INDIANA'S WORKFORCE AND ECONOMY

DECEMBER 2006

inside

Guarded Optimism for 2007	1
Coasts Cost Most: Monthly Homeowner Costs	2
Growth Regions: A Side by Side Comparison	3
Monthly Metrics: Indiana's Economic Indicators	e
Regional Labor Force and Unemployment Rates	7
The Butcher, the Baker and the Candlestick-Maker Revisited: Indiana's New Skills-Based Career Clusters	8
A Nation in Debt: U.S. International Investment	10
Baltic States Part III: Estonia	11

October Unemployment

Indiana's October unemployment rate has dropped from its most recent peak of 5.1 in 2004 to 4.6 in 2006. The U.S. unemployment rate has seen an even larger decline in that time, dropping from the same rate in 2004 to 4.1 percent in 2006.



Life Sciences Collaboration

On February 2, 2007, the IU Kelley Healthcare and Life Sciences Initiative will be hosting its third of four free conferences. The focus of this conference will be on the combination of products in the life sciences industries. Attendees will hear from a world-renowned physician experienced in combination therapies, as well as from academic researchers in the field.

Reserve your seat today: The conference is free, but space is limited. To register or learn more about the conference, visit www.kelley.iu.edu/lifesc/home.htm.

WORKFORCE

Guarded Optimism for 2007

hat is in store for next year's economy? To help answer this question, Indiana University's Kelley School of Business partnered with local economists and traveled the state in November to share national, international and state forecasts. The following are the panel's highlights:

- Growth in gross domestic product (adjusted for inflation) is expected to be about 3 percent, a little slower than in 2006. Inflation (measured by the Consumer Price Index) will decrease slightly to about 3 percent.
- The nation will add about 1.7 million jobs next year, and the unemployment rate may decline slightly.
- The overall housing market will continue to weaken nationally (to a lesser extent in Indiana) but will not experience a total collapse.
- Despite continuing expenditures on Iraq, the government budget deficit will remain about the same.
- The federal funds rate will remain at 5.25 percent for most of the year. The prime rate will also remain

stable, but mortgage rates may rise a little.

- Rising costs of inputs and employee benefits will slow corporate profits to around 6 percent to 8 percent, a smaller rise than in 2006.
- International trade will grow but will not significantly reduce the large trade deficit.
- Employment in Indiana will increase by 20,000 to 25,000 jobs, slower growth than the national average.
- Indiana's manufacturing jobs are forecasted to grow slowly. Jobs in professional and business services, health and education services, and construction are positioned to grow.
- Major risks to the outlook derive from uncertainty about energy prices, the potential problems in the housing sector, and possible destabilizing deficits in the government deficit and the trade balance.

Look for detailed projections in the upcoming *Indiana Business Review*, available online in late December at www.ibrc.indiana.edu/ibr.

FIGURE 1: U.S. JOB CREATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT RATES, 2004 TO 2006



INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Coasts Cost Most: Monthly Homeowner Costs

No homeowner would deny that monthly costs for mortgage, insurance, taxes and utilities take a big chunk of monthly income. Folks living in South Gate, California (southeastern Los Angeles County) might heartily attest to that, with 68 percent of income going to monthly owner costs. Put another way, 68 cents of every dollar of income reported in the survey went, on average, to monthly owner costs.¹

TABLE 1: CITIES WITH THE HIGHEST HOMEOWNER COST-TO-INCOME BURDEN

State	City	Cost-to- Income Ratio
California	South Gate	68.2
New Jersey	Newark	65.1
California	El Monte	63.0
California	Hayward	63.0
California	Baldwin Park	62.5
New Jersey	Paterson	62.4
Illinois	Cicero*	62.3
New Jersey	Elizabeth	61.1
California	Murrieta	60.4
Massachusetts	Lawrence	60.0
California	Richmond	59.9
Florida	Hialeah	59.7
Florida	Miami	58.0
California	Hemet	57.7
California	Daly	57.6
California	Hawthorne	57.2
California	Escondido	57.1
California	Vallejo	57.0
California	El Cajon	56.8
California	East Los Angeles CDP	56.4
California	Inglewood	56.3
Puerto Rico	Mayagüez zona urbana	56.2
California	Norwalk	56.2
California	Salinas	56.2
California	Oakland	55.9
United States		34.5
Town		

Not surprisingly, 17 of the 25 highest burden cities are located in California, all of which have a 55 percent or higher ratio of monthly homeowner costs to income (see **Table** 1). Briefly, these

monthly costs include mortgages, real estate taxes, insurance, utilities and any association fees.² **Table 2** shows the top 10 states with the highest and lowest cost-to-income ratios.

