 17 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 46 |

Source: Office of Academic Affairs

Fortunately, the SRUF program was discontinued and moved to START which created a financial model that could be self-perpetuating by only providing support for two years for each faculty member. For budget reasons, the program was put on hold for a two year period. The SRUF program resumed on October 1, 2011 and was available until September 30, 2012. Funding for the new START program began effective July 1, 2013 and will run continuously.

During the period SRUF was in effective, 16 schools were successful in recruiting 58 new tenure-track faculty. Of the 58 SRUF hires, $47 \%$ or 27 were females. In addition, the School of Medicine was the biggest benefactor of SRUF resources with $28 \%$ or 16 of the 58 hires. Of the 16 SRUF hires in the School of Medicine, $38 \%$ or 6 were female. Likewise, the School of Medicine experienced $45 \%$ or 5 of the 11 losses in which $40 \%$ or 2 were female.

## C. Other Unit Initiatives

i. IU School of Medicine

The Indiana University School of Medicine recognizes the need to recruit, retain, and advance the best faculty to achieve its strategic goals and to accomplish our tripartite mission. The IUSM Women's Advisory Council, established in 2006, provides a critical advisory role for the School's efforts to create a culture where we can recruit and retain the best talent, and where all members of the IUSM community can thrive. In addition the Office of Faculty Affairs and Development created an Advancement of Women initiative acting in concert with the Advisory Council. A comprehensive list of their activities is included in Appendix G.

The School of Medicine through its Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology also supports the National Center of Excellence (CoE) in Women's Health. In 1997, the Indiana University School of Medicine was awarded support from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to become a National Center of Excellence in Women's Health. The Centers were located in academic medical centers where they brought together the work of their schools and departments addressing women's health.

The initial goal of the center was to assess women's health needs, current efforts in the state to address those needs and to develop a coordinated national resource center consisting of programs to provide comprehensive women's health care. In addition, the Center focused on developing educational programs for both the public and for health care professionals, and researching women's health issues.

Although federal funding for the program has ended, IU and the other CoE's retain their designation of National Centers of Excellence in Women's health and depend upon other sources of support to continue their work. At IUSM, the Dean's office and Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology are supporting the CoE's ongoing mission through this transition.

## ii. Purdue School of Science-IUPUI

The School of Science has developed several programs and has on-going initiatives to recruit and support female students, faculty, and staff. Based on 2014 spring enrollment female
undergraduate students were 1053 or $54.93 \%$ of the 1917 enrolled which excludes certificate students, but includes double majors which are only counted once. Graduate female students were 205 or $43.71 \%$ of the 469 enrolled.

While some of the activities are directly related to Science, others are in collaboration with Engineering and Technology. Specifically, female activities such as Women in Science (WIS), Women in Science House (WISH), and Graduate Women in Science (GWIS) as reflected in Appendix H - IUPUI Women in Science Association are integrated with broader efforts in Science student recruitment, retention, and academic and career development success. Moreover, over 100 females joined the UWIS group as it was formed last year and WISH has approximately 30 female residents.

The IUPUI School of Science Diversity Council exists to serve its constituents in Science by acting as stewards of diversity and working toward awareness, education, advocacy for and inclusion of all people. The Diversity Council promotes respect and equitable treatment of all, embracing a diversity of gender, cultural, religious, national origin, marital status, sexual orientation, ability, age, economic status, and experiential differences. The Diversity Council provides leadership to improve and maintain as inclusive, informed, and diverse an environment in the School as is possible in all aspects of our work and mission. This leadership extends to interactions with campus and community initiatives.

Other programs and initiatives include:

- STEM floor/STEM Bridge - while not specific to women, but a genuine interdisciplinary residence-based learning community (RBLC) for the 72 on the STEM floor.
- Outreach -_a collaborative initiative that includes Science, Engineering and Technology, and WISH, and the E\&T Women in Engineering will sponsor a Girl Scouts "Engineering Week" badge workshop in February.
- Computer and Information Science in the IUPUI School of Science provides a scholarship for the winner(s) of the Indiana chapter of National Center for Women \& IT (NCWIT) Aspirations in Computing Award


## iii. Purdue School of Engineering and Technology - Indianapolis

Nationally, women are $17.9 \%$ of the undergraduates enrolled in engineering. The Purdue School of Engineering and Technology has several programs aimed at recruiting women students to the field of engineering and technology. For 9 years, they have offered the summer residential POWER (Preparing Outstanding Women for Engineering Roles) camp for high school girls to introduce them to the field. Last year they initiated the Win IT (Women in IT) residential summer camp for high school girls interested in information technology.

To help retain women students in engineering, they sponsor a student chapter of the Society of Women Engineers which sponsors and coordinates activities to connect female students to the school and the campus. Details about these programs and others can be found in Appendix IPurdue University - School of Engineering and Technology.
iv. IU School of Liberal Arts (Women's Studies)

Women's Studies brings together faculty who explore issues of women, gender and sexuality in their teaching, research and service. Interdisciplinary in nature, WOST explores a wide range of issues as seen through these lenses and should increase students' understanding of how these issues effect culture. The critical thinking involved in these analyses will help students make a more meaningful contribution wherever their career paths and future engagements may lead. A degree in Women's Studies will enhance a student's effectiveness in virtually any career.

For careers in law or social service: Women's Studies gives insight into social realities that oppress women, such as rape, abuse, sexual harassment, and job discrimination. For careers in biology, medicine, counseling, nursing or other allied health professions: Women's Studies offer an understanding of women's health-both physical and mental. For careers in education or journalism: Women's Studies assists students in developing the critical thinking and communication skills essential to teachers and journalists For careers in business: Women's Studies can teach students to understand how gender might influence management styles, marketing techniques and investing habits. Details about these programs and others can be found in Appendix J - IUPUI School of Liberal Arts.

## v. Lilly Family School of Philanthropy, Women's Philanthropy Institute

The WPI aims to increase the understanding of women and philanthropy through a program of research and education. It issues annual research reports on the intersection of gender and philanthropy and offers programs including regional seminars and a national symposium on women in philanthropy.

## III. Past Efforts of the Office for Women

## 1. Historical Overview

The Office for Women (OFW) was established in October 1996 and was initially funded for three years through submission of a Strategic Directions Charter Initiative Proposal to then IU President Myles Brand. In 1999, the OFW was moved to the portfolio of the Office for Professional Development (OPD) and moved out of the AO building to University Library. When the Strategic Initiatives funding concluded in 2000, the campus became solely responsible for funding the OFW, thereby substantially reducing the FTE support and programming funds. The Director remained a part-time position and some administrative support was provided by the OPD.

With the resignation of the Director in July 2001, the position remained vacant for several years. However, activities of the OFW continued under the auspices of the Office for Professional Development's administrative professionals.

During the years of 1996 to 2001 the office and commission accomplished much. The activities of the office and the Commission on Women have continually addressed the concerns of women on campus. Some major accomplishments and initiatives include 1) the new Center for Young Children (IUPUI childcare center) opened; 2) a professional staff compensation market equity review was completed; 3) a faculty salary equity study was conducted; 4) the original key indicators on the advancement of women were updated; 5) a Work/Life Consultant position was created in Human Resources Administration; 6) a Sexual Harassment Leadership Orientation program was presented to deans, chairs and directors of the school/units; 7) the campus mandated Preventing Sexual Harassment Training for all faculty and staff; and 8) programming on career and professional development continued.

In 2004, a new search was initiated for a part-time director and the current director was hired. The office was contained as one of three advocacy units within the Office for Professional Development. Administrative support and programming funds for the office were resourced from the OPD. In 2007, the position of director was made a permanent full-time position. With the dissolution of the OPD in 2008, administrative support for the OFW and programming funds moved to the Office for Academic Affairs.

In 2004 the new Director in collaboration with the Women's Advisory Council created a renewed vision and mission, along with several strategic goals for the OFW.

Vision - we envision an energized community of faculty, staff and students pursuing opportunities for full participation, shared influence, and equity in all aspects of the work and learning environments at IUPUI in order to meet the IUPUI mission to advance the State of Indiana and the intellectual growth of its citizens to the highest levels nationally and internationally.

Mission - the IUPUI Office for Women supports the IUPUI mission to provide excellence in teaching and learning; research, scholarship and creative activity; and civic engagement by promoting and supporting an inclusive working and learning environment that benefits women and men so that all may attain their full potential and become effective citizens of their community and the world.

Goals were specifically designed to address the qualitatively different needs and interests of IUPUI women faculty, staff and students:

1. Empower women to attain their full potential
2. Build open and welcoming work and learning environments
3. Improve the representation and retention of women faculty and staff
4. Provide resources and education about gender issues and gender equity
5. Develop and support efforts to create equal opportunities and justice for women both locally and globally
6. Promote a safe and supportive climate free from harassment, discrimination and privilege based on gender

## 2. Programming

Since 2004 the OFW has offered leadership and professional development, mentoring programs, and recognition and celebrations that have supported its mission and progress towards achieving its goals. Since 2004 the OFW has offered over 40 distinct programs or series attended by more than 3000 faculty, staff and/or students. Some of the noteworthy programming initiatives include, but are not limited to the following:

## Hazelett Women in Leadership Forum

The OFW is one of the founding sponsors of the Hazelett Women in Leadership Forum inaugurated in April 2006. With a generous gift from Ambassador Randall L. Tobias, the IU Tobias Center for Leadership Excellence, IUPUI Office of the Chancellor, and the IUPUI OFW's combined efforts create the Hazelett Women in Leadership Forum. The Forum is dedicated to the memory of Suzanne "Susie" Northam Hazelett, the former Executive Director of the Randall L. Tobias Foundation who was instrumental in helping to establish the IU Tobias Center for Leadership Excellence. The Hazelett Women in Leadership Forum provides a public arena for knowledgeable and creative speakers to celebrate the achievements of women leaders from various walks of life.

Eight memorable forums have been held since 2006 featuring outstanding women leaders. These have included: Dr. Ora Pescovitz, the University of Michigan 's first female Executive Vice President for Medical Affairs and Health System CEO; Marianne Glick, accomplished artist, the president and owner of GlickArt and Chairman of the Board of the Eugene and Marilyn Glick Family Foundation; Olympian and Indiana Fever forward, Tamika Catchings; Angela Brown ,internationally acclaimed opera singer; Patricia Miller ,the co-founder of Vera Bradley Designs; France A. Córdova, the 11th President of Purdue University and internationally recognized
astrophysicist; Joanne B. Ciulla, Professor and Coston Family Chair in Leadership and Ethics at the Jepson School of Leadership Studies, University of Richmond; and Cheryl Bachelder, the former president and chief concept officer of KFC Corporation

## HERS/Bryn Mawr Summer Institute for Women in Higher Education Administration

Bryn Mawr is a residential training opportunity which prepares participants to work with issues currently facing higher education. It is held on the campus of Bryn Mawr College in Pennsylvania. The purpose of this initiative was to increase the participation of women in leadership positions on campus through this professional development opportunity. The institute seeks to improve the status of women in the middle and executive levels of higher education administration. The OFW coordinates the campus application process for candidates to this national training and seeks funding to support their attendance. IUPUI has sponsored 46 women faculty and staff members to this prestigious institute since 1987. More than half of those women continue to work at IUPUI in leadership positions. Since assuming the directorship in 2004, Director Grove has assisted 14 IUPUI women in securing funding to attend the institute, half of whom are from underrepresented minority populations. A detailed listing of program alumni can be found in Appendix K - IUPUI HERS/Bryn Mawr Summer Institute for Women in Higher Education Administration - Program Alums on Campus (1987 - 2013).

## Advancing Women in Leadership Course

In the fall of 2012, the director of the OFW created and taught a new one-credit course for the Women's Studies Program in the School of Liberal Arts. The course was repeated in the fall of 2013. The purpose of this course was to help students understand the current status of women in the workforce and in leadership roles; learn about the current research on how women advance in their careers and in leadership; learn about the intersection of race, class, religion, national origin and gender and women's leadership; and cultivate skill sets in communication, negotiation, networking and self-promotion to help them advance in their careers.

Office for Women Professional Development Book Club
This program organizes a discussion of current books that explore the themes of women's leadership, work-life balance, career skills and self-care. Each group has a facilitator and discussion questions. Six books were discussed in the 2011-12 academic year and four books will be discussed during 2013-14.

## Partnering for Promotion

From 2005-2010 the OFW sponsored this series of noon hour workshops about the policies and processes required for a faculty member to put forward an effective application for tenure or promotion. These series are especially marketed to women and underrepresented minorities to encourage them to go forward for promotion. Topics were structured to mentor the faculty member from the beginning of the promotion and tenure process to the end and to guide them in the development of candidate materials.

Approximately six workshops were offered each academic year with a total of 838 faculty members attending during the six-year period. This program initiative was transferred to the Office for Faculty Affairs at the start of the 2010-11 academic years.

## Advancing Women in Leadership Conference

This one day conference was held on the IUPUI campus in February 2008 to address the skill development needs of women faculty and staff hoping to advance in their careers. Eighty-one (81) women attended various concurrent sessions on communication skills, negotiation, mentoring, administrative portfolios, women of color, and career mapping.

Enhanced Mentoring Program with Opportunities for Ways to Excel in Research (EMPOWER) EMPOWER was developed by the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and the OFW to support historically underrepresented faculty and/or excluded populations in their discipline or area of scholarship and have been historically denied admission to higher education or that discipline. The purpose of EMPOWER is to help faculty become successful in sponsored research and scholarly activity, and achieve significant professional growth and advancement. The program sustains mentorship opportunities through the EMPOWER Grant Program matching an experienced senior research faculty member with a junior faculty member for one year to assist them in focusing their research agenda. Mentees then are expected to make an external grant submission.

When the program began in 2011-12, we had 15 mentor/mentee teams; in 2012-13 the second cohort consisted of 12 teams; and we also have a cohort of 12 teams for 2013-14. Five workshops and trainings were held for the teams throughout the calendar year. Data from the first two years of the program indicate that $60 \%$ of the mentees have been successful at securing external grants and funding. One team from the Department of Psychology was successful in winning a $\$ 1.5$ million grant from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute based on work done in the program.

## Advancing Women Mentoring Program (AWMP)

AWMP is a new student mentoring program developed by the OFW and the Office for Student Involvement. The AWMP is designed to empower individuals toward academic, personal, and professional success by engaging participants in authentic mentoring partnerships. Specifically, the program highlights topics pertaining to the advancement of women both in the university and the workplace. AWMP was open to all students, faculty, and staff with an interest in this subject.