While the average costs-to-income ratio, as calculated by the American Community Survey, was 34.5 percent nationally, 312 cites and towns included in the survey had ratio's higher than the national average. Data are available for eight Indiana cities, shown in **Table 3**. At 40.4 percent, the city of Hammond has the highest costs-to-income ratio, while Fort Wayne has the lowest (25.2 percent).

Of the 24 Indiana counties included in the survey, Morgan County, just southwest of Indianapolis, had the highest ratio at 34.7 percent. On the other end of the spectrum, Bartholomew County (southeast of Indianapolis) had the lowest ratio at 18.8 percent (see **Table 4**).

Notes

- 1. These newly released figures come from the American Community Survey, currently covering geographic areas with populations of 65,000 or more across the United States.
- 2. The data on selected monthly owner costs were obtained from questionnaire Item 14 and Items 20 through 24 in the 2005 American Community Survey. The data were obtained for owner-occupied units. Selected monthly owner costs are the sum of payments for mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts to purchase, or similar debts on the property (including payments for the first mortgage, second mortgages, home equity loans, and other junior mortgages); real estate taxes; fire, hazard, and flood

TABLE 2: STATES WITH THE HIGHEST AND LOWEST BURDENS (COST-TO-INCOME RATIO)



TABLE 3: INDIANA CITIES IN THE SURVEY

 Hammond
 40.4

 Gary
 36.1

 Muncie
 35.1

 South Bend
 34.8

 Evansville
 28.2

 Indianapolis
 26.4

 Bloomington
 25.7

 Fort Wayne
 25.2



TABLE 4: INDIANA COUNTIES IN THE SURVEY

Morgan	34.7	Johnson	25.5
Lake	31.8	Vanderburgh	25.3
Delaware	31.4	Howard	24.8
Kosciusko	29.5	Tippecanoe	22.4
Grant	29.0	Hamilton	21.9
Madison	28.9	Allen	21.7
Hendricks	28.1	Clark	21.4
Monroe	27.3	Bartholomew	18.8
LaPorte	27.2		
Porter	27.0		
Vigo	27.0		
Floyd	26.6		
Marion	26.4		
Wayne	26.3		1K
St. Joseph	26.1		
Elkhart	25.7		

insurance on the property; utilities (electricity, gas, and water and sewer); and fuels (oil, coal, kerosene, wood, etc.). It also includes, where appropriate, the monthly condominium fee for condominiums and mobile home costs (installment loan payments, personal property taxes, site rent, registration fees and license fees).

-Carol Rogers, Executive Editor, Indiana Business Research Center, Kelley School of Business, Indiana University.

Growth Regions: A Side by Side Comparison

Throughout the course of 2006, we have provided an overview of each of the 11 economic growth regions (EGRs). To wrap up this series, we will take a look at how the EGRs compare to each other and the state of Indiana as a whole. Because of the dynamics of Indiana's capital city and surrounding counties, EGR 5

often shows up at the extreme of each comparison.

Population

Since Indianapolis is the largest city in the state and is located in EGR 5, it isn't too surprising that more than one in every four Hoosiers (27.4 percent of the state's population in 2005) call this region home. EGR 1 made up the next largest portion of the state, with 13.5 percent of Indiana's 6.3 million people, which can be attributed in large part to its proximity to Chicago. EGR 7 is home to the fewest number of Hoosiers, making up only 3.5 percent of the state's population (see **Figure 1**). Major cities (that is, with a population of at least 35,000) can be found in each region (see **Figure 2**).





Source: IBRC, using U.S. Census Bureau data

FIGURE 3: CHANGE IN POPULATION BY REGION, 2000 TO 2005



FIGURE 2: PERCENT OF STATE'S POPULATION IN INDIANA'S MAJOR CITIES, 2005



Source: IBRC, using U.S. Census Bureau data

Since 2000, three regions have increased in population faster than the state's 3 percent rate, Regions 5, 9 and 10. Meanwhile, Regions 6 and 7 actually decreased in population over that time period (see **Figure 3**).

Jobs

Regions 5 and 3 employed the highest number of people in the fourth quarter of 2005, with more than 879,000 and 344,000, respectively. At the other end of the spectrum, EGR 7 employed the fewest number of people (about 85,000). This isn't too surprising considering Region 7 is also the smallest in population.

Since the fourth quarter of 2001, Indiana has seen a 1.5 percent increase in jobs. Six EGRs (Regions 2, 5, 8, 9, 10 and 11) surpassed this growth rate. At the same time, Regions 3, 4, and 6 experienced a decline in jobs

FIGURE 4: CHANGE IN JOBS BY REGION, 2001:4 TO 2005:4



Source: IBRC, using Bureau of Labor Statistics data

since 2001, the worst of which was experienced in EGR 6 (see **Figure 4**).

The Indiana Department of Workforce Development maintains a website used to generate reports about which jobs are most sought-after in Indiana. According to the report issued on September 10, 2006, most Hoosiers using the system are looking for assembly work (in factories) and production jobs. In fact, these were the top two jobs being sought after in every economic growth region. When looking at data for the top 20 occupations from

FIGURE 5: PERCENT OF STATE TOTAL LOOKING FOR JOBS IN SPECIFIC OCCUPATIONS BY REGION, SEPTEMBER 2006



Source: IBRC, using Department of Workforce Development data

FIGURE 6: AVERAGE WEEKLY WAGES, 2005:4



"More than 85 percent of the commuters leaving EGR 1 are heading to Chicago. In fact, 34.5 percent of people commuting from Indiana to out of state are working in Cook County, Ill., home to the Windy City."

Source: IBRC, using Bureau of Labor Statistics data

each region individually, 11 showed up in every one. **Figure 5** shows these occupations as a percent of the state total by region.

Wages

Corresponding to the pattern seen with employment, EGR 5 paid the highest average weekly wage in the fourth quarter of 2005 while EGR 7 paid the lowest, \$788 per week vs. \$579 per week (see **Figure 6**). Compare this to Indiana's average of \$705 each week.

Since 2001:4, EGR 7 has also seen the smallest change in average weekly wages, increasing by only \$55. The good news, however, is that every region did increase wages over the fouryear span. Indiana's change in wages during that time was \$74. Only three regions improved wages by more than that amount, including Regions 2, 5, and 11, with increases of \$95, \$78 and \$75, respectively.

Commuting

For the reasons mentioned previously, it is not surprising that EGR 5 sends out and receives more workers than any other region, with 22,787 people leaving EGR 5 for other regions within the state and 47,290 people coming into EGR 5 to work. EGR 6 actually sends out nearly as many workers as EGR 5, with about 22,770 workers leaving EGR 6 and working elsewhere within the state (see **Figure 7**). Region 2 comes in second place on the receiving end, bringing in about 17,870 people from the other EGRs.

Indiana sends out approximately 146,900 workers to other states, and 39.1 percent of those people reside in EGR 1. More than 85 percent of the commuters leaving EGR 1 are heading to Chicago. In fact, 34.5 percent of all people commuting from Indiana to out of state are working in Cook County, Ill., home to the Windy City. EGR 10, which includes part of the Louisville metro area, was next in line for the number of workers sent to other states, making up 25.3 percent of the commuters who leave the state.