Students were provided the opportunity to be mentored throughout the year by IUPUI faculty and staff. Mentors from the faculty and staff were recruited and their biographies put on the LEAD IUPUI website. Students applying for the program could indicate their particular needs for mentoring and read about the mentors online and indicate their top three preferences on their application. Mentor/mentee teams were matched by staff and then introduced at an opening event.

In 2011-12 we had 30 mentor/ mentee teams, 25 teams were matched in 2012-13, and 25 teams were also matched for the 2013-14 cohort. Five special trainings and events are held throughout the year and participants are also encouraged to attend programming sponsored by the Office for Women. Four newsletters are published bi-monthly throughout the academic year which can be accessed at the OFW website at:
http://ofw.iupui.edu/Mentoring/Advancing-Women-Mentoring-Program

## Partner with the Mentoring Women's Network

Mentoring Women's Network is a national organization for professional women based in Indianapolis. Their mission is a community of empowered women supporting one another personally and professionally through mentoring relationships. The OFW collaborates with the organization and the CEO was the guest speaker at the AWMP 2013-14 kickoff event. In addition, AWMP will be sponsoring five student memberships to the organization in a pilot project.

## Annual Women's Leadership Awards

During Women's History Month, the IUPUI OFW recognizes and celebrates women faculty and staff who have been outstanding leaders in their department or schools or who have demonstrated significant leadership at the campus, community, national, and/or international level. Any member of the campus community may nominate a woman leader for these awards.

The awards are presented at an annual reception during Women's History Month in March. The event features a keynote address on the National Women's History Month theme and the presentation of awards to top women faculty, staff and student leaders on campus. The Office for Student Involvement co-sponsors the event and makes awards to top student women leaders. In 2002 recognition awards were added for full-time faculty and staff; in 2005 an award was added for part-time women faculty or staff; and in 2009 an "Inspirational Woman" award was created.
Since 2002 awards have been presented to 24 full-time faculty, 21 full-time staff and 5 parttime faculty or staff members. Additionally, the "Inspirational Woman" award has been presented to 4 staff members and 2 faculty members.

## Women Creating Excellence at IUPUI

This initiative was funded by the Office of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion. The OFW and University Library developed a permanent online archive to highlight and celebrate the significant contributions to build and sustain the IUPUI campus by women faculty, staff, students, alumnae or community members. It features the biographies of over 100 women who have made significant contributions to the growth and development of IUPUI since 1969 and features photos from the Ruth Lilly Special Collections and Archives. In January 2012 the online exhibit was adapted to a multi-media exhibit and has been viewed by more than 300 people in the Cultural Arts Gallery in the Campus Center http://www.ulib.iupui.edu/womencreatingexcellence

## Key Indicators for the Advancement of Women

The Key Indicators for the Advancement of Women are a series of data points that track the progress of women faculty into administrative and academic leadership at IUPUI. Data is also included on the enrollment and graduation of women students. The Indicators were first developed and used on campus in 1994 by the Task Force on the Status of Women. The OFW has requested updates from IMIR in 1999 and subsequently in 2005, 2007, 2010 and 2012. The data are reviewed by the Advisory Council and incorporated into the Chancellor's Annual Diversity Report.

## Multicultural Pedagogy Research Group (MPREG)

The OFW continues to sponsor and support this research group formerly known as the Community of Practice on Multicultural Teaching. MPREG is an interdisciplinary group of faculty and staff that have worked together since 2006 conducting research on multicultural teaching, practice, and outcomes. Current members of MPREG are women and represent a variety of ethnic and cultural groups including African American and Hispanic. Five members are tenured associate professors, one is in the process of seeking tenure, and two are administrative staff and adjunct faculty. The various disciplines include psychology, public health, anthropology, social work, literature, women's studies, law and international education.

The group's first research survey examined the perceptions of IUPUI faculty toward multicultural teaching and practice. Findings from the study were published in the Journal on Excellence in College Teaching. The group published their second article in June 2012 in the Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning http://josotl.indiana.edu/issue/view/180.

In the summer of 2012 MPREG conducted an assessment of the perceptions of the local Latino community towards higher education and its accessibility, particularly IUPUI. The results of the survey were shared with the Office of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion and the Office of Enrollment Services. The group is currently analyzing data from a survey of student perceptions of multicultural teaching on the IUPUI campus. For their impactful work in the area of diversity, the MPREG was the recipient of the 2010 Dr. Joseph T. Taylor Excellence in Diversity Award.

## Financial Fitness Series

This series provides financial know-how for employees, particularly women, regarding the transition into retirement. The speaker (Joyce Foster) has over 27 years of experience as a financial planner and owns a practice with Ameriprise Platinum Financial Services ${ }^{\circledR}$ Practice. Ms. Foster is a frequent speaker on financial strategies for women and retirement planning. The OFW hosts 3-4 workshops annually, two in the fall semester and two in the spring semester, on the topics of "Transforming Social Security into a Winning Retirement Strategy" and "Protecting Your Retirement with Guaranteed Lifetime Income". Approximately 50 people attend this series annually.

## Start \$mart Workshop

The \$tart \$mart workshop was developed by The Wage Project and the Association of American University Women to provide women who are college juniors and seniors, as well as graduate students, with knowledge and skills when approaching the job market to negotiate salaries and benefits so that they receive fair and realistic compensation. The first workshop on campus in 2010 featured the national director, Annie Houle. The director of the OFW is a trained \$tart \$mart facilitator and has presented subsequent workshops. Since 2010, six workshops have been presented to 72 students.

## Sexual Assault Prevention, Intervention and Response (SAPIR) Task Force

Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS), the Dean of Students, the Office for Women, Student Health, the Office of Equal Opportunity, and the IU Police have partnered to create a campus-wide coalition to initiate and coordinate efforts to address sexual assault and intimate partner violence on campus. The task force was formed and co-chaired by the directors of CAPSs and OFW. The task force has met monthly throughout the 2012-13 academic year and provides a forum for planning and coordinating primary prevention education for students, faculty and staff; policies and procedures for student conduct; articulation of victims' rights; coordination of message and dissemination of information; and training for faculty and staff. The task force has broad representation from stakeholders across the campus and broader community with participation from more than 30 units.

In 2013, CAPS and OFW obtained a grant from the Indiana Campus Sexual Assault Primary Prevention Project (INCSAPP). Activities under the grant include the establishment of a campus-wide coalition, a review of campus policy regarding sexual assault offenses, an effort to expand male involvement in primary prevention, a review of relevant data, development of bystander intervention training, and development of a social marketing campaign for students. INCSAPP also made a $\$ 2500$ grant to SAPIR for items for the social marketing campaign including banners, cups, lanyards, bags with the SAPIR logo and the campaign theme "My sober yes is my consent...sex without continuous consent is sexual assault." In the spring of 2013, SAPIR conducted focus groups with students to determine the most appropriate message for the IUPUI campus.

The social marketing campaign will launch in the fall of 2013. In addition, bystander intervention training for students will be conducted and an online training will be made available to incoming students through the Division of Student Life.

A detailed program listing by academic year can be found in Appendix L-Programming of the IUPUI Office for Women - 2004-2012.

## 3. Students

Women's students' needs on campus are qualitatively different than those of men because of our nation's history of limiting access to higher education and professional education to women. Women did not enter higher education and professional education in significant numbers until the 1970's after passage of Title IX of the Education Amendments. Even with women now the majority of students in undergraduate and graduate education, the needs of women students are not fully addressed and women still constitute a minority of the academic faculty and leadership.

## Needs of Women Students - national data

- National research indicates that there are non-uniform effects of the experience of college on the genders. In general, women students have a crisis of confidence continuing to undervalue their academic ability even when doing well, undervalue their math abilities, report more feeling of depression and feeling overwhelmed than men and have fewer skills in managing stress. *
- National data also illustrates that female students come from poorer families on average than their male colleagues, and more women than men acquire student debt and at higher rates than men. **
- Women students continue to need information and resources about how to succeed in the academic environment, how to manage finances, how to find career opportunities. They need information on stress relief and health care, domestic abuse and sexual assault, alcohol and drug use, sexual harassment, balancing work and family responsibilities, and their legal rights.
- Women students continue to face physical safety concerns, pressure to conform to rigid physical images of female beauty, mental health issues, eating disorders, low selfesteem, financial need, gender discrimination in classrooms and sexual harassment.


## IUPUI Female Student Data

In 2012 there were approximately 16, 500 female students at IUPUI constituting $57 \%$ of the student population. What data is available by gender indicates that our female students have the same qualitatively different college experience noted in the national data.

- In the 2011 IUPUI Continuing Student Satisfaction and Priorities Survey by IMIR, in Table 11 "Student Perceptions of Social Inclusion", women students indicated more negative experiences than men including $10.7 \%$ of respondents believing they had experienced "negative or disparaging comments" because of their gender, 12.1\% experiencing "not being taken seriously", $7.9 \%$ experiencing "offensive language or humor" and 6.7\% experiencing "discrimination" based on gender.
- In the same study in Table 15, "Significant Differences in Knowledge and Skills by Gender" women respondents rated their ability to perform qualitative skills and use of mathematics in everyday life lower than men.
- In the same study in Table 23, 7.0\% of women students indicated they were separated, widowed or divorced compared to $3.3 \%$ of male respondents and $31.8 \%$ of female respondents said they had children at home compared to $21.9 \%$ of males.
- Information from IUPUI CAPS (Counseling and Psychological Services) indicates that over the last four years, more women students seek their services than men: clients were $64 \%$ women compared to $35 \%$ percent men.

With the influx of women students into our post-secondary educational institutions in the last forty years, institutions have had to adapt to the qualitatively different needs of women students. This included structural changes such as adding restrooms, nursing mother's rooms, housing and child care facilities. It has included policy changes in areas of student health and wellness and measures to comply with federal civil rights mandates. We have also seen the establishment of women's resource centers on over 400 college campuses with programming to address the issues of personal safety, financial stress, career planning, and academic success and gender equity. These centers also offer a woman-focused "safe space" on campus where women can network, congregate and find information about their unique experiences and needs.

The IUPUI Office for Women offers programming addressed to female student's unique needs through collaborations with the Office of Student Involvement. These include a mentoring program for students, programming for women's history month and the annual Women's Leadership Awards. The director has also been co-chairing the Sexual Assault Prevention, Intervention and Response task force in cooperation with CAPS. The office works closely with the Women's Studies program to collaborate on projects and partner on co-curricular activities.

A fully-staffed and dedicated space for a women's resource center within the office would provide an identified place on campus for women to congregate, to network and to find answers to their concerns. It would act as a gateway to resources on campus and in the community. Enhanced and additional programming addressing the many needs noted above could be provided to our women students.
*Sax, L.J. (2008) The gender gap in college: Maximizing the developmental potential of women and men. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
** Shriver, Maria (2014-01-11). The Shriver Report: A Woman's Nation Pushes Back from the Brink (Kindle Locations 2651-2652). Rosetta Books. Kindle Edition

## Appendix A

## Professional Staff Employees by Pay Grade Headcount and Percentage

## 2003-2013 <br> Professional Staff Employees by Pay Grade

Level 3 Staff Employees (Old System=PA12, PA13, PA14)

| Headcount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 415 | 439 | 439 | 452 | 471 | 466 | 489 | 488 | 501 | 510 | 519 |
| Male | 278 | 296 | 289 | 281 | 290 | 326 | 336 | 328 | 298 | 303 | 330 |
| Grand Total | 693 | 735 | 728 | 733 | 761 | 792 | 825 | 816 | 799 | 813 | 849 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 62\% | 62\% | 59\% | 59\% | 60\% | 63\% | 63\% | 61\% |
| Male | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 38\% | 38\% | 41\% | 41\% | 40\% | 37\% | 37\% | 39\% |
| Grand Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Level 4 Staff Employees (Old System=PA15, PA16)

| Headcount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 37 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 166 | 166 | 170 | 171 | 180 | 198 |
| Male | 38 | 35 | 36 | 40 | 32 | 123 | 130 | 139 | 140 | 147 | 151 |
| Grand Total | 75 | 75 | 78 | 82 | 75 | 289 | 296 | 309 | 311 | 327 | 349 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 49\% | 53\% | 54\% | 51\% | 57\% | 57\% | 56\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 57\% |
| Male | 51\% | 47\% | 46\% | 49\% | 43\% | 43\% | 44\% | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% | 43\% |


| Grand Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 5 Staff Employees (Old System=PA17, PA18) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Headcount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 20 |
| Male | 20 | 26 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 26 | 29 |
| Grand Total | 33 | 39 | 35 | 37 | 37 | 48 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 48 | 49 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 39\% | 33\% | 37\% | 35\% | 35\% | 46\% | 51\% | 52\% | 56\% | 46\% | 41\% |
| Male | 61\% | 67\% | 63\% | 65\% | 65\% | 54\% | 49\% | 48\% | 44\% | 54\% | 59\% |
| Grand Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Level 6 Staff Employees (Old System=PA19 \& PA21)

| Headcount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 |
| Male | 16 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grand Total | 21 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 23 | 24 | 22 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 24\% | 29\% | 37\% | 39\% | 42\% | 44\% | 40\% | 38\% | 48\% | 50\% | 45\% |
| Male | 76\% | 71\% | 63\% | 61\% | 58\% | 56\% | 60\% | 62\% | 52\% | 50\% | 55\% |
| Grand Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

## Executive Staff Employees (Old System=PAXX \& PA24)

| Headcount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Male | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Grand Total | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Female | 67\% | 67\% | 50\% | 33\% | 40\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 33\% | 43\% | 40\% |
| Male | 33\% | 33\% | 50\% | 67\% | 60\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 67\% | 57\% | 60\% |
| Grand Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |



## Appendix B

## 2012 Census Data <br> IUPUI Full-Time Academic Appointments

2012 Census
IUPUI Full-Time Academic Appointments
October 2012
Campus Summary - ALL Schools

|  |  | Tenured | Pct | Tenure Track | Pct | NT | Pct | Average Age | Average <br> Yrs @ IU | Average Yrs Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professor | Total | 525 |  | 11 |  | 1 |  | 57.8 | 18.3 | 10.4 |
|  | Men | 402 | 77\% | 8 | 73\% | 1 | 100\% | 57.6 | 18.4 | 10.8 |
|  | Women | 123 | 23\% | 3 | 27\% | 0 | 0\% | 58.7 | 17.8 | 8.9 |
|  | Minorities | 89 | 17\% | 2 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 55.4 | 15.9 | 8.3 |
| Associate <br> Professor | Total | 398 |  | 81 |  | 0 |  | 50.1 | 13.5 | 8.0 |
|  | Men | 242 | 61\% | 49 | 60\% | 0 | * | 49.9 | 13.0 | 8.3 |
|  | Women | 156 | 39\% | 32 | 40\% | 0 | * | 50.5 | 14.3 | 7.7 |
|  | Minorities | 87 | 22\% | 27 | 33\% | 0 | * | 48.7 | 10.8 | 6.2 |
| Assistant Professor | Total | 6 |  | 353 |  | 0 |  | 39.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
|  | Men | 6 | 100\% | 208 | 59\% | 0 | * | 39.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
|  | Women | 0 | 0\% | 145 | 41\% | 0 | * | 40.0 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
|  | Minorities | 2 | 33\% | 152 | 43\% | 0 | * | 39.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Academic Specialist | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 60 |  | 50.5 | 8.2 | 4.2 |
|  | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 17 | 28\% | 55.0 | 7.2 | 4.7 |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 43 | 72\% | 48.8 | 8.6 | 4.0 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 12 | 20\% | 48.2 | 6.1 | 3.7 |
| Senior Lecturer | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 66 |  | 56.1 | 17.1 | 6.4 |
|  | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 34 | 52\% | 56.0 | 16.1 | 6.2 |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 32 | 48\% | 56.3 | 18.1 | 6.7 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 5 | 8\% | 59.2 | 14.2 | 9.8 |
| Lecturer | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 144 |  | 48.5 | 8.3 | 6.6 |
|  | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 70 | 49\% | 47.7 | 8.2 | 7.0 |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 74 | 51\% | 49.2 | 8.3 | 6.2 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 22 | 15\% | 42.6 | 7.0 | 6.2 |
| Research | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 166 |  | 46.0 | 9.2 | 5.3 |
|  | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 106 | 64\% | 46.8 | 9.4 | 5.6 |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 60 | 36\% | 44.4 | 8.7 | 4.8 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 79 | 48\% | 45.7 | 7.6 | 4.5 |
| Clinical <br> Professor | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 80 |  | 58.3 | 15.3 | 7.6 |
|  | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 60 | 75\% | 59.1 | 14.7 | 7.7 |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 20 | 25\% | 55.8 | 17.1 | 7.6 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 12 | 15\% | 56.7 | 14.0 | 6.2 |
| Clinical <br> Associate <br> Professor | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 214 |  | 52.1 | 13.2 | 7.5 |
|  | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 132 | 62\% | 52.3 | 12.4 | 7.7 |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 82 | 38\% | 51.7 | 14.4 | 7.2 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 44 | 21\% | 49.5 | 9.0 | 5.3 |
| Clinical <br> Assistant <br> Professor | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 536 |  | 42.8 | 6.4 | 5.6 |
|  | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 276 | 51\% | 43.0 | 6.6 | 5.9 |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 260 | 49\% | 42.7 | 6.2 | 5.2 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 113 | 21\% | 41.0 | 4.7 | 4.4 |
| Senior | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 65.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 |


| Clinical <br> Lecturer | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 1 | 100\% | 65.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Clinical Lecturer | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 8 |  | 51.3 | 10.4 | 8.9 |
|  | Men | 0 | * | 0 | * | 1 | 13\% | 55.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
|  | Women | 0 | * | 0 | * | 7 | 88\% | 50.7 | 11.6 | 9.7 |
|  | Minorities | 0 | * | 0 | * | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | Total | 929 |  | 445 |  | 1276 |  | 49.0 | 11.1 | 7.0 |
|  | Men | 650 | 70\% | 265 | 60\% | 698 | 55\% | 49.7 | 11.6 | 7.5 |
|  | Women | 279 | 30\% | 180 | 40\% | 578 | 45\% | 47.9 | 10.4 | 6.1 |
|  | Minorities | 178 | 19\% | 181 | 41\% | 287 | 22\% | 45.9 | 8.0 | 5.3 |
| Librarians | Total | 33 |  | 15 |  | 0 |  | 50.3 | 14.0 | 9.5 |
|  | Men | 13 | 39\% | 3 | 20\% | 0 | * | 52.6 | 16.5 | 11.9 |
|  | Women | 20 | 61\% | 12 | 80\% | 0 | * | 49.2 | 12.8 | 8.4 |
|  | Minorities | 3 | 9\% | 5 | 33\% | 0 | * | 44.0 | 8.6 | 6.5 |
| Grand Total | Total | 962 |  | 460 |  | 1276 |  | 49.0 | 11.2 | 7.0 |
|  | Men | 663 | 69\% | 268 | 58\% | 698 | 55\% | 49.7 | 11.7 | 7.6 |
|  | Women | 299 | 31\% | 192 | 42\% | 578 | 45\% | 48.0 | 10.5 | 6.2 |
|  | Minorities | 181 | 19\% | 186 | 40\% | 287 | 22\% | 45.8 | 8.0 | 5.3 |
| Tenure Related |  | 1422 |  | 53\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Tenure Related |  | 1276 |  | 47\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 2698 |  | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Professor includes Distinguished Professor. Lecturer includes Senior Lecturer.
*0\% changed to "*" to avoid the cell error "\#DIV/0!"

## Appendix C

## Student Enrollment by Major 2007 Compared to 2012

## Student Enrollment by Major

## 2007 Compared to 2012

## Between 36\% and 76\% female

Less than $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ female
More than 76\% female

07PerF means, percent female enrollment, 2007
12PerF means, percent female enrollment, 2012
Only majors with > $\mathbf{2 0}$ students enrolled in each year
Percentages are rounded

| Kelley School of Business | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Marketing BS / BSB | 84 | 92 | 176 | 48\% | 88 | 88 | 176 | 50\% | 2\% |
| Human Resources Mgmt BS / BSB | 66 | 38 | 104 | 63\% | 51 | 40 | 91 | 56\% | -7\% |
| Business BS / BSB | 46 | 39 | 85 | 54\% | 17 | 13 | 30 | 57\% | 3\% |
| Finance BS / BSB | 52 | 106 | 158 | 33\% | 30 | 111 | 141 | 21\% | -12\% |
| Management BS / BSB | 87 | 123 | 210 | 41\% | 81 | 105 | 164 | 49\% | 8\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finance MS / MBA | 14 | 61 | 75 | 19\% | 5 | 20 | 25 | 20\% | 1\% |
| Business MBA | 87 | 227 | 314 | 28\% | 54 | 200 | 254 | 21\% | -6\% |
| Accounting MBA/MPA/MSA | 86 | 64 | 150 | 57\% | 83 | 78 | 161 | 52\% | -6\% |


| School of Dentistry | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Dental Hygiene AS | 97 | 2 | 99 | 98\% | 82 | 1 | 83 | 99\% | 1\% |
| Dental Assisting CERT | 37 | 2 | 39 | 95\% | 34 | 0 | 34 | 100\% | 5\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dental Surgery DDS | 166 | 235 | 401 | 41\% | 196 | 212 | 408 | 48\% | 7\% |


| School of Education | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Elementary Education BSED | 711 | 113 | 824 | 86\% | 542 | 77 | 619 | 88\% | 1\% |


| English Education BSED | 58 | 30 | 88 | 66\% | 85 | 32 | 117 | 73\% | 7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Studies Educ BSED | 48 | 61 | 109 | 44\% | 27 | 66 | 93 | 29\% | -15\% |
| Spanish Education BSED | 21 | 4 | 25 | 84\% | 17 | 5 | 22 | 77\% | -7\% |
| Teacher Certification | 100 | 52 | 152 | 66\% | 34 | 11 | 45 | 76\% | 10\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counseling/Counselor Ed MSED | 88 | 20 | 108 | 81\% | 54 | 18 | 72 | 75\% | -6\% |
| Elementary Education MSED | 81 | 10 | 91 | 89\% | 61 | 10 | 71 | 86\% | -3\% |
| Language Education MSED | 34 | 5 | 39 | 87\% | 21 | 4 | 25 | 84\% | -3\% |
| Secondary Education MSED | 45 | 29 | 74 | 61\% | 52 | 23 | 75 | 69\% | 9\% |
| Special Education MSED | 32 | 6 | 38 | 84\% | 20 | 2 | 22 | 91\% | 7\% |
| Student Affairs Admin MSED | 18 | 4 | 22 | 82\% | 24 | 15 | 39 | 62\% | -20\% |


| School of Engineering and Technology | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Biomedical Engineering | 15 | 24 | 39 | 38\% | 19 | 44 | 63 | 30\% | -8\% |
| Biomedical Engineering Tech BS | 6 | 43 | 49 | 12\% | 13 | 39 | 52 | 25\% | 13\% |
| Comp \& Info Tech BS Stand Opt | 51 | 164 | 215 | 24\% | 8 | 32 | 40 | 20\% | -4\% |
| Comp Graph Tech BS-Anim Spt Gr | 26 | 72 | 98 | 27\% | 6 | 31 | 37 | 16\% | -10\% |
| Comp Graph Tech BS-Int MIt Dev | 20 | 36 | 56 | 36\% | 14 | 23 | 37 | 38\% | 2\% |
| Computer Engineering BSCE | 5 | 38 | 43 | 12\% | 5 | 40 | 45 | 11\% | -1\% |
| Computer Engr Tech BS | 8 | 30 | 38 | 21\% | 3 | 20 | 23 | 13\% | -8\% |
| Construction Engr Mgmt Tech BS | 6 | 87 | 93 | 6\% | 10 | 89 | 99 | 10\% | 4\% |
| Elec Engr Tech BS | 7 | 121 | 128 | 5\% | 3 | 44 | 47 | 6\% | 1\% |
| Electrical Engr BSEE | 10 | 81 | 91 | 11\% |  | 74 | 74 | 0\% | -11\% |
| Interior Design Tech BS | 127 | 12 | 139 | 91\% | 43 | 4 | 47 | 91\% | 0\% |
| Mech Engr Tech BS | 11 | 160 | 171 | 6\% | 3 | 60 | 63 | 5\% | -2\% |
| Mechanical Engr BSME | 10 | 114 | 124 | 8\% | 17 | 182 | 199 | 9\% | 0\% |
| Org Leadership \& Supvn BS | 71 | 93 | 164 | 43\% | 20 | 36 | 56 | 36\% | -8\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinical Research MSCR / GR CRT | 17 | 4 | 21 | 81\% | 12 | 9 | 21 | 57\% | -24\% |
| Electrical Computer Engr MSECE | 7 | 40 | 47 | 15\% | 26 | 86 | 112 | 23\% | 8\% |


| Mechanical Engr MSME | 5 | 22 | 27 | $19 \%$ | 7 | 51 | 58 | $12 \%$ | $-6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Music Technology MS | 11 | 32 | 43 | $26 \%$ | 5 | 28 | 33 | $15 \%$ | $-10 \%$ |
| Technology MS | 6 | 22 | 28 | $21 \%$ | 17 | 28 | 45 | $38 \%$ | $16 \%$ |


| Herron School of Art | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Visual Communication BFA | 22 | 20 | 42 | 52\% | 35 | 24 | 59 | 59\% | 7\% |
| Fine Arts BFA | 20 | 15 | 35 | 57\% | 35 | 17 | 52 | 67\% | 10\% |
| Art Education BAED | 29 | 4 | 33 | 88\% | 21 | 6 | 27 | 78\% | -10\% |
| Painting BFA | 22 | 16 | 38 | 58\% | 25 | 6 | 31 | 81\% | 23\% |
| Photography BFA | 35 | 6 | 41 | 85\% | 38 | 7 | 45 | 84\% | -1\% |
| Art History BA | 49 | 7 | 56 | 88\% | 43 | 5 | 48 | 90\% | 2\% |


| School of Health and Rehabilitation | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Physical Therapy DPT | 74 | 29 | 103 | 72\% | 83 | 29 | 112 | 74\% | 2\% |
| Occupational Therapy MS | 57 | 8 | 65 | 88\% | 64 | 7 | 71 | 90\% | 2\% |


| School of Informatics | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Health Info Admin BS | 18 | 2 | 20 | 90\% | 38 | 13 | 51 | 75\% | -15\% |
| Informatics BS | 30 | 67 | 97 | 31\% | 21 | 105 | 126 | 17\% | -14\% |
| Media Arts \& Science BS | 69 | 229 | 298 | 23\% | 90 | 219 | 309 | 29\% | 6\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health Informatics MS | 21 | 10 | 31 | 68\% | 30 | 24 | 54 | 56\% | -12\% |
| Human Comp Interaction MS | 4 | 21 | 25 | 16\% | 9 | 18 | 27 | 33\% | 17\% |
| Media Arts \& Science MS | 13 | 18 | 31 | 42\% | 20 | 19 | 39 | 51\% | 9\% |


| School of Social Work | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Social Work BSW | 116 | 10 | 126 | 92\% | 165 | 19 | 184 | 90\% | -2\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Work MSW | 491 | 72 | 563 | 87\% | 457 | 66 | 523 | 87\% | 0\% |
| School of Law | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| Graduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Law JD | 452 | 497 | 949 | 48\% | 413 | 517 | 930 | 44\% | -3\% |
| Law LLM | 22 | 23 | 45 | 49\% | 17 | 18 | 35 | 49\% | 0\% |
| School of Medicine | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Histotechnology CERT | 44 | 10 | 54 | 81\% | 53 | 23 | 76 | 70\% | -12\% |
| Radiation Therapy BS | 16 | 10 | 26 | 62\% | 18 | 6 | 24 | 75\% | 13\% |
| Respiratory Therapy BS | 26 | 11 | 37 | 70\% | 28 | 9 | 37 | 76\% | 5\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Epidemiology MPH | 33 | 22 | 55 | 60\% | 49 | 16 | 65 | 75\% | 15\% |
| Indpls Biomed Open Ph.D | 18 | 16 | 34 | 53\% | 34 | 23 | 57 | 60\% | 7\% |
| Medical Genetics MS / Ph.D. | 21 | 7 | 28 | 75\% | 19 | 7 | 36 | 53\% | -22\% |
| Medical Imaging Tech BS | 14 | 8 | 22 | 64\% | 32 | 9 | 41 | 78\% | 14\% |
| Medical Science MS | 23 | 7 | 30 | 77\% | 24 | 2 | 26 | 92\% | 16\% |
| Medicine MD | 501 | 625 | 1,126 | 44\% | 576 | 723 | 1,299 | 44\% | 0\% |
| Microbiol \& Immunology PHD | 22 | 8 | 30 | 73\% | 18 | 9 | 27 | 67\% | -7\% |
| School of Nursing |  |  | 007 |  |  |  | 012 |  |  |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF | Change |
| Non Nurs Bacc to BSN-ACCEL | 99 | 13 | 112 | 88\% | 160 | 22 | 182 | 88\% | 0\% |