-Molly Manns, Research Associate, Indiana Business Research Center, Kelley School of Business, Indiana University



FIGURE 7: MOST WORK IN THEIR REGION OF RESIDENCE: COMMUTING PATTERNS FOR INDIANA'S 11 ECONOMIC GROWTH REGIONS, 2000

December 2006

Monthly Metrics: Indiana's Economic Indicators

AVERAGE BENEFITS PAID FOR UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE CLAIMS



Source: IBRC, using U.S. Department of Labor data

PERCENT CHANGE IN LABOR FORCE FROM PREVIOUS YEAR*



CHANGE IN EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY SUPER-SECTOR, 2005 TO 2006*

	Indiana		United States
Industry	Change in Jobs	Percent Change	Percent Change
Total Nonfarm	13,400	0.5	1.4
Financial Activities	3,000	2.2	2.3
Information	800	2.0	-0.4
Leisure and Hospitality	4,400	1.6	2.3
Natural Resources and Mining	100	1.4	8.4
Other Services	1,500	1.4	0.7
Trade, Transportation and Utilities	2,700	0.5	0.5
Educational and Health Services	1,500	0.4	2.2
Professional and Business Services	400	0.1	2.5
Manufacturing	700	0.1	0.2
Government	-4,600	-1.1	0.9

*September of each year, seasonally adjusted Source: IBRC, using Bureau of Labor Statistics data

PERCENT CHANGE IN UNEMPLOYMENT FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR*





CHANGE IN UNEMPLOYMENT RATE FROM SEPTEMBER OF PREVIOUS YEAR*





*seasonally adjusted Source: IBRC, using Bureau of Labor Statistics and Indiana Department of Workforce Development data

Regional Labor Force and Unemployment Rates



The Butcher, the Baker and the Candlestick-Maker Revisited: Indiana's New Skills-Based Career Clusters

here was a time when people's decisions about what they wanted to do for a living revolved around a particular industry nursing in hospitals, working in the steel mill, or teaching in schools. Their thinking was often reflected in the idea that they would spend most of their careers with a single employer.

Enter the 21st century, where tenure with an employer is measured in projects or years instead of decades; many individuals shed occupational "skins" several times during their working life, and flexibility is crucial. Today's emerging workforce must prepare for an ever-evolving, quickpaced job market that demands highlyskilled, adaptable and versatile workers. To facilitate the transition of dislocated and entry-level workers into careers based on key transferable skills, the Research and Analysis (R&A) arm of Indiana's Department of Workforce Development (DWD) has developed a new tool for examining the occupations expected to grow in Indiana's economy over the next decade (see Figure 1).

Background

The R&A division's new career clusters are based on the skills necessary for emerging high wage and high demand (HWHD) occupations. This work is tied to Indiana's Strategic Skills Initiative which is designed to create new jobs and raise Hoosier income through innovation and investing in human capital. Developing the skills of Indiana's workforce is vital to the success of the Strategic Skills Initiative and to building Indiana's economy. The goal of this new career cluster model is three-fold:

1. Emphasize skills that are transferable within the career



FIGURE 1: SELECTED OCCUPATIONS IN INDIANA'S NEW CAREER CLUSTER MODEL

- 2. Shape policy and behaviors around Indiana's HWHD occupations and skills through the promotion of skill development programs and curricula.
- 3. Identify career pathways that lead to HWHD occupations and to prioritize and focus resources on programs and curricula that promote skills leading to those occupations.

Methodology

The first step was to review a list of more than 700 occupations coded by the Standard Occupational Classification system (SOC). Next, a filter was applied to include only occupations that were both high in wages and high in demand. To fit this criterion, the occupation needed to have an average wage above the state median income of \$27,742 and have a positive projected growth rate over the the analysis incorporated skills data from the Occupational Information Network (O*NET), a comprehensive database of worker attributes and job characteristics. O*NET categorizes knowledge, skills and abilities as worker requirements that represent the developed or acquired attributes of an individual and contribute to occupational performance.¹

All 717 SOC-coded occupations were included in Indiana's R&A Career Cluster initial analysis, and each occupation was coded with 35 O*NET occupational skills (see **Table 1**).

There were three skill measurements for each occupation. They were given a rank of skill importance (from 1 to 35), and an importance and level index score based on survey data. The importance score from 1 to 5 (with 1 being not important and 5 being extremely important) was based on the following question: How important is the skill to the performance of your current



job? If the skill was considered at least somewhat important (2), the employee was instructed to answer the following question as well: What level of the skill is needed to perform your current job? The level scale is from 1 to 7, with 1 being low and 7 being high.

Statistical Analysis

Clusters were determined through a factor analysis (principal components method) of O*NET skills plus DWD employment and wage data. This process determined which skills load highly together among the occupations. To determine which skills were especially relevant to particular career clusters, skills were chosen as statistically significant with coefficients of 0.5 or greater. Once the clusters were defined by the skills, all occupations were re-coded and categorized. The occupations have been placed into these skill clusters based on a match of best fit according to the O*NET coded skill importance and level scores, and by applying theory and knowledge of the

TABLE 2: KEY SKILL CLUSTERS

Indiana education system and labor market.

Remembering that the O*NET skills importance index is scaled from 1 to 5, a score of 2 means that skill is somewhat important and 3 indicates that skill is important. We designated the critical importance score of 2.75, which allowed for variance among the clusters, while maintaining a high importance index score to ensure confidence in the model and accuracy among the occupations that fit into each cluster. This analysis yielded four key skill clusters with associated occupations (see **Table 2**).

This new occupational cluster matrix is designed to guide individuals, educators and workforce professionals to careers and occupations that provide a good "fit" or a faster, smoother transition between seemingly unrelated jobs with similar skills. It builds off the skills, knowledge and strengths these people already possess (or choose to develop). A critical step of this process is to perform a sound assessment of the individual's current skills using a tool such as WorkKeys. A second aim involves developing career paths that lead from entry level to better-paying jobs through a planned, logical and layered acquisition of the needed skills and training that equips the individual for the higher level position. These intended uses of the new clusters embody DWD's goals of growing employment and personal income for Indiana's workforce.

Additional details on methodology decisions can be found in the online version of this article at www.incontext.indiana.edu.

Notes

 O*NET collects data from a random sample of businesses expected to employ workers in the targeted occupations. From the sample of businesses, a random sample of workers in those occupations are selected to be surveyed using standardized questionnaires.

-Allison Leeuw, Advanced Economic and Market Analysis Team of Research and Analysis, Indiana Department of Workforce Development

Cluster	Number and Percent of Occupations	Skills Required
Working with People	329 Occupations (46 percent)	Learning strategies, instructing, social perceptiveness, time management, service orientation, persuasion, monitoring, negotiation and coordination
Working with Things	224 Occupations (31 percent)	Equipment maintenance, repairing, operation monitoring, troubleshooting, equipment selection, operation and control, installation and quality control analysis
Working with Systems	111 Occupations (16 percent)	Systems evaluation, systems analysis, management of financial resources, management of personnel resources and judgment and decision making
Working with Information and Concepts	40 Occupations (6 percent)	Programming, technology design, operations analysis and complex problem solving

Source: Research and Analysis Department at the Indiana Department of Workforce Development

A Nation in Debt: U.S. International Investment

he value of foreign-owned assets in the United States exceeded the nation's foreign-owned investments abroad by almost \$2.7 trillion, according to preliminary data for the end of 2005 from the Bureau of Economic Analysis. As **Figure 1** clearly shows, this gap has widened over the years with 1986 as the first year in which foreign investment in the United States was greater than U.S. investments overseas.

What Makes Up Our Investment Position?

The United States as a whole owns more than \$10 trillion in assets abroad, using current-cost valuation. Just 3 percent of that was U.S. official reserve assets (such as gold) or other government assets; the remaining 97 percent were U.S. private assets. Americans owned almost \$4.1 trillion in foreign securities, accounting for 42 percent of those private assets. Meanwhile, direct investment abroad (\$2.5 trillion) accounts for 25 percent of U.S.-owned private assets.

Foreign-owned assets in the United States exceed \$12.7 trillion. Seventeen percent of those assets are owned by foreign governments, with U.S. government securities making



FIGURE 2: INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT POSITION OF THE UNITED STATES

Note: This figure shows direct investment positions valued at current cost. The current-cost method values the U.S. and foreign parents' share of their affiliates' investment in plants and equipment using the current cost of capital equipment, in land using general price indexes, and in inventories using estimates of their replacement cost. Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

up three-fourths of those assets. Meanwhile, U.S. securities make up 42 percent of the assets in the "other foreign assets" category, with an additional 10 percent held in Treasury securities and U.S. currency. Foreign direct investment in the

United States accounts for 18 percent of that category, or a total of nearly \$1.9 trillion.