| Nursing BSN | 537 | 52 | 589 | 91\% | 524 | 53 | 577 | 91\% | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nursing RN to BSN | 81 | 7 | 88 | 92\% | 222 | 15 | 237 | 94\% | 2\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AdIt HIth Cl Nurs Spec MSN | 41 | 1 | 42 | 98\% | 35 | 3 | 38 | 92\% | -6\% |
| Adult/Geriatric MSN | 44 | 6 | 50 | 88\% | 65 | 2 | 67 | 97\% | 9\% |
| Fam Nurse Practitioner MSN | 38 | 0 | 38 | 100\% | 49 | 1 | 50 | 98\% | -2\% |
| Nursing Administration MSN | 40 | 1 | 41 | 98\% | 21 | 1 | 22 | 95\% | -2\% |
| Nursing Science Ph.D | 54 | 1 | 55 | 98\% | 38 | 3 | 41 | 93\% | -5\% |
| Pediatric MSN | 50 | 1 | 51 | 98\% | 30 | 2 | 32 | 94\% | -4\% |


| School of PETM | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Sports Management BSK BSPE | 37 | 76 | 113 | 33\% | 21 | 81 | 102 | 21\% | -12\% |
| Phys Edu \& Health Ed/Tch BSPE | 36 | 63 | 99 | 36\% | 12 | 39 | 50 | 24\% | -12\% |
| Exercise Science BSK, BSPE | 58 | 39 | 97 | 60\% | 112 | 98 | 210 | 53\% | -6\% |
| Fitness BS+ | 21 | 18 | 39 | 54\% | 33 | 19 | 52 | 63\% | 10\% |
| Tourism/Conv/Event Mgt BS | 256 | 76 | 332 | 77\% | 205 | 45 | 250 | 82\% | 5\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Education MS MSK | 16 | 9 | 25 | 64\% | 16 | 7 | 23 | 70\% | 6\% |


| School of Science | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Biology BA | 161 | 63 | 224 | 72\% | 132 | 84 | 216 | 61\% | -11\% |
| Biology BS | 109 | 65 | 174 | 63\% | 183 | 126 | 309 | 59\% | -3\% |
| Chemistry A.C.S. Cert. BSCH | 41 | 42 | 83 | 49\% | 48 | 86 | 134 | 36\% | -14\% |
| Chemistry BA | 24 | 26 | 50 | 48\% | 29 | 33 | 62 | 47\% | -1\% |
| Computer Science BS | 7 | 70 | 77 | 9\% | 13 | 169 | 182 | 7\% | -2\% |
| For \& Invest Sci BS PU | 63 | 18 | 81 | 78\% | 84 | 26 | 110 | 76\% | -1\% |
| Math Teaching BS | 22 | 24 | 46 | 48\% | 19 | 22 | 41 | 46\% | -1\% |
| Mathematics BS | 25 | 51 | 76 | 33\% | 27 | 45 | 72 | 38\% | 5\% |
| Psychology BA | 222 | 55 | 277 | 80\% | 325 | 64 | 389 | 84\% | 3\% |
| Psychology BS | 189 | 70 | 259 | 73\% | 220 | 90 | 310 | 71\% | -2\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biology MS | 44 | 66 | 110 | 40\% | 59 | 45 | 104 | 57\% | 17\% |
| Chemistry MS | 11 | 14 | 25 | 44\% | 13 | 10 | 23 | 57\% | 13\% |
| Clinical Rehab Psych PHD | 19 | 4 | 23 | 83\% | 22 | 3 | 25 | 88\% | 5\% |
| Computer Info Science MS | 24 | 40 | 64 | 38\% | 35 | 77 | 112 | 31\% | -6\% |


| School of Liberal Arts | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Am Sign Lg-Engl Interp BS | 22 | 1 | 23 | 96\% | 45 | 7 | 52 | 87\% | -9\% |
| Anthropology BA | 61 | 30 | 91 | 67\% | 65 | 28 | 93 | 70\% | 3\% |
| Communication Studies BA | 178 | 95 | 273 | 65\% | 171 | 91 | 262 | 65\% | 0\% |
| Economics BA | 9 | 33 | 42 | 21\% | 8 | 64 | 72 | 11\% | -10\% |
| English BA | 164 | 90 | 254 | 65\% | 161 | 75 | 236 | 68\% | 4\% |
| Geography BA | 12 | 13 | 25 | 48\% | 9 | 31 | 40 | 23\% | -26\% |
| History BA | 73 | 98 | 171 | 43\% | 79 | 95 | 174 | 45\% | 3\% |
| International Studies BA | 18 | 7 | 25 | 72\% | 51 | 30 | 81 | 63\% | -9\% |
| Paralegal Studies CRT | 31 | 6 | 37 | 84\% | 37 | 13 | 50 | 74\% | -10\% |
| Philosophy BA | 23 | 41 | 64 | 36\% | 13 | 46 | 59 | 22\% | -14\% |


| Political Science BA | 119 | 105 | 224 | 53\% | 94 | 101 | 195 | 48\% | -5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religious Studies BA | 12 | 14 | 26 | 46\% | 14 | 16 | 30 | 47\% | 1\% |
| Sociology BA | 108 | 31 | 139 | 78\% | 90 | 42 | 132 | 68\% | -10\% |
| Spanish BA | 59 | 26 | 85 | 69\% | 42 | 20 | 62 | 68\% | -2\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applied Communication | 34 | 7 | 41 | 83\% | 26 | 11 | 37 | 70\% | -13\% |
| English MA | 24 | 17 | 41 | 59\% | 15 | 11 | 26 | 58\% | -1\% |
| Geographic Info Sci GR CRT / MS | 8 | 13 | 21 | 38\% | 15 | 15 | 30 | 50\% | 12\% |
| History MA | 22 | 17 | 39 | 56\% | 23 | 8 | 31 | 74\% | 18\% |
| Philanthropic Studies MA/ Ph.D. / dual | 58 | 16 | 74 | 78\% | 78 | 22 | 100 | 78\% | 0\% |


| School of Public Health | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Civic Leadership BSPA | 23 | 9 | 32 | 72\% | 28 | 7 | 35 | 80\% | 8\% |
| Criminal Justice AS / BS | 166 | 127 | 293 | 57\% | 197 | 175 | 372 | 53\% | -4\% |
| Management BSPA | 30 | 33 | 63 | 48\% | 39 | 53 | 92 | 42\% | -5\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonprofit Management GR CRT | 56 | 21 | 77 | 73\% | 48 | 14 | 62 | 77\% | 5\% |
| Nonprofit Management MPA | 45 | 9 | 54 | 83\% | 74 | 13 | 87 | 85\% | 2\% |
| Policy Analysis MPA | 10 | 11 | 21 | 48\% | 17 | 23 | 40 | 43\% | -5\% |
| Public Management CRT ExecEd | 8 | 23 | 31 | 26\% | 5 | 19 | 24 | 21\% | -5\% |
| Public Management GR CRT | 13 | 7 | 20 | 65\% | 18 | 21 | 39 | 46\% | -19\% |
| Public Management MPA, ExecEd MPA | 24 | 57 | 81 | 30\% | 24 | 22 | 46 | 52\% | 23\% |


| Other Academic Units | 2007 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate | Women | Men | Total | 07PercF | Women | Men | Total | 12PercF |  |
| Journalism BAJ | 108 | 42 | 150 | 72\% | 120 | 53 | 173 | 69\% | -3\% |
| General Studies BGS | 573 | 354 | 927 | 62\% | 635 | 308 | 943 | 67\% | 6\% |
| Graduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library Science MLS | 261 | 48 | 309 | 84\% | 127 | 41 | 168 | 76\% | -9\% |
| Adult Education MS | 47 | 11 | 58 | 81\% | 78 | 18 | 96 | 81\% | 0\% |

Rachel Applegate, DLIS/SOIC
From IMIR data

## Appendix D

## 2008 IUPUI Staff Gender Equity Analysis

## Project Overview

## Fall 2008 - Spring 2009

## Staff Gender Equity Analysis Exclusions

Fall 2008

## IUPUI Staff Gender Equity Analysis <br> Project Overview <br> Fall 2008 - Spring 2009

- Gender equity review for IUPUI staff paralleled timeframe for IUPUI faculty review
- Review conducted within PA job families with enough staff members for adequate comparisons within levels
- Administrative Services
- Information Technology Services
- Student/Academic Services
- Research/Science
- Media/Public Relations/Alumni Services
- Facility Services
- Methodology
- Computed both mean and median
- overall
- by gender
- Compiled number and percentage distribution of employees across six ranks (P1-P6)
- Computed average and median salary by gender for P2, P3, P4 ranks
- Computed average and median salary by gender based on each job
family market zone
- below market
- within market
- above market
- Computed percentage distribution by gender across the three zones
- Looked for gender differences greater than 5\%
- by average salary
- by median salary
- If greater than 5\%, looked for reasonable factors which could explain the difference
- Also reviewed internal equity within campus units
- Analysis did not indicate an across the board pattern of salary inequity based on gender
- In one job family (Information Technology) an additional review was needed to clarify salary differences for 14 staff members within 8 campus units
- Special thanks to Paul Carlen and Gary Pike for consultation and guidance, as well as John Murray for gender equity project coordination


## Staff Gender Equity Analysis Exclusions

## Fall 2008

As outlined in the Scope section of the Gender Equity Analysis report, detailed analysis was not performed on job families or levels when it was determined that the analysis could not produce valid data to base conclusions upon. The following explains why specific populations were not included in the gender analysis.

## P1 level in all families:

- There are a total of 32 P1 positions on campus
- P1 ranks in all but Facilities represent grandfathered positions that will be converted to biweekly positions once terminated
- Within the Facilities family, there are only 16 positions at the P1 rank (14 male and 2 female) P5 level in all families:
- Positions at this level are unique
- Total of 48 P5 positions across 8 different families
- Largest number (17) within Administrative family, spread over 12 different departments P6 level in all families:
- Positions at this level are unique
- Total of 23 P6 across 8 different families

Coaches (CH):

- Coaches of major teams tend to be contract employees
- Minor team coaches are difficult to track via market data Not Classified (NC):
- Positions in this category are not related to university market data or are not able to be classified within the 6 level structure
- This classification has only two positions


## Executive (XX):

- These are unique positions and small in number
- This classification is for AVP and Vice Chancellor level positions on campus Health Care Professionals (HE):
- This family consists of 335 professional health care providers in 75 unique jobs
- The largest population (242) is within 12 different nursing jobs. Females comprise $97 \%$ of the nursing population
- Unable to relate most positions to market data.

Health Practice Administration (HP):

- No P2 level exists for this family
- 75 positions in 41 different organizational units
- 24 P4 positions in 23 different organizational units
- Impacts of practice plans makes analysis difficult

Auxiliary (AX):

- Only the P2 level has more than 4 positions for data analysis
- P2 level consists of unrelated populations such as Teachers in the Child Care Center, Professional Tennis Instructors, Parking Maintenance, Parking Supervisors, Aquatic Program Managers.
Safety and Security (SS):
- Small total number of positions (25) with wide variety of types of positions
- $80 \%$ of positions in two departments (ENHS and PD)
- Police department pays identical salaries by position
- ENHS is comprised of several specialty positions


## Appendix E

## 2013 Female Faculty and Staff Survey Results

## 2013 Female Faculty and Staff Climate Survey and Needs Assessment Scale Items

## Incivility

During the past three years while employed at IUPUI, have you been in a situation where any of your supervisors or co-workers: (Never, once or twice, sometimes, often, many times)

1. Put you down or was condescending to you?
2. Paid little attention to your statement or showed little interest in your opinion
3. Made demeaning or derogatory remarks about you
4. Addressed you in unprofessional terms, either publicly or privately
5. Ignored or excluded you from professional camaraderie
6. Doubted your judgment over a matter over which you have responsibility
7. Made unwanted attempts to draw you into a discussion of personal matters
8. Harassed you
9. Made you feel isolated or unwelcome
10. Used offensive language or humor
11. Did not take you seriously
12. Discouraged you from pursuing academic/career goals

## Perceived Support

The following items concern your perceptions of support for women in your academic department or primary unit and at IUPUI overall Please indicated your level of agreement with each item using the scale provided (Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Slightly Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Slightly Agree, Agree)

## Local Support

1. Colleagues are supportive when women take time for family life.
2. My chair or supervisor is supportive when women take time for family life
3. Colleagues are supportive when women talk about work/family issues.
4. My chair or supervisor is supportive when women talk about work/family issues.

## Upper Administration Support

1. Upper administration at IUPUI adequately addresses issues for women on campus.
2. Upper administration is concerned with issues pertaining to women on this campus.

## Job Satisfaction

(Very dissatisfied, Dissatisfied, Neutral, Satisfied, Very Satisfied)

1. Your position overall
2. Your salary
3. The work that you do
4. Your supervisor
5. Opportunities for professional development
6. Opportunities for promotion
7. Your coworkers or colleagues
8. Career-related support
9. Personal and emotional support

## Turnover Intentions

(Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Slightly Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Slightly Agree, Agree)

1. I often think of quitting this job.
2. I have considered looking for another job in the past year.

Table D-1 provides means and standard deviations for the survey scales (see Appendix for individual items). Overall, there appears to be relatively low levels of incivility reported across campus and there are not significant differences between majority and minority staff for the incivility scale. Please note that these items are different than the items identified in Tables -2.
Individuals report much higher support for women from their supervisors/co-workers (5.52) compared to upper administration (4.22) although there was some variability in these scores. Minority staff members in the SOM report significantly lower levels of local support than majority staff members.

Job satisfaction was slightly above the neutral point on the scale. However, for both IUPUI and SOM staff, minority women report significantly lower job satisfaction scores. There is quite a bit of variability in staff turnover intentions (as seen by the relatively high standard deviations). While the average for turnover intentions was just below the mid-point of the scale, minority staff reported higher levels on these items. Staff at both IUPUI and the School of Medicine did not perceive high likelihood of promotion. At both IUPUI and the SOM, minority staff report significantly lower levels of promotion likelihood than majority staff members. The average level of everyday sexism is relatively low across the campus, although there does appear to be some variability across staff.

Table D-1 - Overall means and standard deviations for female staff overall at IUPUI and SOM and broken down by (majority, minority status)

|  | IUPUI | SOM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Incivility a | $2.03, .94(2.01,2.18)$ | $1.98, .94(1.94,2.15)$ |
| Local Support b | $5.60,1.26(5.60,5.59)$ | $5.44,1.30(5.50,5.06)^{*}$ |
| Upper Admin Support b | $4.20,1.55(4.20,4.23)$ | $4.24,1.39(4.26,4.09)$ |
| Job Satisfaction a | $3.40, .80(3.44,3.16)^{*}$ | $3.43, .75(3.46,3.22)^{*}$ |
| Turnover Intentions b | $3.89,2.03(3.84,4.24)+$ | $3.77,1.99(3.76,3.87)$ |
| Promotion Likelihood b | $2.361 .32(2.38,1.84)^{*}$ | $2.26,1.31(2.33,1.85)^{*}$ |
| Everday Sexism b | $2.99,1.35(2.98,3.10)$ | $3.04,1.33(3.00,3.25)$ |

Note: $a=5$ point Likert scale, $b=7$ point Likert scale.* - statistically significant difference, + marginally significant difference.

In addition, Table D-2 displays data from these same scales, but breaks the data out by staff role. The first row of each cell is the overall mean and standard deviation. The second row contains the majority and minority staff members means respectively.

Table D-2 - Overall means and standard deviations by staff role (majority, minority status)

|  | Professional |  | Clerical |  | Technical |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | IUPUI ( $\mathrm{n}=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $411)$ | SOM $(\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 228) | IUPUI ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 202) | SOM $(\mathrm{n}=$ <br> $102)$ | IUPUI ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> $37)$ | SOM ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> $70)$ |  |
| Incivility a | $2.02, .92$ | $1.97, .92$ | $2.13,1.01$ | $2.02, .98$ | $1.79, .68$ | $1.85 . .87$ |
|  | $(2.00,2.18)$ | $(1.95,2.15)$ | $(2.10,2.27)$ | $(1.86,2.32)$ | $(1.86,1.00)^{*}$ | $(1.87,1.69)$ |
| Local Support b | $5.61,1.30$ | $5.51,1.23$ | $5.56,1.21$ | $5.30,1.48$ | $5.84,1.18$ | $5.45,1.25$ |
|  | $(5.60,5.67)$ | $(5.37,5.05)^{*}$ | $(5.57,5.51)$ | $(5.34,5.14)$ | $(5.72,6.75)+$ | $(5.53,4.94)$ |
| Upper Admin Support b | $4.07,1.59$ | $4.29,1.32$ | $4.35,1.53$ | $4.06,1.42$ | $4.84,1.34$ | $4.32,1.50$ |
|  | $(4.06,4.11)$ | $(4.33,4.13)$ | $(4.37,4.24)$ | $(4.13,3.74)$ | $(4.75,5.50)$ | $(4.26,4.75)$ |
| Job Satisfaction a | $3.46, .82$ | $3.52, .75$ | $3.28, .77$ | $3.25, .70$ | $3.44, .81$ | $3.41, .77$ |
|  | $(3.49,3.31)$ | $(3.54,3.33)$ | $(3.36,2.90)^{*}$ | $(3.28,3.05)$ | $(3.38,3.86)$ | $(3.43,3.24)$ |
| Turnover Intentions b | $3.84,2.05$ | $3.59,1.97$ | $4.00,2.02$ | $4.04,1.93$ | $3.81,1.96$ | $3.98,2.12$ |
|  | $(3.79,4.14)$ | $(3.57,3.71)$ | $(3.89,4.49)+$ | $(4.05 .3 .97)$ | $(3.87,3.38)$ | $(3.96,4.11)$ |
| Promotion Likelihood b | $2.55,1.38$ | $2.42,1.41$ | $2.08,1.21$ | $2.00,1.21$ | $2.24,1.15$ | $2.18,1.11$ |
|  | $(2.56,2.48)$ | $(2.50,1.92)^{*}$ | $(2.12,1.89)$ | $(2.04,1.80)$ | $(2.21,2.42)$ | $(2.25,1.70)$ |
| Everyday Sexism b | $3.12,1.50$ | $3.14,1.37$ | $3.12,1.39$ | $3.25,1.42$ | $2.87,1.44$ | $2.93,1.34$ |
|  | $(3.08,3.38)$ | $(3.12,3.23)$ | $(3.08,3.27)$ | $(3.10,3.96)^{*}$ | $(2.93,2.46)$ | $(2.92,2.97)$ |

Women in clerical roles report significantly lower job satisfaction and lower promotion likelihood than professional and technical staff. These same two scales were significantly lower for minority women (regardless of role) compared to majority group staff. It appears that minority women in clerical staff roles at IUPUI report very low levels of job satisfaction (2.90), very high intentions to turnover (4.49) and low expectations regarding promotion (1.89). Minority clerical staff in the SOM report significantly higher everyday sexism compared to their majority group counterparts.

## Correlation among focal variables

The relationships among the variables are also interesting to consider. Not surprisingly higher levels of incivility are significantly related to lower supervisor/coworker support (-.30), lower upper administrative support (-.27), lower job satisfaction (-.51), higher intentions to turnover (.40), and higher levels of everyday sexism (.47). Therefore, even though the averages of incivility are relatively low across staff on campus, perceptions of incivility are strongly related to important individual and organizational outcomes.

In addition, below are responses from female faculty members about their experience with or situations in which a supervisor or colleague engaged in specific behaviors of concern.

## Female Faculty <br> Items of Concern

Workplace incivility (Cortina measure)

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1.93 | 1.94 | 2.03 | 2.09 | 2.58 | 2.06 | 2.12 | 2.42 |
| $(0.84)$ | $(0.85)$ | $(0.94)$ | $(1.10)$ | $(0.93)$ | $(0.83)$ | $(1.07)$ | $(1.25)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

Sample: $M=2.06, S D=.96$

Perceptions of Equal Access (Westring et al., 2012)

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 4.82 | 5.04 | 4.57 | 5.06 | 4.45 | 4.82 | 4.3 | 4.56 |
| $(1.25)$ | $(1.28)$ | $(1.30)$ | $(1.31)$ | $(1.08)$ | $(1.55)$ | $(0.89)$ | $(1.73)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

Sample: $\mathrm{M}=4.78, \mathrm{SD}=1.27$

## Perceived Support

Mean (SD) for LOCAL SUPPORT by rank:

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5.47 | 4.97 | 5.16 | 5.51 | 5.16 | 4.16 | 4.88 | 4.87 |
| $(1.34)$ | $(1.49)$ | $(1.56)$ | $(1.33)$ | $(1.50)$ | $(1.90)$ | $(1.43)$ | $(1.14)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

Sample: $\mathrm{M}=5.16, \mathrm{SD}=1.47$

Mean (SD) for UPPER ADMIN SUPPORT, by STEM division and rank:

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.71 | 3.8 | 4.23 | $4.37)$ | 3.86 | 3.68 | 3.86 | 4.89 |
| $(1.74)$ | $(1.69)$ | $(1.77)$ | $(1.63)$ | $(1.79)$ | $(1.69)$ | $(1.54)$ | $(1.98)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

[^3]Job Satisfaction

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 3.49 | 3.67 | 3.5 | 3.89 | 3.17 | 3.39 | 3.26 | 3.57 |
| $(0.70)$ | $(0.75)$ | $(0.87)$ | $(0.78)$ | $(1.04)$ | $(0.87)$ | $(0.88)$ | $(1.21)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

Sample: $\mathrm{M}=3.55, \mathrm{SD}=.85, \mathrm{n}=$
234

Turnover Intentions

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.3 | 3.44 | 3.62 | 3.41 | 4.36 | 4.18 | 3.74 | 3.89 |
| $(2.05)$ | $(1.88)$ | $(1.96)$ | $(2.37)$ | $(2.38)$ | $(2.34)$ | $(2.31)$ | $(2.77)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

Sample: $M=3.55, S D=2.10, n=229$

Likelihood of Promotion

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.59 | 3.31 | 3.12 | $3.91)$ | 2.31 | 2.33 | 2.3 | 3.41 |
| $(1.52)$ | $(0.93)$ | $(1.46)$ | $(1.80)$ | $(1.47)$ | $(1.20)$ | $(1.39)$ | $(1.75)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

Sample: $\mathrm{M}=3.05, \mathrm{SD}=1.53, \mathrm{n}=232$

Everyday Sexism Perceptions

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.19 | 2.95 | 3.82 | 3.61 | 3.89 | 3.68 | 3.7 | 3.36 |
| $(1.68)$ | $(1.56)$ | $(1.48)$ | $(1.75)$ | $(1.86)$ | $(2.10)$ | $(1.48)$ | $(2.00)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

Sample: $M=3.44, S D=1.63, n=246$

Perceived Benevolent Sexism

| Lecturer | Asst. | Assoc. | Full | Visit/PT | Libr. | Clin/Res <br> Fac. | Exec. Mgt. |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.48 | 3.33 | 3.86 | 3.55 | 3.45 | 3.15 | 3.47 | 3.5 |
| $(1.62)$ | $(1.43)$ | $(1.35)$ | $(1.54)$ | $(2.01)$ | $(1.68)$ | $(1.22)$ | $(2.07)$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=45$ | $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=27$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |

Sample: $\mathrm{M}=3.52, \mathrm{SD}=1.50, \mathrm{n}=244$

## Appendix F

## IUPUI Key Indicators for the Advancement of Women

1994-2012

## Status of Women Report, 2012 <br> Representation

Table 1. Number of Tenure Eligible Women Faculty at IUPUI ${ }^{3}$

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 1,314 | 1,359 | 1,369 | 1,409 | 1,402 | 1,415 |
| Women | en | 452 | 443 | 474 | 481 | 489 |
| \% Wom | $26.9 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ |

Note: Includes Tenure/Tenure-Track faculty rank IR00-IR04, and Librarians rank LIOO-LIO4
Table 2. Number and \% of Key Academic* Administrative Positions Held by Women Faculty ${ }^{1,3}$

|  | 1994 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Total | Women | Women |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ | Women Total Women |  |  | Women Total$\%$ |  |  |  | Women Total \% Women |  |  | Women |  | \% Women |  | Women | n Total |  | \% Women |
| Chancellor | 0 | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 1 | 0\% | 0 |  | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 0 |  | 1 | 0\% |
| Vice Chancellor | 1 | 3 | 33\% | 1 | 3 | 33\% | 0 | 3 | 0\% | 0 |  | 3 | 0\% | 0 | 3 | 0\% | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0\% | 0 |  | 4 | 0\% |
| Associate/Asst. Vice Chancellor/VP** | 10 | 2 | 0\% | 10 | 0 | na | 6 | 9 | 67\% | 5 |  | 6 | 83\% | 6 | 7 | 86\% | 4 | 6 |  | 67\% | 6 |  | 9 | 67\% |
| Deans | 3 | 14 | 21\% | 3 | 15 | 20\% | 2 | 12 | 17\% | 3 | 15 | 20\% |  | 417 |  | 24\% | 415 |  |  | 27\% | 4 | 12 | 2 | 33\% |
| Associate Deans-Multi-campus Schools **** | 1 | 3 | 33\% | 1 | 3 | 33\% | 3 | 7 | 43\% | 0 |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 |  | 0 | 0\% |
| Associate Deans | 13 | 29 | 45\% | 15 | 32 | 47\% | 18 | 49 | 37\% | 22 | 59 | 37\% |  | 2157 |  | 37\% | $23 \quad 57$ |  |  | 40\% | 26 | 69 | 9 | 38\% |
| Assistant Deans | 6 | 15 | 40\% | 8 | 19 | 42\% | 6 | 13 | 46\% | 7 | 14 | 50\% |  | 715 |  | 47\% | $6 \quad 15$ |  |  | 40\% | 6 | 11 | 1 | 55\% |
| Directors | 25 | 67 | 37\% | 30 | 80 | 38\% | 36 | 98 | 37\% | 40 | 107 | 37\% |  | 38100 |  | 38\% | 37101 |  |  | 37\% | $42 \quad 11$ | 110 |  | 38\% |
| Department Chairs | 13 | 59 | 22\% | 6 | 60 | 10\% | 12 | 74 | 16\% | 18 | 75 | 24\% |  | 1568 |  | 22\% | 1466 |  |  | 21\% | 21 | 73 | 3 | 29\% |
| Total Key Academic Administrative Position | 62 | 193 | 32\% | 64 | 213 | 30\% | 83 | 266 | 31\% | 95 | 280 | 34\% |  | 91268 |  | 34\% | 88264 |  |  | 33\% | 105 | 289 |  | 36\% |
| Tenure-Related, Exec Mgmt Directors*** |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13 | 23\% |  | 11 | 18\% |  | 1 | 9 | 11\% | 1 | 8 |  | 13\% | 1 |  | 8 | 13\% |

*Academic figures include only those administrative positions which have been assigned faculty rank. Positions held by administrators
without faculty rank are included in the staff figures. Hospital employees have been excluded.
*Included IUPUI faculty with VP title
${ }^{* * * M i g h t ~ c o n s i d e r ~ l i m i t i n g ~ D i r e c t o r s ~ t o ~ t e n u r e-r e l a t e d, ~ e x e c ~ m g m t . ~ d i r e c t o r s . ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ c o n s i s t e n t ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ d i v e r s i t y ~ i n d i c a t o r s ~}$
**** from 2009 onwards, Associate Deans-Multi-campus schools were reclassified as Associate Deans
Table 3. Number and Percent of Key Staff Administrative Positions Held by Women ${ }^{3}$

|  | 1994 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ | Women | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ | Women | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ | Women |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ | Women | Total | \% Women | Women | n Total | \% Women | Women | Total | \% Women |
| Number and \% of Key Staff Administrative Positions held by Women* | 30 | 99 | 30\% | 22 | 66 | 33\% | 70 | 152 | 46\% | 71154 | 4 | \% | 6915 |  | 45\% | 209 | 373 | 56\% | 217 | 387 | 56\% |

Note: Professional positions were reclassified in 2008
Positions classified as Executive/Admin/Managerial
${ }^{1}$ Source: Affirmative Action Office
Source: Faculty Records Office
${ }^{3}$ Source: Information Management and Institutional Research
Prepared by Information Management and Institutional Research

Table 4. Number and Percent of Full-time Faculty, Librarians, and Other Instructional Staff Who Are Women, by Rank ${ }^{3}$