Change Since Last Year

As seen in **Figure 2**, the gap in the U.S. investment position grew larger, with a net change of -\$333 billion since the end of 2004. This is mainly due to foreign purchases of U.S. Treasury securities, as well as the depreciation of most major foreign currencies against the dollar; however, this was somewhat offset by the appreciation of U.S.-owned foreign stocks, which outpaced the appreciation of foreign-owned U.S. stocks.

For more information, visit www.bea.gov/bea/di/home/iip.htm.

—Amber Kostelac, Data Manager Emeritus, and Rachel Justis, Managing Editor, Indiana Business Research Center, Kelley School of Business, Indiana University

FIGURE 1: DIFFERENCE BETWEEN U.S. ASSETS ABROAD AND FOREIGN-OWNED ASSETS IN THE U.S.



Note: This figure shows direct investment positions valued at current cost. The current-cost method values the U.S. and foreign parents' share of their affiliates investment in plants and equipment using the current cost of capital equipment, in land using general price indexes, and in inventories using estimates of their replacement cost. Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

10 incontext

Baltic States Part III: Estonia

stonia is the smallest of the three Baltic nations and the focus of our final piece in the series. It is located north of Latvia, south of Finland, west of Russia, with Sweden across the Baltic Sea. Estonia shares the same history as other Baltic States regarding its occupation by Denmark the Soviet Union, its independence in 1991, and accession to the European Union (EU) and NATO. Nevertheless, the Estonian economy is quite different from Lithuania and Latvia.

Like the other Baltic States, Estonia is experiencing a steep rise in foreign direct investment. Based on fourth quarter data for 2005, foreign direct investment in Estonia grew 26.4 percent from the same quarter of the previous year, and it has risen an astounding 303 percent since the fourth quarter of 2001 (see **Figure 1**). For such a small country, one of the key factors in its success is its location. Finland remains Estonia's closest neighbor and one of its strongest partners, and has contributed slightly more than



20 percent of the total investment. However, the clear investment leader for Estonia has been Sweden, accounting for 53 percent of the total investment. The United States remained among the top 10 foreign investors along with the Netherlands, Great Britain, Germany, Norway, Russia and Denmark (see **Figure 2**).¹

Estonia is among the leaders in the region regarding outbound foreign direct investment per capita.² During 2005, the biggest portions of the investment flow went to Lithuania

(31.1 percent), Latvia (29.5 percent) and Russia (14.8 percent). However, one must bear in mind that the majority of investments are done by the foreign investors operating in Estonia and seeking further expansion in the region.

The main reasons foreign investment inside Estonia is growing include low production costs, a comparatively cheap, high-quality workforce, the growing buying capacity of Estonians, as well as close proximity to neighboring states. The Estonian labor force slightly differs from the other Baltic States. Estonia has a high percentage of knowledge-intensive jobs (30.9 percent of all jobs). In comparison, Lithuania (24.7 percent), Latvia (24.7 percent) and other Eastern and Central European countries



FIGURE 1: FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN ESTONIA, DECEMBER 31ST OF EACH YEAR

FIGURE 2: MAIN COUNTRIES INVESTING IN ESTONIA, 2005









Source: Statistical Office of Estonia

(Hungary, Slovak Republic, Czech Republic, Slovenia and Bulgaria) have fewer highly skilled jobs.

Foreign investors are primarily investing in Estonia's financial intermediation (45.7 percent), real estate (15.2 percent) and manufacturing (13.3 percent) sectors. The largest banks in Estonia are owned by Swedish and Finnish investors. Foreign investors also tend to invest in telecommunications, transportation and service industries.

One example of the existing potential in Estonia involves the Scandinavianand Estonian-owned company Skype, which successfully marketed Internet calls (that is, Voice Over Internet Protocol). In 2005, the company was bought by eBay for about \$2.5 billion.

Among the top 50 foreign companies in Estonia in 2006, four are accredited to the United States.³ The highest ranked American company is Baltic Rail Services (at sixth place with 32 million euros of investment). It is owned by the Dutch and U.S. companies EEIF Rail/Rail World Estonia. The other three companies accounted for by the United States are Horizon Tselluloosi ja Paberi (13th), Fiesta Real Estate (22nd) and McDonald's (45th).

Estonian Trade

The Scandinavian states are Estonia's best trading partners, especially Finland. In addition, those in Finland heavily travel to Estonia, purchasing services and goods—especially alcohol and tobacco. After Estonia joined the EU, taxes for tobacco and alcohol were abolished. This served as an incentive for Finnish tourists to travel to Estonia and aided in making them the biggest group of hotel and dining service purchasers while contributing to local trade.

The small size of Estonia limits its ability to produce all the goods needed to become self-sufficient. In addition, during the Soviet period, there were a limited number of Estonian industries and all other needed goods were supplied by the various Soviet Union countries. These are two reasons why Estonia imports more than it exports.

During 2005, however, Estonian exports went up 30 percent. The main export commodities are machinery and equipment, wood and paper, textiles, food products, furniture, metals, and chemical products.

As mentioned before, Estonia's main export partners include Finland (27 percent), Sweden (13 percent) and Latvia (9 percent). The United States accounts for 3 percent of Estonian exports and Estonia's exports to the United States have steadily risen since 2000 (see **Figure 3**).

The main Estonian goods exported to the United States during 2005 were chemical products, textile and textile products, wood and wood products, mineral fuels, mineral oils and their products, and prepared foodstuffs and drinks.

During 2005, Estonian imports increased by 22 percent (see **Figure 4**). The main articles imported to Estonia from the United States were machinery and equipment, means of transport, metals and metal products, and chemical products. Estonia had a positive trade balance with the United States for 2005.

Unfortunately, we cannot track the imports to Indiana due to the many possible places of entry. Nevertheless, we can analyze the exports from Indiana to Estonia. Indiana's exports to the country during 2005 more



Digital Connections

InContext

Current workforce and economic news with searchable archives.

Hoosiers by the Numbers

Workforce and economic data from the Department of Workforce Development's research and analysis division. www.hoosierdata.in.gov

STATS Indiana

Award-winning economic and demographic site provides thousands of current indicators for Indiana and its communities in a national context.

www.stats.indiana.edu

Indiana Economic Digest

The news behind the numbers, the Digest is a unique partnership with daily newspapers throughout Indiana providing access to daily news reports on business and economic events.

www.indianaeconomicdigest.net

With support from the Lilly Endowment, InContext is published monthly by:

Indiana Department of Workforce Development

Commissioner.....Andrew J. Penca Research Director.....Hope Clark

10 N. Senate Indianapolis, IN 46204

Web: www.in.gov/dwd

Indiana Economic Development Corporation

Secretary of Commerce Mickey Maurer Research Director......Ryan Asberry Policy DirectorDavid Lips

One North Capitol, Suite 700 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Web: www.iedc.in.gov

Indiana Business Research Center

Kelley School of Business, Indiana University

Director	Jerry Conover
Executive Editor	Carol O. Rogers
Managing Editor	Rachel Justis
Graphic Design	Molly Manns
Circulation	Nikki Livingston
Quality Control	Shannon Aranjo
	and David Landers

Bloomington 1275 E. Tenth Street, Suite 3110

Bloomington, IN 47405 Indianapolis

777 Indiana Avenue, Suite 210 Indianapolis, IN 46202

Web: www.ibrc.indiana.edu E-mail: context@indiana.edu (continued from page 12)

FIGURE 5: GROWING TREND: EXPORTS FROM INDIANA TO ESTONIA, 2000 TO 2005



than doubled compared to previous years, increasing by 185 percent (see **Figure 5**). Indiana ranked 23rd among states exporting to Estonia. Virtually half of all Hoosier products exported to Estonia were computers and electronic products, followed by machinery at 39 percent and processed foods at 8 percent (see **Figure 6**).

Notes

- 1. Bank of Estonia, www.eestipank.info/frontpage/en/ 523+.
- 2. Estonica, www.estonica.org
- 3. Companies with Major Foreign Shareholdings in 2006, available online at www.investinestonia.com/pdf/Major_foreign_shareholdings_2006.pdf

FIGURE 6: INDIANA'S EXPORTS TO ESTONIA, 2005



Source: www.export.gov