Table 5. Number and Percent of Tenured Faculty and Librarians Who Are Women ${ }^{3}$


| 1994 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenured | Total | \% Tenured | Tenured | Total | \% Tenured | Tenured | Total | \% Tenured | Tenured | Total | \% Tenured | Tenured | Total | \% Tenured | Tenured | Total | \% Tenured | Tenured | Total | red |
| 212 | 352 | 60\% | 228 | 348 | 66\% | 264 | 452 | 58\% |  | 445 | 62\% | 283 | 474 | 60\% | 296 | 481 | 62\% | 298 | 489 | 61\% |


| 1994 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women | Total | \% Women | Women | Total | \% Women | Women | Total | \% Women | Women | Total | \% Women | Women | Total | \% Women | Women | Total | \% Women | Women | Total | Women |
| 5 | 33 | 15\% |  | 92 | 10\% | 26 | 148 | 18\% |  | 146 | 17\% | 26 | 144 | 18\% | 28 | 141 | 20\% | 30 | 148 | 20\% |


|  | 1994 |  |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Women | \% Women |  | Total | Women | \% Women T | Total | Women | \% Women |  | Total | Women | \% Women |  | Total | Women | \% Women |  | Total | Women | \% Women |  | Total | Women | \% Women |  |
| Associate/Certificate | 2,882 |  | 1,681 | 58\% | 3,170 | 1,693 | 53\% | 960 |  | 769 | 80\% | 872 |  | 696 | 80\% | 826 |  | 683 | 83\% | 874 |  | 727 | 83\% | 885 |  | 724 | 82\% |
| Bachelor's | 15,940 |  | 9,640 | 60\% | 17,062 | 10,230 | 60\% | 19,430 |  | 11,162 | 57\% | 20,054 |  | 11,381 | 57\% | 20,376 |  | 11,451 | 56\% | 20,361 |  | 11,360 | 56\% | 20,494 |  | 11,405 | 56\% |
| Master's /Post-Bac* | 2,314 |  | 1,372 | 59\% | 2,232 | 1,374 | 62\% 5 | 5,035 |  | 2,869 | 57\% | 4,315 |  | 2,790 | 65\% | 4,346 |  | 2,784 | 64\% | 4,377 |  | 2,720 | 62\% | 4,250 |  | 2,696 | 63\% |
| Doctoral | 368 |  | 221 | 60\% | 309 | 172 | 56\% | 502 |  | 291 | 58\% | 564 |  | 325 | 58\% | 606 |  | 352 | 58\% | 643 |  | 366 | 57\% | 697 |  | 396 | 57\% |
| First Professional | 2,277 |  | 888 | 39\% | 2,381 | 948 | 40\% | 2,637 |  | 1,181 | 45\% | 2,718 |  | 1,201 | 44\% | 2,738 |  | 1,228 | 45\% | 2,790 |  | 1,271 | 46\% | 2,792 |  | 1,300 | 47\% |
| Total Students | 23,781 |  | 13,802 | 58\% | 25,154 | 14,417 | 57\% 2 | 28,564 |  | 16,272 | 57\% | 28,523 |  | 16,393 | 57\% | 28,892 |  | 16,498 | 57\% | 29,045 |  | 16,444 | 57\% | 29,118 |  | 16,521 | 57\% |



## Appendix G

Indiana University School of Medicine Women's Advisory Council and Office of Faculty Affairs and Professional Development Organizational and Program Curriculum Vitae 2006 - Present

# Indiana University School of Medicine <br> Women's Advisory Council and <br> Office of Faculty Affairs and Professional Development Organizational and Program Curriculum Vitae 2006 - Present 

## Awards

- IUSM was one of five medical schools in the U.S. chosen for the American Council on Education / Alfred P. Sloan Award for Faculty Career Flexibility (award amount \$250,000, Sept 2012). Women's Advisory Council was key partner for informing the proposal and collaborator on initiatives.
- Women's Advisory Council and OFAPD were honored with the Association of American Medical Colleges Women in Medicine and Science Organizational Leadership Award, given annually to organizations that show extraordinary commit to and progress in the advancement of women (November 2009)


## Programs and Events

Annual Events

- Annual Women in Medicine and Science Leadership Development Workshops:
o Virginia Valian, PhD, "Why So Slow: the Advancement of Women" keynote address to women faculty, presentation to chairs and deans, and meeting with IUSM Women's Advisory Council (Feb 2007)
o Alice Eagly, PhD, "Women and the Labyrinth of Leadership" keynote address; plus breakout sessions on work/life juggling, financial planning, and maximizing your CV (Oct 2008)
o Sara Laschever, "Women Don't Ask: The High Cost of Avoiding Negotiation--and Positive Strategies for Change" two half-day workshops for women faculty, plus a presentation for chairs and deans. (Sept 2009)
o Diane Halpern, PhD, "Strategic Priorities: Thriving in Work and Life" half-day workshop focused on integration of the personal and professional (Sept 2010).
o Jennifer Lawless, PhD, "If Only They'd Ask: Self-Promotion and Women in Politics" keynote address for conference on theme of the Art of Self-Promotion. Half-day workshop included a session on CV and personal statement construction, along with optional individual career coaching sessions (October 2011).
o Susan Bulkley Butler, CEO of the SBB Institute for the Development of Women Leaders and Monica Heuer, CFAR, "Your Map, Your Goals: Getting the Most from your Professional Network." Half-day workshop focused on the importance of mentors, sponsors, and networking. Included optional individual career coaching sessions (Oct 2012)
o Ellen Kossek, PhD, Basil S. Turner Professor of Management, Research Director, Susan Bulkley Butler Center for Leadership Excellence, Purdue University, "WorkLife" keynote address and half day workshop to women faculty, presentation to chairs and deans (November 11, 2013)
- Annual American Medical Women's Association (AMWA) student mentoring program
o Faculty Sponsor for AMWA Student Interest Group at IUSM
o AMWA Mentoring Mixers for medical and graduate students, residents, fellows, post-docs and faculty (Oct 2013, Jan 2014, Feb 2014)
- Annual Promotion \& Tenure workshops specifically for women faculty
- Stepping Stones of Women in Leadership Luncheon Series - Interviews about personal and professional milestones with a woman in leadership roles that take place in front of an audience, followed by Q\&A.
o Judy Monroe, MD, Indiana State Health Commissioner (February 2008)
o Ora Pescovitz, MD, Executive Associate Dean for Research, CEO of Riley Children's Hospital, Interim Vice President for Research Administration, Indiana University (March 2008)
o Lisa Harris, MD, CEO of Wishard Hospital (April 2008)
o Janice Blum, PhD, Professor of Microbiology and Immunology, Co-Director of the Center for Immunobiology (February 2009)
o Virginia Caine, MD, Director of the Marion County Health Dept., Associate Professor of Medicine, Division of Infectious Disease (March 2009)
o Valerie Jackson, MD, Chair, Department of Radiology (April 2009)
o Mary Fisher, PhD, RN, Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, IUPUI (March 2010)
o Sharon Moe, MD, Vice Chair for Research, Department of Medicine (April 2010)
o Flora Hammond, MD, Chair, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (May 2010)
o Maryellen Gusic, MD, Executive Associate Dean for Educational Affairs (November 2010)
o Joye Carter, MD, Chief Forensic Pathologist, Marion County Coroner's office (March 2011)
o Jean Molleston, MD, Director, Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology (March 2011)
o Kathy Johnson, PhD, Chair, Department of Psychology, IUPUI (April 2011)
o Cherri Hobgood, MD, Chair, Department of Emergency Medicine (February 2012)
o Kathryn Jones, PhD, Chair, Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology (March 2012)
o Dawn Rhodes, Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration, IUPUI (April 2012)
o Alicia Monroe, MD, Vice Dean for Educational Affairs, University of South Florida Health Morsani College of Medicine (January 2013)
o Katherine Peck, MBA, Executive Associate Dean for Administration, Operations, and Finance (February 2013)
o Theresa Guise, MD, Jerry and Peggy Throgmartin Professor of Oncology (March 2013)
o D. Craig Brater, MD, Walter J. Daly Professor, Dean of IU School of Medicine, Vice President for University Clinical Affairs. Presented in special session of this program (April 2013)
0 Sheryl Allen, MD, Associate Dean for Student Affairs, IUSM (scheduled Jan 2014)
o Sharon Andreoli, MD Bryon P. and Frances D. Hollett Professor of Pediatrics and
Director of the Division of Pediatric Nephrology (scheduled Jan 2014)
o Tatiana Foroud, PhD, P. Michael Conneally Professor of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Chancellor's Professor, Director of Hereditary Genomics Division, IUSM (scheduled Feb 2014)
o Nicole Keith, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Kinesiology, IUPUI, Research Scientist, Indiana University Center for Aging Research (scheduled Mar 2014)
o Jodi Smith, MD, PhD John E. Kalsbeck Professor and Director of Pediatric Neurosurgery, Riley Hospital for Children (scheduled April 2014)
- "The End of Training, the Beginning of your Career" cosponsor (with IU Center of Excellence in Women's Health, American Medical Women's Association (AMWA) Student Interest Group, and Indiana Medical Society) for event for graduating women residents and fellows to network with practicing women physicians and learn negotiation skills (April 2011; March 2012; April 2013)
- "Negotiating the Divide" cosponsor (with IU Center of Excellence in Women's Health, American Medical Women's Association (AMWA) Student Interest Group, Indiana Medical Society) event for women students, residents, fellows, faculty (scheduled April 2014)


## One-time Events

- Three Town Hall Meetings open to all women faculty as part of needs assessment (Sept 2007)
- "The History of Women at the IUSM" project for National Women's History Month (March 2008). Project involved researching notable women, historical documents, and developing a timeline of events across the approximate 100 year history of the IUSM; included both a physical display in Medical Sciences Building and a web-based display available at: http://faculty.medicine.iu.edu/offices/ow/index.html
- Women's Advisory Council Luncheon: Carol Tavris, PhD, "Looking Backward, Moving Forward: the Path to Gender Equality" (May 2008)
- Co-sponsored (with IUPUI Office for Women, Office for Faculty Appointments and Advancement, and Preparing Future Faculty Program) Audio-conference and discussion on "Promoting Faculty Careers for Women" (June 2008)
- Women's Advisory Council 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Anniversary Party to celebrate accomplishments in our first two years (December 2008)
- "Gender Bias in Search Committees" workshop provided to search committee members, chairs, and deans by Cornell Interactive Theatre Ensemble (March 2009, November 2009)
- "The Next Hurdle: From Associate to Full Professor" Event for women at the associate rank to discuss, share resources, and find peer support regarding promotion to full professor (August 2009)
- "A Lady Alone: the Life of Elizabeth Blackwell, First American Woman Doctor" hosted onewoman play by Linda Gray Kelley about the life of Elizabeth Blackwell. Two performances as part of the "Changing the Face of Medicine exhibit of the National Library of Medicine (August 2010)


## Sponsorships and Nominations

- Women faculty nominated for awards:
o Margaret Blythe, MD, nominated and received: Indiana Torchbearer Award by the Indiana Commission for Women - the highest award given by the state of Indiana to a woman citizen for making Indiana a better state in which to live, work, and raise a family (March 2009)
o Mary Rouse, MD, nominated and received: Indiana Torchbearer Award, Keeper of the Light category by the Indiana Commission for Women - the highest award given by the state of Indiana to a woman citizen for making Indiana a better state in which to live, work, and raise a family. Keeper of the Light category is for an "unsung hero" (March 2009)
o Lisa Harris, MD, nominated for Indiana Torchbearer Award by the Indiana Commission for Women (nominated for 2010 award).
o Mary Austrom, PhD, nominated: Women in Technology Leading Light, Award for Mentoring (December 2007)
o Mary Ciccarelli, MD, nominated: Women in Technology Leading Light, Award for Educational Leadership (December 2007)
o Annette C. Douglas-Akinwande, MD, nominated: IUPUI Women's History Month Leadership Award (March 2009)
o Valerie Jackson, MD, nominated: Marion Spencer Fay Award (October 2009)
o Karen West, MD, nominated and received: IUPUI Women's History Month Leadership Award, veteran faculty category(March 2010)
o Nadia Carlesso, MD, nominated and received: IUPUI Women's History Month Leadership Award, newcomer faculty category (March 2010)
o Julie Welch, MD, nominated and received: IUPUI Women's History Month Leadership Award, part-time category (March 2010)
o Janice Blum, PhD, nominated: Vanderbilt Prize in Biomedical Sciences (Jan 2011)
o Melissa Kacena, PhD, nominated and received: IUPUI Women's History Month Leadership Award (March 2012)
o Theresa Guise, MD, nominated: Margaret L. Kripke Legend Award for Women in Cancer Medicine and Cancer Science (October 2012)
o Tatiana Foroud, PhD, nominated and finalist: Marion Spencer Fay Award of the Institute for Women's Health and Leadership at Drexel University College of Medicine (January 2013)
o Theresa Guise, MD, nominated: Margaret L. Kripke Legend Award for Women in Cancer Medicine and Cancer Science (October 2013)
- Sponsorships of faculty to attend leadership development programs:
o Association of American Medical Colleges Mid-Career Women's Professional Development Conference
- Erica Eugster, MD (Dec 2007)
- Linda DiMeglio, MD, MPH (Dec 2008)
- Mary Johnson, PhD (Dec 2008)
- Jennie Thurston, PhD (Dec 2009)
- Jodi Smith, MD (Dec 2009)
- Susan Cordes, MD (Dec 2010)
- Debra Kirkpatrick, MD (Dec 2010)
- Sheryl Allen, MD (Dec 2010)
- Anna Dusick, MD (Dec 2010)
- Emily Walvoord, MD (Dec 2010)
- Bobbi Byrne, MD (Dec 2011)
- Yara Catoira Boyle, MD (Dec 2011)
- Nadia Carlesso, MD, PhD (Dec 2012)
- Annette Douglas, MD (Dec 2013)
- Karen E. Pollok, PhD (Dec 2013)

O Association of American Medical Colleges Early Career Women's Professional Development Conference

- Erin Krebs, MD (July 2008)
- Melissa Kacena, PhD (July 2008)
- Jennifer Choi, MD (July 2008)
- Meenakshi Garg, MD, MPH (July 2009)
- Monet Bowling, MD (July 2009)
- Rachel Vreeman, MD (July 2009)
- Julie Welch, MD (application supported for July 2010)
- Attaya Suvannasankha, MD (application supported for July 2010)
- Julie Welch, MD (July 2011)
- Marly Bradley (July 2011)
- Rebekah Williams (July 2012)
- Lynne Racette, PhD (applicant for July 2013 seminar)
- Carmella Evans-Molina, MD, PhD (July 2013 seminar)

0 Hedwig van Ameringen Executive Leadership in Academic Medicine (ELAM) program

- Abigail Klemsz, MD, PhD, ELAM class of 2009-2010
o Mary Dankoski, PhD, ELAM class of 2010-2011
o Cherri Hobgood, MD, ELAM class of 2013-2014
o Irina Petrache, MD, ELAM class of 2013-2014
o Deanna Willis, MD, applicant for ELAM class of 2014-2015
- Sponsored student interest group officers to attend national conference of the American Medical Women's Association
o Elizabeth Jones (March 2009)
o Christina Chrisman (March 2009)
o Laura Kruter (March 2009, also presented a research poster)
o Sarah Durnbauch (March 2010)
o Annie Effinger (March 2010)
o Rhiannon Amodeo-Bankert (April 2011)
o Stephanie Martin (April 2011)
o Amy Hale (April 2012)
o Smita Mahapatra (April 2012)
o Rachel Teat (March 2013)
o Sable Amstutz (March 2013)
o Michelle Welsh (March 2014)
o Lori Amber Meyers (March 2014)


## Advocacy and Analyses

- IUSM Report on Women in Academic Medicine and Science, disseminated at inaugural Women's Advisory Council meeting (Dec 2006)
- Analysis of executive leadership searches by gender from 1996-2007 (Fall 2007)
- Analysis of promotion and tenure rates by gender, 2000 - 2007 (Fall 2008; now published annually in State of the Faculty Report)
- Letter written in support for proposal to extend tenure clock from 7-9 years (2008)
- Advocacy in support of health care benefits for part time faculty (including meetings and letter written to IU President's Blue Ribbon Committee on Health Care Cost Containment; 2008-2009)
- Discussion at Women's Advisory Council meeting with John Fitzgerald, MD, CEO of the (then) Indiana Clinic (now IU Health Physicians; April 2009)
- SMAART (subcommittee on mentoring, academic advancement, retention, and tenure) contacted women faculty after their $3^{\text {rd }}$ year review and women faculty with K-awards to assess mentoring needs and offer to match them with mentors (2009)
- Subcommittees formed to focus on issues specific to women investigators (on "soft money"), mentoring, and the impact of IU Health Physicians (in addition to standing nominations committee and the continued subcommittee on part-time/flexible career issues; 2010-2011)
- Subcommittee served on focus group and successfully advocated in support of paid maternity leave policy in IU Health Physicians (2009-2010)
- Explored a potential corporate partnership with an online childcare search service that provides matchmaking for faculty seeking child and elder care (2009-2010, not funded)
- Hosted leaders from the American Council on Education (ACE) at a Women's Advisory Council meeting to discuss faculty career flexibility issues unique to academic medicine (Aug 2010); representatives from IUSM attended follow up ACE/Sloan Foundation meeting by invitation to discuss this issue across academic medicine (Sept 2010)
- Subcommittee developed an exit interview to better understand attrition and investigate differences by gender (survey live as of Jan 2011)
- Subcommittee investigated work-life policies at Indiana University in comparison with other schools in the Big 10 conference; paper in the Journal of Women's Health, posters at the AAMC Group on Women in Medicine and Science annual session (Nov 2010), and Group on Faculty Affairs (March 2011)
- Survey conducted of women faculty in the IUSM to assess their priorities for programming and advocacy (June 2011)
- Biennial IUSM Faculty Vitality Survey® allows for comparisons by gender on faculty satisfaction, engagement, and productivity. This research has been presented locally and nationally at conferences of the Association of American Medical Colleges Group on Faculty Affairs (2019, 2011, 2012), and Group on Women in Medicine and Science (2011, 2012). Information available: http://faculty.medicine.iu.edu/vitality/index.htm|
- IUSM State of the Faculty Report published annually since 2008; shows faculty demographic data (including by gender). Available at: http://faculty.medicine.iu.edu/facts.html
- Analysis of changes in the representation of women in the IUSM over time (from 20082012), Available: http://faculty.medicine.iu.edu/offices/ow/index.html
- Proposal to UME Assistant Dean to include Sex and Gender Medicine in curricular reform efforts for UME medical student education (2013)
- Subcommittee developed to examine Dependent Care options on campus to propose expanded options (2013)
- Subcommittee developed to examine Part-Time Faculty Policies and Status at IUSM (2013)
- Participated in the IUPUI Taskforce on the Status of Women 20 year report (2013-2014)


## Appendix H

## Purdue University School of Science

## Women in Science Association



## Appendix I

Purdue University
School of Engineering and Technology

Initiatives to Recruit, Retain, and Support Female students

## Society of Women Engineers student organization

- Terri Talbert-Hatch and Jessica McCormick (Butler program) are advisers
- Society of Women Engineers (SWE) sponsors and coordinates various activities to connect female students on campus and in the school. Some activities are just for fun such as Mr. Engineer (like a Ms. America competition) and some connect students with alums. Students have the opportunity to attend Regional and National Conferences
- Partnering with Central SWE professional chapter to host Wow! That's engineering each year for grade school and middle school girls
- In 2014 SWE has an all-girl go-kart team for the Purdue Grand Prix
- In February, 2014 SWE is co-sponsoring a Girls Scout event (Introduce a Girl to STEM) with Undergraduate Women in Science
- In 2014, SWE is working with McKenzie Career Center (Lawrence Township) to develop an afterschool program for Girls in Engineering.
- Funding for all activities come from Engineering Tech Student Council or other donations


## Connections

- The inaugural event will be held in February, 2014. This is a networking event to connect female graduates with current female students.


## Summer Camps

- POWER (Preparing Outstanding Women for Engineering Roles) is in the $9^{\text {th }}$ year of hosting high school girls for a weeklong residential camp. Many of the attendees attend IUPUI.
- Win IT (Women in IT) had a pilot program last year. This weeklong residential camp is held the same week as POWER camp with an emphasis on information technology.
o Both camps are run by engineering and technology female students who receive a minimal scholarship for volunteering and assisting with activities. Campers pay a minimal fee and support is received from various Central Indiana companies such as Rolls Royce and Cummins.


## Carrier Scholar

- Carrier (UTC) on the Westside of Indianapolis provides an annual scholarship in the amount of $\$ 6,000$ and a guaranteed summer internship for one student per year. This is underrepresented students which includes females.
- Student Services is working IUPUI Admissions to develop a strategic recruitment plan to increase the number of female students studying engineering/engineering technology. The plan will include targeted messaging including emails and postcards to female prospects based upon PSAT, SAT and other lists purchased by the Office of Admissions.
- Currently working on the creation of a webpage for Women in Engineering.
- Developed poster series displayed on the first floor lobby of ET highlighting successful female grad.


## Appendix J

## IUPUI School of Liberal Arts Women's Studies Program

## IU School of Liberal Arts (Women's Studies)

Women's Studies brings together faculty who explore issues of women, gender and sexuality in their teaching, research and service. Interdisciplinary in nature, WOST explores a wide range of issues as seen through these lenses and should increase students' understanding of how these issues effect culture. The critical thinking involved in these analyses will help students make a more meaningful contribution wherever their career paths and future engagements may lead. A degree in Women's Studies will enhance a student's effectiveness in virtually any career:

For careers in law or social service: Women's Studies gives insight into social realities that oppress women, such as rape, abuse, sexual harassment, and job discrimination. For careers in biology, medicine, counseling, nursing or other allied health professions: Women's Studies offer an understanding of women's health-both physical and mental. For careers in education or journalism: Women's Studies assists students in developing the critical thinking and communication skills essential to teachers and journalists For careers in business: Women's Studies can teach students to understand how gender might influence management styles, marketing techniques and investing habits.

- Minors: As of December 2013, and before removing graduates, we had 21 declared minors. Over the past years we estimate approximately 67 students have graduated with a WOST minor.
- We receive 500.00 per year toward our operating budget from SLA.
- Each fall, we send out a newsletter highlighting our activities and accomplishments.
- We co-sponsor programs each year that bring in speakers for our Themed Learning Community (e.g., Jeanette Lee, Eva Kor, Patricia R. Miller)
- Each spring the WOST program hosts a reception for students, faculty and donors, to honor recipients of awards and scholarships. This year's date is tentatively April 18, 2014, from 4 until 8 in CA 508.
- Each year we send students and faculty to the Women's and Gender Studies conference at Indiana University. In 2013 ten students were accepted to present their research. Two faculty members accompanied them from IUPUI.
- We offer 2-3 W105 (Introduction to Women's Studies) classes each semester, and 2-3 in the summer. Our courses are face-to-face, online, and are offered as part of a Themed Learning Community. Under W300 we are able to offer specialized courses, such as Women and the Law, that are typically cross-listed with other departments. We offer 15-20 cross listed courses per semester which can count toward the Women's Studies minor. For example, in spring 2014 the following courses are offered:

ENG-L 207 WOMEN AND LITERATURE (3 CR)

## HERRON ART (HER)

HER-H 340 WOMEN IN ART (3 CR)
HEALTH, PHYS ED, \& RECREATION (HPER)
HPER-F 255 HUMAN SEXUALITY (3 CR)
HPER-H 305 WOMEN'S HEALTH (3 CR)
HPER-H 317 TEACHING HUMAN SEXUALITY EDUC (3 CR)

## JOURNALISM (JOUR)

JOUR-J 475 RACE, GENDER \& THE MEDIA (3 CR)
LABOR STUDIES (LSTU)
LSTU-L 290 SEXUAL HARASSMENT (1 CR)
LSTU-L 385 CLASS, RACE, GENDER \& WORK (3 CR)
LSTU-L 390 WOMEN AND DEVELOPMENT (3 CR)

## MUSIC (MUS)

MUS-Z 320 WOMEN MUSICIANS (3 CR)
POLITICAL SCIENCE (POLS)
POLS-Y 380 GENDER AND THE LAW (3 CR)
SOCIOLOGY (SOC)
SOC-R 325 GENDER AND SOCIETY (3 CR)

We offer the following the scholarships:

## Arminda B. and Jean C. Bepko Scholarship in Women's Studies:

This award provides a scholarship for an outstanding student minoring in Women's Studies at IUPUI. Award is up to 1500.00.

## Dolores Donchin Memorial Service Award:

This award honors an IUPUI student who has made a substantial contribution to Women's Studies related service. Award is 100.00.

## Indianapolis Women's Rotary Club Scholarship:

This award assists adult returning undergraduate students at IUPUI. Preference is given to women age 21 or older. Award is up to 1500.00 .

## Friends of Women's Studies Scholarship:

This scholarship assists students minoring or majoring in the Women’s Studies Program at IUPUI. Award is up to 500.00.

We offer the following writing and research contests:

## Anne Donchin Graduate Research Contest in Women's Studies:

This award honors an outstanding graduate paper written for an IUPUI graduate class written within the past fifteen months, addressing women, women's studies, or topics of special concern to women. Award is up to 250.00.

Women's Studies Undergraduate Research and Essay Contest:
These awards honors an outstanding undergraduate research paper and essay written for an IUPUI undergraduate class written within the past fifteen months, addressing women, women's studies, or topics of special concern to women. Award is up to 100.00.

## Appendix K

## IUPUI HERS/Bryn Mawr

## Program Alumni

1987-2013

## IUPUI HERS/Bryn Mawr Summer Institute for Women in Higher Education Administration

The IUPUI administration has provided funding to send 46 women faculty and staff members to this prestigious institute since 1987. The Summer Institute is designed for women who want to advance in higher education administration. The purpose of the program is to "improve the status of women in the middle and executive levels of higher education administration."

More than half of the IUPUI summer institute alums continue to work at IUPUI in leadership capacities today. There have been 24 faculty females and 22 professional staff females that have attended the institute from IUPUI over the years. This has created a cadre of women leaders on campus who have been available to take on increasing administrative responsibilities or move into campus leadership positions in both faculty and administrative positions. Some examples are: Amy Conrad Warner, Vice Chancellor for External Affairs; Mary Fisher, Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs; Sherree Wilson, Assistant Dean of the Faculties; Kathryn Wilson, Assistant Vice Chancellor for Research; Lillian Charleston, Affirmative Action Officer; Cathy Buyarski, Assistant Dean and Executive Director of Academic and Career Planning, University College; Jonna MacDougall, Assistant Dean for Institutional Advancement, IU McKinney School of Law; Beth Barnett, Director, Office of Student Scholarships, Professor Marianne Wokeck, Associate Dean, IU School of Liberal Arts, Karen Bravo, Associate Dean IU McKinney School of Law ; Angela Espada, Assistant Associate Chancellor for Diversity, Equity and Inclusion, and Gina Gibau, Associate Dean, IU School of Liberal Arts to name a few.

For a variety of reasons, women are not as mobile in their careers as are men. This has worked to IUPUI's benefit. Of the 46 women sent to the summer institute since 1987 we still have 22 females working on campus in various leadership and administrative capacities. Of these, the greatest attrition has been among the faculty members with 3 of the faculty members leaving for other universities and 9 of the faculty members having retired. Among the staff members 4 have left for other positions and 2 have retired.

Because funding for the institute has fluctuated in the last several years due to changes in administrative leadership and budget constraints, no individual was funded for the 2011 or 2012 institutes.

## Program Alums on Campus

1987-2013

1. Amy Conrad Warner, Vice Chancellor for Communications and Marketing
2. Karen Black, Planning and Institutional Advancement
3. Jonna Kane MacDougall, Associate Dean, IU McKinney School of Law,
4. Regina Turner, Associate Professor, Communications
5. Kim S. Nguyen, UCASE
6. Mary Fisher, Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs
7. Janice Froehlich, IU School of Medicine
8. Karen R. Johnson, Professor, English
9. Marianne S. Wokeck Director, Institute for American Thought, IU School of Liberal Arts
10. Catherine Buyarski, Executive Associate Dean, University College
11. Amy A. Jones, Special Assistant to the Dean, School of Liberal Arts
12. Andrea Engler, Director of Student Orientation
13. Eugenia Fernandez, Associate Professor, Computer Technology
14. Jacqueline Blackwell, Associate Professor, IU School of Education
15. Deborah Grew, Advisor, IU School of Nursing
16. Beth Barnette, Director of Scholarships
17. Angela Espada, Assistant Associate Chancellor for Diversity
18. Kim White-Mills, Associate Professor, Communication Studies
19. Kristen Hoffmann-Longtin, Director of Programs and Evaluation, IU School of Medicine, Office of Faculty Affairs and Professional
20. Deborah Stiffler, Associate Professor, IU School of Nursing
21. Karen Bravo, IU McKinney School of Law
22. Gina Gibau, IU School of Liberal Arts, Anthropology

## Appendix L

## Programming of the IUPUI Office for Women 2004-2012

## 2004-05 IUPUI Office for Women Programming

- "Vision, Voices, and Votes" Symposium, Women and the Political Process
- Crossing Michigan Street - Information for your Good Health: "Women's Health Across the Life Span"
- Crossing Michigan Street - Information for your Good Health: "Anxiety Disorders" Crossing Michigan Street - Information for your Good Health: "Obesity and its Consequences"
- Crossing Michigan Street - Information for your Good Health: "Coping with Holiday Stress"
- Crossing Michigan Street - Information for your Good Health: "Contraception"
- Crossing Michigan Street - Information for your Good Health: "Is my Relationship Healthy or Abusive? How to Tell the Difference"
- Crossing Michigan Street- Information for your Good Health: "IUPUI Resources for Victims of Violence"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Promotion as a Community Process"
- Partnering for Promotion: What Makes a Full Professor?"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Creating Your Developmental Plan"
- Partnering for Promotion: "The Current Campus Guidelines"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Excellence/School Guidelines/Peer Review"
- Partnering for Promotion: "The Personal Statement"
- Partnering for Promotion: "The Dossier"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Reconsideration and/or Grievance"
- Film Series: "Killing Us Softly" - Media Images of Women
- Film Series: "Standing on My Sister's Shoulders"- Women Civil Rights Leaders of Mississippi
- Film Series: "Iron Jawed Angels" Film - Women’s Suffrage
- Film Series: "Ruthie and Connie: Every Room in the House" - LGBTQ Civil Rights
- Romance and Responsibility- Making Conscious Choices
- Spring Break Health Hazards and Resource Fair
- Single Mothers Social
- Women's Leadership Reception and Leadership Awards


## 2005-06 IUPUI Office for Women Programming

- Crossing Michigan Street - Information for Your Good Health: "STDs: What You Don't Know May Surprise You"
- Crossing Michigan Street - Information for Your Good Health: "Menopause and HRT"
- Crossing Michigan Street - Information for Your Good Health: "Learning Disorders in Adults"
- Crossing Michigan Street - In formation for Your Good Health: Walking the Labyrinth as Mediation
- Partnering for Promotion: "Promotion as a Community Process"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Knowing When you are Ready and Getting There"
- Partnering for Promotion: "What are the Steps and who is Involved?"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Writing the Dossier as a Personal Journey"
- Film Series: "Ruthie and Connie: Every Room in the House"
- Film Series: "Talking 9 to 5: Women and Men in the Workplace"
- Spirit and Place Festival: Women and the Professions -"Who moves, who stays, and why some of us choose to stay home"
- Spirit and Place Festival: Balancing career, family, \& life
- Leadership Training Opportunities with HERS
- Spring Break Health Hazards and Resource Fair
- Hazelett Women in Leadership Forum: Cheryl Bachelder, CEO of KFC
- "Leadership and Learning in the Context of Gender Differences" with Gender Consultant, Bonita Banducci
- Women's Leadership Reception and Leadership Awards


## 2006-07 IUPUI Office for Women Programming

- Partnering for Promotion: "Promotion as a Community Process"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Writing an Effective Personal Statement"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Creating Your Developmental Plan"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Building Bridges for Faculty of Color in Higher Education"
- Film Series: "Celebrating Hispanic Heritage: Adelante Mujeres!"
- Film Series: "The Legacy of Rosa Parks"
- Spotlight on Scholarship: Women in the Political Arena
- Gender and Investing Part I: "Who Handles the Money? His and Hers Styles of Investing"
- Gender and Investing Part II: Investing Styles of Men \& Women
- Love Your Body Day Celebration
- Leadership Development Opportunities for Women: Panel Discussion
- "A Tea Honoring Sara Gould, President of the Ms. Foundation"
- National Eating Disorders Awareness Week: Resource Fair
- "Hazelett Women in Leadership" with Dr. Joanne Ciulla
- Spring Break Health Hazards and Resource Fair
- Women's Leadership Reception and Leadership Awards
- 10th Anniversary Luncheon of IUPUI Office for Women with keynote by Dr. Ora Pescovitz


## 2007-08 IUPUI Office for Women Programming

- Partnering for Promotion: "Promotion as a Community Process"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Writing an Effective Candidate's Statement"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Reflections and Advice from the Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs"
- Partnering for Promotion: "The Role of Teaching in the Quest for Promotion"
- "Spotlight Scholarship: Dr. Nancy Robertson - "Christian Sisterhood, Race Relations, and the YWCA, 1906-1946"
- Film Series: "Kinaalda"
- Film Series: "I Was a Teenage Feminist"
- "Investing 101" with Dr. Shirley Mueller
- Love Your Body Day Celebration
- Spirit and Place Festival: the Spirit of Women’s Philanthropy: rooted in generosity; engaged in social change
- IUPUI Nursing Mother's Room Open House
- "Advancing Women in Leadership Symposium: Session I"
- "Advancing Women in Leadership Symposium: Session II"
- Spring Break Health Fair
- Hazelett Women in Leadership with Dr. Frances Cordova, President, Purdue University
- Women's History Month Lecture by Claudia Labin, playwright and author
- Women's Leadership Reception and Leadership Awards
- IUPUI Take Back the Night


## 2008-09 IUPUI Office for Women Programming

- Partnering for Promotion: "The Successful Academic Citizen: Balancing Focus and Community"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Writing a Successful Candidate's Statement"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Advice from the Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, Uday Sukhatme"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Representing the Impact of Your Achievements in Your Dossier"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Excellence in Teaching and Service and How to Document It"
- LUNAFEST IUPUI 2008: Women's Film Festival and Silent Auction
- Film Series: "Iron Jawed Angles" - Women's Suffrage
- Film Series: "The Right to Be" - Native American Women
- Total Career Makeover: Career Mapping, Part I
- Total Career Makeover: Gender and Communication
- "Leadership Development Opportunities for Women": Panel Discussion
- "Women Taking the Lead to Save Our Planet" Panel
- Miz Wizard's Science Secrets -Written and Performed by Jane Curry
- Spring Break Health Fair
- Women's Leadership Reception and Leadership Awards
- "Speak Out" Against Sexual Assault


## 2009-10 IUPUI Office for Women Programming

- Partnering for Promotion: "The Successful Academic"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Advice from the Executive Vice Chancellor"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Writing a Successful Candidate's Statement"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Building the Dossier"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Excellence in Teaching and Service"
- Partnering for Promotion: "Excellence in Research"
- Total Career Makeover: "Designing Your Personal Career Map"
- Total Career Makeover: "Communication Styles at Work"
- Total Career Makeover: "Mentoring as a 'Must-Have' for Career Building"
- Total Career Makeover: "Career Advancement from the Perspective of a Human Resources Professional"
- \$tart \$mart Workshop 1
- \$tart \$mart Workshop 2
- \$tart \$mart Workshop 3
- Intercampus Coalition for the Advancement of Women, Fall Workshop
- Working Women in Transition, co-sponsored with the Indiana Commission on Women and the IUPUI Community Learning Network
- Hazelett Women in Leadership Forum: Patricia Miller, Founder of Vera Bradley, Inc., Cosponsored with the IU Tobias Center for Leadership Excellence
- The Story of Madam CJ Walker: Writing My Great-great Grandmother Back into History with A'lelia Bundles
- Women's Leadership Reception and Leadership
- Women Creating Excellence at IUPUI: online archival exhibit, Reception at Cedar Crest
- LUNAFEST IUPUI 2010: Women’s Film festival


## 2010-11 Office for Women Programming

- Professional Development Book Club: The Female Vision: Women's Real Power at Work, Sally Helgesen and Julie Johnson
- Professional Development Book Club and Phone Conversation with Author Wander Woman, How High-Achieving Women Find Contentment and Direction, Marcia Reynolds
- Professional Development Book Club: Women at the Top, Powerful Leaders Tell Us How to Combine Work and Family, Diane F. Halpern and Fanny M. Cheung
- Professional Development Book Club: Ask For It: How Women Can Use the Power of Negotiation to Get What They Really Want by Linda Babcock and Sara Laschever
- Working Women in Transition Conference, Co-sponsored with Indiana Commission on Women and IU Kelley School of Business
- Week without Violence and the Clothesline Project, Co- sponsored with IUPUI Office of Student Involvement and the Indianapolis YWCA
- Spotlight on Scholarship - The Birthplace of Souls, the Civil War Nursing Diary of Harriet Eaton with Professor Jane Schultz, Department of English, IU School of Liberal Arts
- Hazelett Women in Leadership Forum: An Evening with Angela Brown, Co-sponsoredwith the IU Tobias Center for Leadership Excellence
- National Health Insurance and Women's Health Policy in Taiwan, a presentation by Dr. Hsiu-Hung Wang, Dean of the College of Nursing at Kaohsiung Medical University in Taiwan, Co-sponsored with the IU School of Nursing, Sigma Theta Tau- Alpha chapter, IUPUI Office of International Affairs
- Recruitment Luncheon with the Junior League of Indianapolis
- Community Night at the Indianapolis Art Center in honor of National Women's History Month
- Indiana Commission on Women Listening Session, Hosted by the IUPUI Office for Women and the Women's Studies Program of the IU School of Liberal Arts Indianapolis
- Start Smart Workshop, Sponsored by the IUPUI Office for Women and the Student AfricanAmerican Sisterhood
- Film Series: Made in Dagenham, co-sponsored with the University Library Diversity Council
- A Concert of Women's Stories - Music By and About Women, Hosted by Music and Arts Technology, School of Engineering and Technology, IUPUI Music Academy Asian Pacific Association of Faculty and Staff Council and IUPUI Office for Women
- Women's Leadership Reception and Leadership Awards


## 2011-12 Office for Women Programming

- Professional Development Book Club: "Disappearing Acts: Gender, Power and Relational Practice at Work" by Joyce K. Fletcher (repeat)
- Professional Development Book Club: "Mama PhD: Women Write about Motherhood and Academic Life" edited by Elrena Evans and Caroline Grant
- Professional Development Book Club: "Career GPS," Ella L.J. Edmondson Bell
- Professional Development Book Club: "From Oppression to Grace: Women of Color and Their Dilemmas within the Academy, edited by Theodorea Regina Berry and Nathalie D. Mizelle
- Professional Development Book Club: "Gendered Innovations in Science and Engineering" edited by Londa Schiebinger
- Financial Fitness Series with G. Joyce Foster, CFP, MBA: Part I. "How Do I Even Start to Prepare for Retirement"
- Financial Fitness Series with G. Joyce Foster, CFP, MBA: Part II. "Making Sure I don't outlive my Retirement savings"
- Financial Fitness Series with G. Joyce Foster, CFP, MBA: "Now that I am about to graduate, how will I support myself, pay on my student loans, buy car or pay for a home etc. etc. Will I have to go home to live with my parents?"
- Hazelett Women in Leadership Forum: An Evening with Tamika Catchings, Co-sponsored with the IU Tobias Center for Leadership Excellence
- Opening Reception: Women Creating Excellence at IUPUI, multi-media exhibit in Cultural Arts Gallery, Campus Center
- Women Creating Excellence at IUPUI, multi-media exhibit in Cultural Arts Gallery in honor of $15^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of IUPUI Office for Women, Campus Center, January 9-27, 2012
- Women, Peace and Reconciliation in West Africa with Nobel Peace Prize winner, Leymah Gbowee, co-sponsored with other campus units
- Cultural Leadership Luncheon with Dr. Sandra Petronio "Education as Empowerment" cosponsored with Office of Student Involvement
- Jane Curry in "Nice Girls Don't Sweat", one-woman performance in honor of $40^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of Title IX, Co-sponsored with the Office of Student Involvement, Women's Studies Program of the IU School of Liberal Arts, Indianapolis, IUPUI Athletics and the NCAA Office of Inclusion
- "Empowering Youth for Social Justice: A Conversation with Ethiopian-Israeli Activist, Elisheva Darar" Co-sponsored with the IUPUI Office for International Affairs, and the IU School of Public and Environmental Affairs
- The 3 Beats Trio, a musical performance in honor of Women's History Month, co-sponsored with IUPUI Music Academy
- Women's Leadership Reception and Leadership Awards


## Appendix M

## IUPUI Task on the Status of Women at IUPUI Task Force Members

## 2013-14 Task Force on the Status of Women at IUPUI

## Task Force Members:

Rachael Applegate, Ph.D., Chair, Department of Library and Information Science; Associate Professor, Library and Information Science

Mary E. Dankoski, Ph.D., Associate Dean for Faculty Affairs and Professional Development; Vice Chair for Faculty and Academic Affairs, Department of Family Medicine; and Lester D. Bibler Scholar and Associate Professor of Family Medicine

Aron E. DiBacco, Associate Faculty, Communication Studies; Chair of the Staff Council Committee on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

Catherine A. Dobris, Ph.D., Associate Professor of Communication Studies, Adjunct Professor of English, Adjunct Professor Women's Studies

Isabel Fawcett, Employee Relations Consultant, Human Resources Administration
Kim D. Kirkland, Ed.D., Director, Office of Equal Opportunity; Task Force Chair
Diana Sims-Harris, Associate Director, Office of Student Involvement
Yolanda Taylor, Employment Consultant, Human Resources Administration
Richard E. Ward, Ph.D., Director, Center for Research and Learning; Professor of Anthropology and Dentistry

Julie Welch, M.D., Assistant Dean of Faculty Affairs and Professional Development
Jane Williams, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Psychology

## Support Team:

Mary L. Fisher, Ph.D., Professor, School of Nursing
Kathy Surina Grove, J.D., Director, Office for Women
Carol J. McGarry, Assistant Dean of the Faculties; Faculty Appointments and Advancement
Rick Morgan, Data Specialist, Office of Equal Opportunity
Gary Pike, Ph.D., Executive Director of Information Management and Institutional Research; Associate Professor, Higher Education and Student Affairs

Britta K. Peter, Management Analyst, Planning and Institutional Improvements


[^0]:    Source: Office of Academic Affairs

[^1]:    Source: Office for Women and the Multicultural Pedagogy Research Group

[^2]:    Source: IUPUI Athletics Department

[^3]:    Sample: $\mathrm{M}=4.02, \mathrm{SD}=1.74, \mathrm{n}=232$

